Assessment of Urinary Fetuin - Aasa Marker for Diabetic Nephropathy in Type2 Diabetic patients

KerollosHakimAbdelnourMorcous¹ HodaSaeedAbdElRahman², JehanSaeedAbdosoliman³, SallyMahmoudSaeedShalaby⁴

 ¹Internal Medicine resident,KobriEl-KobbaMilitaryHospital
 ²ProfessorofInternalMedicine,ZagazigUniversity,Sharkia,Egypt.
 ³ProfessorofInternalMedicine,ZagazigUniversity,Sharkia,Egypt.
 ⁴ Professor of Medical Biochemistry, Zagazig University, Sharkia, EgyptCorrespondingauthor:KerollosHakimAbdelnourMorcous Email:koko.vip@gmail.com

Abstract

Background: Type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) is the most common type of diabetes, accounting foraround 90% of all cases of diabetes. Diabetic nephropathy (DN) is the largest single cause of end-stage kidney disease, therefore, there is an urgent need to identify more sensitive and specificbiomarkersthan microalbuminuriaforearly detection ofDN.

Aim of study: This study is aimed for early detection of diabetic nephropathy in type 2 DM.SubjectsandMethods:This case controlstudywascarriedout onsixty-nine individualsadmittedtodepartmentandoutpatientclinicofinternalmedicineatZagazigUniversityHospit als.Theparticipantsweredividedintothreegroups:GroupA:(23subjects)Controlgroup.healthyindividu als,ageandsex-

matched, withnegative family history of hypertension and ischemicheart diseases. Group B: (23 patients) T ype2Diabetic patients. Group C: (23 patients): Type2Diabetic patients with diabetic nephropathy. All patients were subjected to (I) Full history: prior medical records including the following: Family history of diabetes mellitus and obesity. Type2 diabetes (evidence of raised blood glucose measurements, HA1C, FBS, 2H PP, or RBS recorded on different days before study during admission, (III) Routine Laboratory testing (IV) Specific laboratory; HbA1C, Fasting Insulin, and Measurement of Urinary Level of fetuin-Aintype2 diabetic patients and control group by ELISA.

Results: A highly significant difference in duration of DM and diabetic retinopathy among studied groups; with (p < 0.001). A significant difference in family history of DM among studied groups; with(p < 0.05UrinaryAngiostatin; withhighly significant statistical difference(p < 0.01). Activity and chronicity indices had a highly significant positive correlation with Urinary Angiostatin; withhighly significant statistical difference (p < 0.01 respectively). A highly significant difference inhemoglobin, platelets, albumin, TGs, urea, creatinine, and UrinaryAlbumin/Creatinineratio, among studied groups; with (p < 0.001). A significant difference in ALT among studied groups; with (p < 0.001). A significant difference in Type 2 DM and Type 2 DM with nephropathy patients in comparison to Control group; with (p < 0.01), and high Urea level in Type 2 DM with nephropathy patients incomparison to Type 2 DM patients; with (p < 0.01). High creatinine level in Type 2 DM withnephropathy patients in comparison to Control group and Type2DM.

High Albumin/Creatinine ratio in Type 2 DM with nephropathy patients in comparison to

Controlgroup. A highly significant difference in FBS and HbA1c, fasting insulin, HOMA-IR, and UrinaryFetuin-A among studied groups; with (p < 0.001). High Urinary Fetuin-A level in Type 2 DM andType 2 DM with nephropathy patients in comparison to Control group; with (p < 0.01), and highUrinary Fetuin-A level in Type 2 DM with nephropathy patients in comparison to Type 2 DMpatients; with (p < 0.01).

Conclusion:FromthisstudywecouldconcludethatUrinaryexcretionofFetuin-Acanbeusedforearlydetection ofDNinType2 DM patients withexcellent accuracy.

Keywords: Diabetes Mellitus (DM), Diabetic Nephropathy (DN), Urinary Fetuin-A.

1. Introduction:

Diabetic nephropathy (DN), a microvascular complication occurring in approximately 20-40% ofpatients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), is characterized by the progressive impairment ofglomerularfiltrationandthedevelopmentofKimmelstiel-Wilsonlesionsleadingtoend-stagerenaldisease(ESRD)(1).

Diabetic nephropathy is primarily classified according to the extent of albuminuria in addition totheglomerularfiltration rate(2).

