# Impact of Gingivitis on the Quality of Life of Primary School Children 8-10 Year Age Group in Al-Najaf City

# Zahraa M. Alshmaa<sup>(1)</sup>Athraa M. Alwaheb<sup>(2)</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Dentist Specialist in Preventive Dentistry, Al-Najaf, Ministry of Health and Environment, Iraq.

#### **ABSTRACT**

**Background:**Gingivitis is the most prevalent form of periodontal disease. It begins in early childhood, increases in prevalence and severity into the early teenage years, it has been recognized that children's quality of life is related to their oral health status.

**Aim of the study:** The survey was made to investigate the prevalence and severity of gingivitis in Al-Najaf city / Iraq the impact of this diseaseon the quality of life among (8-10) year old primary school children.

**Subjects and methods:** The total sample composed of 1200 children aged (8-10) year selected randomly from different primary schools in Al-Najaf governorate. WHO 2013 index was used for assessment of the gingival healthand oral hygiene assessed by Silness and Loe,1964plaque index, Calculus index by ramfjord 1959 and OHRQoLquestionnaire was applied for children.

**Results:** Results showed that the mean plaque index was  $(0.501\pm.055)$  and the mean gingival index was  $(0.137\pm.017)$  for the total sample. no significant differences were seen between OHRQoL questionnaires and oral hygiene and gingival health except plaque index PLI of four OHRQoLquesstionaire was significant.

**Conclusion:** Primary schools children in Al-Najaf were found to have a high prevalence of gingivitis. Hence this survey highlighted the need of children to dental health education programs to public and school preventive measures among those children.

**Key words:** Quality of life, Gingival health, School children

#### INTRODUCTION

Many functions of the oral cavityhas relationship to daily life include intake food, social contact, speech and appearance. Reduced oral health has thus the possibility of hindering the quality of life. Reduced food intake due to poor dental status ororal pain can cause little growth in children and may effect badly in the nutritional status. Pain influence also have a negative impact on the capacity to involve in social relations and children might not become the full educational benefit

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Professor inPreventive Dentistry ,College of Dentistry ,University of Baghdad,Iraq.

if suffering from discomfort and pain. While poor dental status among children has a negative effect on speech development<sup>(1)</sup>.

Gingivitis is the most prevalent form of periodontal disease. It begins in early childhood, increases in prevalence and severity into the early teenage years, and then subsides slightly and levels of untilapproximately 20 years of age <sup>(2)</sup>.

Sever gingivitis is relatively uncommon in children <sup>(3)</sup>. The severity of the disease is directly related to the accumulation of biofilm due to poor oral hygiene. The presence of the biofilm for a period of 10 to 21 days is sufficient to establish a condition of gingival inflammation, but it is reversible if methods for controlling the biofilm are established <sup>(4)</sup>. Thus, it is necessary to have a better understanding of the patient's perception of the impact of gingival disease on their lives. It is also important to plan gingival care, which addresses patient's needs and key concerns.

Several Iraqi epidemiological studies recorded high gingivitis prevalence among different age group <sup>(5,6,7)</sup>.

This study was conducted on group of primary school chidren 8-10 year old living in Al-Najaf governorate to find out the impact of gingivitis on the quality of life.

#### **Subjects and Methods**

A sample of 1200 primary school children 8-10 year old were selected randomly from different school in Al-Najaf governorate (600 boys and 600girls) from urban areas in Al-Najaf city.

Oral health related quality of life was recorded by 16 questionnaires(OHRQoL questionnaires)<sup>(8)</sup>which consist of four groups:Question about the mouth and teeth(Q1.Pain in the teeth or mouth in the past 4 weeks?Q 2. Sore spots in the mouth in the past 4 weeks?Q5. Needed longer time than others to eat the meal because of the teeth or mouth? Q6.Had a hard time biting or chewing food like apples, corn on the cob or steak because of the teeth or mouth? Q7.Had a problem sleeping at night because of the teeth or mouth),Question about feelings (Q14.Had a hard time paying attention in school because of the teeth or mouth?Q15.Not wanted to speak or Quesion about child school (Q18.Not wanted to be with other children because of the teeth or mouth?),Questions about child being with other people(Q19.Stayed away from playing with children because of the teeth or mouth?Q20.Other children teased

or called names because of the teeth or mouth?21.Not wanted to be with other children because of your teeth or mouth? 22.Stayed away from playing with children because of your teeth or mouth? 23.Other children teased you or called you names because of your teeth or mouth?24. Other children asked you questions about your teeth or mouth?

Intra oral examination of gingival health status was recorded according to WHO 2013<sup>(9)</sup> and oral hygienemeasured by using Silness and Loe, 1964 plaque index and calculus index by Ramfjord 1959, the examination was performed byCPI probe. The statistical data analysis was approached by using statistical package (SPSS) ver.(23.0) in order to analyze and assess the results of this study through application of descriptive data analysis, by frequencies and percentages. Inferential data analysis, these types of analysis were used to test hypothesis by accept or reject it, which include the following; Chi square test, Spearman Rank Correlation test, analysis of variance and P value.

#### **RESULTS:**

Results showed that the mean plaque index was (0.501±.055) and the mean gingival index was (0.137±.017) for the total sample. no significant differences were seen between OHRQoL questionnaires and oral hygien and gingival health except plaque index PII of the fourthOHRQoL questionnaire was significant as shown in table 1,2,3,4.

Table (1): Mean and standard error of Gingival health in relation to OHRQoL( Pain ) Questionnaires

Gingival	Pain Questions	Don't know		1		No		F	p
index		Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE		
	Pain in teeth	23.07	0.17	22.99	0.06	23.13	0.06	1.518	0.220
	Sore spots in mouth	23.27	0.11	22.93	0.07	23.10	0.05	3.173	0.042
GI0	Bad breath	23.00	0.17	22.98	0.06	23.12	0.06	1.308	0.271
	Need longer time for eating	22.90	0.15	22.97	0.07	23.12	0.05	2.035	0.131

	Had a hard time chewing food	23.14	0.17	22.96	0.06	23.11	0.05	1.799	0.166
	Pain in teeth	0.10	0.08	0.14	0.02	0.14	0.03	0.111	0.895
	Sore spots in mouth	0.02	0.02	0.16	0.03	0.14	0.02	1.968	0.140
	Bad breath	0.13	0.05	0.12	0.02	0.15	0.03	0.482	0.618
GI1	Need longer time for eating	0.12	0.05	0.10	0.02	0.17	0.03	1.792	0.167
	Had a hard time chewing food	0.07	0.04	0.12	0.02	0.16	0.03	0.965	0.381

Not significant at p>0.05

The results in table (1) showed that the relation of children gingival health with OHRQoL pain questionnaires was non-significant.

Table (2): Mean and standard error of Oral hygiene in relation to OHRQoL( Pain ) Questionnaires

Oral	Pain Questions	Don't k	now	Yes		No		F	p
hygiene		Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE		
index									
PLI	Pain in teeth	0.421	0.038	0.558	0.097	0.429	0.014	0.679	0.508
	Sore spots in mouth	0.385	0.032	0.464	0.014	0.542	0.100	0.422	0.656
	Bad breath	0.427	0.035	0.568	0.116	0.444	0.013	6.244	0.002
	Need longer time for eating	1.213	0.791	0.468	0.014	0.435	0.012	0.270	0.764
	Had a hard time chewing food	0.448	0.042	0.461	0.013	0.541	0.107	7.797	0.000
	Pain in teeth	0.032	0.011	0.025	0.003	0.035	0.006	1.405	0.246
CLI	Sore spots in mouth	0.041	0.010	0.023	0.004	0.032	0.005	0.174	0.840
	Bad breath	0.010	0.004	0.025	0.004	0.036	0.005	1.921	0.147

ed long reating	er time	0.026	0.008	0.022	0.004	0.034	0.005	0.575	0.563
nd a har ewing fo		0.015	0.009	0.029	0.005	0.030	0.004	1.700	0.183

### Highly significant at p<0.01; non-significant at p>0.05

The result in table (2) showed that the relation of children oral hygiene with OHRQoL pain questionnaires was non-significant except plaque index PLI for quesion about bad breath in the mouth and quesion about had ahard time chewing food were highly significant p-value=0.002 and 0.000 respectively.