There are many markers that may be more sensitive than urinary albumin, (the current goldStandard,inthedetectionofincipientnephropathyandriskAssessmentofcardiovasculardisease);ho wever,thesensitivityofthesemarkerscompared with albumin requires further investigation (3). These

markers include biomarkers of renal dysfunction such as transferrin, type IV collagen andNacetyl-b-D-glucosaminidase,inflammatorymarkersincludingorosomucoid,tumornecrosisfactorα,transforminggrowthfactor-

 β , vascular endothelial growth factor and monocytechemo attract ant protein-

1, as well as oxidative stress markers such as 8-hydroxy-29 deoxyguanosine (3).

Fetuin-A (α2-Heremans Schmid glycoprotein: AHSG) is an abundant circulating glycoprotein thatisprimarilysynthesizedintheliverandplaysseveralfunctionsinhumanphysiologyandpathology.A mongthese, insulin resistanceinductionis well recognized(**4**).

Fetuin-A may be a useful urinary marker to predict the development of microalbuminuria and reduction of GFR in diabetic nephropathy (5).

There are several mechanisms that can explain the association between higher fetuin-A level and increased risk of developing T2DM, First, fetuin-A level is positively associated with insulinresistanceSecond, fetuin-A level wasfound to berelated to obesity(6).

Higher fetuin-A may contribute the development of insulin resistance, diabetes and subsequentobesity-relatedCKDanddiabeticnephropathy(7).

Fetuin-A induced low-grade inflammation and repressed adiponectin production in animals andhumans. Moreover, adiponectin is a key regulator of albuminuria andis inversely related toalbuminuria(7).

We aimed in this study to find out a biomarker that would help for early detection of diabeticnephropathyin type 2 DM.

2. PatientsandMethods:

This was a case control study and was carried out on sixty-nine individuals admitted to

department and outpatient clinic of internal medicine at Zagazig University Hospitals from January 2019 to June 2019.

Written Informed consent was taken from the subjects to participate in the study. Approval forperforming the study was obtained from internal medicine and medical biochemistry departments,ZagazigUniversityHospitals aftertakingInstitutional ReviewBoard(IRB) approval.

The participants were divided into three groups. **GroupA**: (23 subjects) Control group. Apparently healthy individuals, age and sex-matched, with negative family history of hypertension and is chemic heart

diseases. **Group B:** (23 patients) Type 2 Diabetic patients diagnosed according to Americandiabetesassociation(ADA2010),Ageandsexmatchedwithnegativefamilyhistoryofhyperten sion and ischemic heart disease. **Group C:** (23 patients): Type 2 Diabetic patients withdiabetic nephropathy diagnosed according to American diabetes association (ADA 2010) Age andsexmatched with negativefamily history of hypertension and ischemic.

Patients included in the study were patients with age group 35 years old or more, of both sexes, diagnosed with type2DMaccording to Americandia betic association (ADA2010): Fasting plasma glucose value of \geq 126 mg/dL (\geq 7.0 mmol/L) or 2-h PG \geq 200 mg/dl (\geq 11.1 mmol/L) during or alglucose tolerance test (oGTT) or glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) \geq 6.5% (\geq 48 mmol/molHb) or patient with classic symptoms of hyperglycemia or hyperglycemic crisis, a random plasma glucose value of \geq 200 mg/dL (\geq 11.1 mmol/L) and patients were categorized according to their basalmetabolic rate into underweight <18.5, normal 18.5 to 24.9, overweight 25 to 29.9 and obese when BMI is over 30.

All the following patients were excluded: type 1 DM, Hypertensive, gestational diabetes, smoking,centralnervoussystemcomplications,chestdisease,endstagerenaldiseases,chronicliverdisea se,ischemic heart disease, acute inflammatory conditions, hypo and hyperthyroidism dyslipidemia,hypoand hypercalcemiapatients.

Allpatients of thestudy weresubjected to the following:

2.1. Full history: all participants prior medical records including the following: Duration of DM,Familyhistoryofdiabetesmellitusandobesity,Treatmentofdiabeteswithinsulinororalhypoglycem ic agents, Calculation of the body mass index (BMI), also called Quetelet's index wasderived by dividing weight by the square of height. BMI = Weight (Kg) / Height (m)², Type 2diabetes(evidence of raised blood glucose measurements ,HA1C ,FBS ,2HPP,or RBS recorded ondifferentdaysbeforestudy(aphysiciandiagnosisoruseofmedication)duringadmission,Hyperlipidem ia(useofmedication,serumcholesterolconcentration>220mg/dLorserumtriglyceride concentration >150 mg/dl), Cardiac diseases [ischemic heart disease (documentedhistory of angina pectoris or myocardial infarction)], Stroke ,Transient ischemic attacks (TIA) oracuteneurological deficit , Complications of diabetes.

2.2. Fullclinicalexamination:

Anthropometric measurementincluding waist and hip circumference incm, weightinkg, and height in cm.