Table (3): Mean and standard error of oral hygiene and Gingival health in Relation to OHRQoL( Feelings ) Questionnaires

feelings Question	The	Don't know		Yes		No		F	p
	index	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE		
Been concerned	PI	1.11	0.69	0.47	0.02	0.44	0.01	5.332	0.005
what other people	GI0	22.81	0.18	22.92	0.09	23.11	0.05	3.362	0.035
think about the	GI1	0.20	0.08	0.11	0.03	0.14	0.02	0.807	0.447
teeth	CI	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.00	2.834	0.059
	PI	0.39	0.05	0.72	0.27	0.45	0.01	2.058	0.128
Worried about	GI0	22.80	0.22	22.89	0.10	23.10	0.04	2.933	0.054
appearance	GI1	0.18	0.08	0.10	0.02	0.15	0.02	0.805	0.447
	CI	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.478	0.620

## Highly significant at p<0.01; non-significant at p>0.05

The results in table (3) showed that the relation of children gingival health and oral hygiene with OHRQoL feelings questionnaires was non-significant except plaque index PLI for quesion Been concerned that other people think about the teeth or mouth was highly significant p-value=0.005.

Table (4):Mean and standard error of oral hygiene and Gingival health in Relation to OHRQoL( About child school ) Questionnaires

Questions about The		Don't know		Yes		No		F	p
the school	index	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE		
	PI	1.653	1.192	0.478	0.022	0.440	0.010	10.604	0.000
Not speak out	GI0	23.091	0.178	22.820	0.112	23.086	0.044	2.850	0.058
loud in class	GI1	0.073	0.044	0.142	0.036	0.139	0.020	0.333	0.717
	CI	0.039	0.014	0.022	0.005	0.030	0.004	0.738	0.478

# Highly significant at p<0.01;non-significant at p>0.05

The results in table (4) showed that the relation of children gingival health and oral hygiene (calculus index CI) with OHRQoLwas non-significant except plaque index PLI was highly significant p-value=0.000.

Table (5): Mean and standard error of oral hygiene in Relation to OHRQoL (About child being with other people)

Questions about being	Oral	Don't kı	now	Yes		No		F	p
with other people	Hygeine	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE		
	index								
Avoid smiling	PI	0.324	0.035	0.808	0.322	0.444	0.010	3.256	0.039
Tivola siming	CI	0.030	0.013	0.021	0.005	0.031	0.004	0.721	0.486
Not talk to other	PI	0.408	0.043	0.460	0.023	0.513	0.067	0.117	0.889
children	CI	0.016	0.008	0.020	0.005	0.031	0.004	1.198	0.302
Not be with other	PI	1.462	1.094	0.480	0.024	0.446	0.010	8.050	0.000
children	CI	0.033	0.012	0.019	0.005	0.030	0.004	0.856	0.425
Not playing with	PI	0.353	0.037	0.468	0.026	0.514	0.065	0.207	0.813
children	CI	0.030	0.011	0.015	0.005	0.031	0.003	1.371	0.254
Otherchildren teased	PI	0.336	0.045	0.837	0.375	0.449	0.010	3.225	0.040
you	CI	0.020	0.010	0.022	0.006	0.031	0.004	0.706	0.494
Other children asked	PI	0.360	0.045	0.453	0.021	0.519	0.070	0.236	0.790
you questions	CI	0.007	0.005	0.023	0.005	0.032	0.004	1.595	0.203

#=Not significant at p>0.05

The results in table (5) showed that the relation of childrenoral hygiene with OHRQoL questionnaires was non-significant except plaque index for quesion not be with other children was highly significant p-value=0.000.

Table (6): Mean and standard error of Gingival health in Relation to OHRQoL (
About child being with other people)

Questions about being	Gingival	Don't k	now	Yes		No		F	p
with other people	index	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	-	
Avoid smiling	GI0	23.184	0.193	22.819	0.108	23.088	0.044	3.388	0.034
Tryota shining	GI1	0.143	0.071	0.118	0.028	0.140	0.021	0.125	0.882
Not talk to other	GI0	22.846	0.206	22.890	0.116	23.082	0.044	1.896	0.151
children	GI1	0.115	0.071	0.104	0.030	0.143	0.020	0.332	0.718
Not be with other	GI0	23.050	0.160	22.873	0.124	23.072	0.044	1.320	0.268
children	GI1	0.117	0.059	0.113	0.033	0.141	0.020	0.180	0.835
Not playing with	GI0	23.145	0.188	22.842	0.125	23.067	0.044	1.681	0.187
children	GI1	0.109	0.067	0.120	0.035	0.140	0.020	0.128	0.880
Otherchildren teased	GI0	22.951	0.188	22.834	0.117	23.087	0.044	2.553	0.078
you	GI1	0.146	0.089	0.114	0.030	0.140	0.020	0.146	0.864
Other children asked	GI0	22.915	0.235	22.854	0.101	23.096	0.044	2.828	0.060
you questions	GI1	0.106	0.063	0.132	0.030	0.139	0.021	0.075	0.927

# #=Not significant at p>0.05

The results in table (6) showed that the relation of children gingival health with OHRQoL was non-significant.

#### **DISCUSSION**

Oral health is essential for general health and well-being throughout life and is a marker for overall health status.

In this study,the mean plaque index was  $(0.501\pm.055)$  and the mean gingival index was  $(0.137\pm.017)$  for primary school (8-10) year children. They were less than

that reported by some studies <sup>(10,11)</sup>. These discrepancies may be due to differences in sample size, residency, knowledge and attitude, method and time of brushing before the examination or the usual brushing.

No statistically significant differences were found between OHRQoL questionnaires and oral hygiene and gingival health except plaque index of four OHRQoL questionnaire was significant

This survey highlighted the need of children to preventive measures and dental health education and improvement of dental knowledge and attitude towards oral hygiene.

Finally , it is crucial to evaluate the outcomes of gingival treatments from the patients perspective and to draw attention to the overall significance of gingival care (12)

#### REFERENCES

- 1-NannaJürgensen. Oral health and the impact of socio-behavioural factors in a cross sectional survey of 12-year old school children in Laos. BMC Oral Health. 2009;9:29.
- 2-Califano JV. Research, Science and Therapy Committee American Academy of Periodontology. Position paper: periodontal diseases of children and adolescents. J Periodontol Nov 2003;74(11):1696-704.
- 3- Dean J, Avery D, McDonald R. Dentistry for the child and adolescent, 9th ed. Mosby, Elsevier, China, 2011.
- 4-van der Velden U. The significance of supragingival plaque accumulation in Periodontal disease. Int J Dent Hyg Sep 2006;4 Suppl 1:11-4.
- 5-AL-Ghalabi S. Oral health status and treatment need in relation to nutritional status among 9-10 year-old School Children in Nassirya City/Iraq. Master thesis submitted to College of Dentistry, University of Baghdad;2011.
- 6-AL-Ani N. Oral health status, treatment needs and dentalanomalies in relation to nutritional status among 12 year-oldschool children in Heet city/Al-Anbar governorate/Iraq. Masterthesis submitted to the College of Dentistry, University ofBaghdad,2013.
- 7-Al-Hassanawy A. Socioeconomic and nutritional status inrelation to oral health status and treatment needs in Dewanyiahgovernorate among 12 years old school students. Master thesissubmitted to the College of Dentistry, University of Baghdad,2013.
- 8-Jokovic A, Locker D, Tompson B, Guyatt G. Questionnaire for measuring oral health-related quality of life in eight- to ten-year-old children. Pediatr Dent 2004; 26: 512–518 with modification.
- 9-WHO.World Health Organization . Basic Survey Methods. 5<sup>th</sup> Edition;2013.
- 10-Al-Eissa D. Oral health status of preschool children aged 3-5 years old and its relation to their socioeconomic status, and parent dental knowledge, behavior and attitude in two different social areas in Baghdad city. Master thesis submitted to College of Dentistry, University of Baghdad, 2004.

- 11-Al-Obaidi Y. Prevalence Study Of acute Post Traumatic Stress DisorderAmong Secondary Schools Students in Baghdad. A Thesis Submitted ToThe Council Of Psychiatry In Partial Fullfillment For Degree of the IraqiBoard For Medical Specializations In Psychiatry.2005.
- 12-McGrath C, Bedi R. The value and use of 'quality of life' measures in the primary dental care setting. Prim Dent Care Apr 1999;6(2):53-7.