2.3. Collectionofsamples:

• Blood sampling: 5 ml of peripheral venous blood were taken from each subject under

completeaseptic conditions and were divided into 3 portions. 1. 1 ml collected on fluoride oxalate (2:1)2mg/ml for estimation of plasma glucose (fasting & 2 hours postprandial). 2. 1 ml collected withpotassiumEDTA1mg/mlformeasurementforglycohemoglobin(HbA1c).3.3mlwereleftfor30-60 minutes for spontaneous clotting then centrifuged at 3000rpm for 10 minutes; serum sampleswereseparated into another setof tubesand keptfrozenat-80° Ctill use.

• Fresh, mid-stream urine was collected from all patients and refrigerated at -20°C. Using BayerCLINITEKMicroalbuminReagentStrips, as emiquantitative method for Microalbuminuria. Anal ysiswasdoneusing the CLINITEKAnalyzer. According to the manufacturer, the Bayer Microalbustix testh as a sensitivity of 90% and a specificity of 88% for the urinary albumin/creatinineratio.

2.3.1. RoutineLaboratorytesting:

- Completeblood picture: Byautomatedbloodcounter.
- Liverfunctiontests:

Serumalbumin, serumALT and AST by colorimetric method by using a spectrophotometer.

• Renalfunctiontests:

serumcreatinineandserum ureabycolorimetric method.

- Randombloodsugar,fastingbloodsugarand2hourpostprandialusingcolorimetricmethod.
- Lipidprofile:

Bloodsamplesweredrawnfromallparticipantsafter12hoursovernightfast.Allseraobtainedwereanalyze dforHDL, LDL,totalcholesteroland triglycerides.

2.3.2. Specificlaboratory:

• HbA1C:

The HbA1c determination is based on the turbid metric inhibition immunoassay (TINIA) forhemolyzedwholeblood.

• FastingInsulin:

HOMA-IR: Insulin resistance was determined using HOMA-IR was calculated according to theformula:fasting insulin (microU/L)x fasting glucose(mgl/dL)/ 405.

- $\bullet \quad Measurement of Urinary Level of fetuin-Aby ELISA kits develop dby Sun Red biotechnology co.:$
- <u>Urinaryfetuin-Aintendeduse:</u>

ThisELISA(enzyme-linkedimmunosorbentassay)kitisintendedforthequantitativedetermination of human Fetuin-A, also known as alpha-2-HS glycoprotein (AHSG), in serum, plasma (EDTA orHeparin), cell culture supernatant, tissue extraction and urine. This Fetuin-A ELISA kit is forlaboratory professional use.

- Testprinciple:
- <u>Reagents:PreparationandStorage:</u>
- Thistestkitwasstoredat2–8°Cuponreceipt.Allcomponentsarestableat2–8°Cuntilthisexpirationdate.
- Priortouse, all reagents were allowed to come to room temperature.
- <u>SpecimenCollection:</u>

Urine collected using a sterile container, centrifugated 20 minutes at the speed of 2000-3000 r.p.m.removesupernatant.

• <u>Assayprocedure:</u>

1. Asufficientnumberofantibodycoatedmicrowellstripswereplaced(Cat.300010)inaholdertorunhum an Fetuin-A standards, controls and unknown samplesin duplicate.

- 2. TestConfiguration
- <u>Performancecharacteristics</u>
- Sensitivity:

TheanalyticalsensitivityofthehumanFetuin-AELISAasdeterminedbythe95% confidencelimiton20 duplicate determination of zero standard is 5.0 ng/mL.

Precision:

The intra-assay precision is validated by measuring two samples in a single assay with 20-replicated terminations.

2.4. StatisticalAnalysis:

Dataentry,processingandstatisticalanalysiswascarriedoutusingMedCalcver.18.2.1(MedCalc,Ostend , Belgium). Tests of significance (ANOVA, and Chi square tests, logistic and multipleregressionanalysis,Spearman'scorrelationandROCCurveanalysis)wereused.Datawereprese nted and suitable analysis was done according to the type of data (parametric and non-parametric)obtainedforeachvariable.P-

values less than 0.05 (5%) was considered to be statistically significant.

<u>P-value:levelofsignificance</u>P >

0.05: Non-significant

(NS).P<0.05: Significant (S).

P<0.01:Highly significant(HS).

 $\label{eq:Descriptivestatistics:} Mean, Standarddeviation (\pm SD) and range for parametric numerical data, while Median and Inter-quartile range (IQR) for non-parametric numerical data.$

3. Results:

We found regarding sociodemographic data of the participants an onsignificant difference as regard to a gean decay studied groups (p > 0.05)(Table 1).

A highly significant difference in duration of DM and diabetic retinopathy among studied groups; with (p < 0.001). A significant difference in family history of DM among studied groups; with (p < 0.05). Anon-significant difference as regards the remaining clinical data (p > 0.05)(Table2).

Ahighlysignificant difference in hemoglobin, platelets, albumin, TGs, urea, creatinine, and Urinary Albumin/Creatinine ratio, among studied groups; with (p<0.001). A significant difference in ALT among studied groups; with (p<0.05). A non-significant difference as regards TLC, AST, HDL, LDL, and total cholesterol among studied groups; with (p>0.05)(Table 3).

 $\label{eq:highUrealevelinType2DM} HighUrealevelinType2DM with nephropathypatients in comparison to Control group; wit h(p<0.01), and highUrealevelinType2DM with nephropathypatients in comparison to Type2 DM patients; with (p<0.01)(Table 4).$

High creatinine level in Type 2 DM with nephropathy patients in comparison to Control group and Type 2 DM; with (p < 0.01), but creatinine level in Control group and Type 2 DM patients

we recomparable (p > 0.05) (Table 5).

High Albumin/Creatinine ratio in Type 2 DM with nephropathy patients in comparison to Control group and Type 2 DM; with (p < 0.01), but Albumin/Creatinine ratio in Control group and Type 2DM patients we recomparable; with (p > 0.05).

AhighlysignificantdifferenceinFBSandHbA1c,fastinginsulin,HOMA-IR,andUrinaryFetuin-Aamong studied groups; with (p <0.001)(Table7).

HighUrinaryFetuin-

AlevelinType2DMandType2DMwithnephropathypatientsincomparisontoControlgroup;with(p<0.0 1), and high Urinary Fetuin-Alevelin Type 2DM with nephropathypatients in comparison to Type 2DM patients; with (p <0.01)(Table 8).

18	able(1):Co	omparisonofsoc	io-demograph	nicdataamongstu	diedgroups	
		Control group(Grou p1)	Type2 DM (Group2)	Type 2 DM withnephropath y(Group3)	Test ofsignificance(F-test)	Pvalue
		Mean±SD	Mean±SD	Mean±SD		
Age(y	ears)	47 ± 7	48 ± 8	51 ± 7	1.343	0.268
Sev	Female	9(39.1%)	11(47.8%)	12(52.2%)	x ²⁰	0.665
DUA	Male	14(60.9%)	12(52.2%)	11(47.8%)	.816	0.005

Table(1).C 4-- J! - J л.

— — — — <i>— (</i>		•				
'l'ahle(2)•('om	narisono	fhacicc	inicaldata	amonostudied	groung
I abic(par 150110	nusicu	mata	amongstuateu	SIVUPS

Variable	Controlgroup (Group1) Mean±SD	Type2 DM (Group2) Mean±SD	Type2 DMwith nephropathy(Gr oup3) Mean±SD	Test ofSignifican ce (F-test)	P value
Waist circumference(c m)	79 ± 7	85 ± 10	82 ± 7	2.65	0.078
Hipcircumfer ence (cm)	99 ± 3	101 ± 3	101 ± 2	4.9	0.010
WaisttoHipratio	$0.81 \pm .06$	0.84 ± 0.08	0.81 ± 0.06	1.6	0.2
Height(m)	1.74 ± 0.1	$\boldsymbol{1.79 \pm 0.12}$	$\textbf{1.77} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	1.53	0.224
Weight(kg)	$\textbf{71.5} \pm \textbf{7.98}$	74.3 ± 10.56	70.96 ± 7.7	0.945	0.394
BMI(kg/m ²)	23.69 ± 1.51	$\textbf{23.16} \pm \textbf{1.95}$	22.66 ± 1.49	2.183	0.121
SBP(mmHg)	117.39 ± 4.23	120 ± 6.03	119.57 ± 6.2	1.454	0.241
DBP (mmHg)	76.96 ± 3.91	78.91 ± 4.51	$\textbf{78.7} \pm \textbf{3.76}$	1.593	0.211
DurationofDM (years)	-	5.6 ± 1.49	11 ± 2.41	82.869	< 0.001*

	Controlgroup (Group1)	Type2 DM (Group2)	Type2 DMwith nephropathy(Gr oup3)	X ²	P value
Family history	7				
ofDM +ve	5(21.7%)	16(69.6%)	15(65.2%)	12 894	0 0016*
-ve	18(78.3%)	7(30.4%)	8(34.8%)	12:074	0.0010
DiabeticRet					
inopathy	0(0%)	5(21.7%)	14(60.9%)	21.935	0.0001*
-ve	23(100%)	18(78.3%)	9(39.1%)		

Variable	Control group(Grou p1) Mean+SD	Type2 DM (Group2) Mean+SD	Type2 DM with nephropathy(Gr oup3) Mean+SD	Test ofsignifican ce(F-test)	Pvalue
Hb (g/dI)	13.62 ± 1.2	13.45 ± 0.8	12.17 ± 1.17	12/178	~0.001**
$\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{T} (10^3 / \text{mJ})$	15.02 ± 1.2	$13.+3 \pm 0.0$	12.17 ± 1.17	10.650	<0.001
ΓLΙ (10 /μL)	204 ± 55.51	293.91 ± 38	203 ± 30.9	19.039	<0.001
TLC ($10^{3}/\mu$ L)	5.95 ± 1.77	6.19 ± 1.76	6.67 ± 1.71	1.014	0.368
AST (U/L)	26.22 ± 5.77	26.04 ± 5.59	26.83 ± 7.23	0.0997	0.905
ALT(U/L)	29.96 ± 6.98	37.96 ± 11.75	38.57 ± 11.52	4.985	0.010*
S.Albumin(g/dL)	4.34 ± 0.43	4.37 ± 0.44	3.84 ± 0.49	9.787	<0.001**
T.Cholesterol (mg/dL)	148.22 ± 23.34	153.17 ± 25.11	154.65 ± 25.35	0.431	0.652
TGs (mg/dL)	113.65 ± 25.21	142.48 ± 13.07	144.61 ± 21.37	16.334	<0.001**
HDL(mg/dl)	50 ± 7	47 ± 6.6	50 ± 6.6	1.52	0.226
LDL(mg/dl)	81.69 ± 12.3	88.26 ± 7.78	86.52 ± 6.58	3.116	0.051
B.Urea (mg/dL)	32.6 ± 7.89	41.91 ± 6.2	60.91 ± 9.1	78.216	<0.001**
S.Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.97 ± 0.1	1.04 ± 0.13	2.06 ± 0.3	216.159	<0.001**
UrinaryAlbumin/C					
reatinineratio (mg/mmol)	13.43 ± 3.24	17.6 ± 3.8	45.17 ± 9	193.746	<0.001**

 Table(4):LSDforUreacomparison amongstudiedgroups:

Urea	Type2 DM	Type2 DMwith nephropathy
Control group	<0.01**	<0.01**
Type2 DM		<0.01**

Table(5):LSDforUreacomparison amongstudiedgroups:

Creatinine	Type2 DM	Type2DMwithn ephropathy
Control group	>0.05	<0.01**
Type2 DM		<0.01**

Table(6):LSDforUrinaryAlbumin/Creatinineratiocomparison amongstudiedgroups

Albumin/Creatinineratio	Type2 DM	Type 2 DM withnephropa thy
Controlgroup	>0.05	<0.01**
Type2 DM		<0.01**

Table(7):ComparisonofglycemicparametersandUrinaryFetuin-Aamongstudiedgroups

Variable	Controlg roup(Gr oup1)	Type2 DM (Group2)	Type 2 DMwithnep hropathy (Group3)	C Test ofsignifican ce(F-test)	P value
	Mean±SD	Mean±SD	Mean±SD		
FBS (mg/dL)	91.48 ± 8.08	185.35 ± 31.79	181.17 ± 31.61	93.52	< 0.001**
HbA1C (mg/dL)	5.36 ± 0.34	$\textbf{7.83} \pm \textbf{0.66}$	$\textbf{7.93} \pm \textbf{0.58}$	165.64	< 0.001**
FastingInsulin (mU/L)	6.83 ± 0.87	8.26 ± 0.87	11.37 ± 2.7	42.205	< 0.001**
HOMA-IR	$\textbf{1.53} \pm \textbf{0.17}$	$\textbf{3.74} \pm \textbf{0.5}$	$\textbf{4.96} \pm \textbf{0.92}$	185.828	< 0.001**
UrinaryFetuin- A(ng/gcr)	67.79 ± 12	86.78 ± 10.8	111.51 ± 20.58	48.457	< 0.001**

thy
< 0.01**
<0.01**

Table (8): LSD for Urinary Fetuin-A comparison among studied groups:



Figure(1):CorrelationbetweenUrinaryFetuin-A andHOMA-IR.

4. Discussion:

Diabetes mellitus is one of the most common chronic diseases, and its incidence continues to increase of just in adults but also in the pediatric population. (8)

TheearlystageinthenaturalhistoryofincreasingalbuminuriainDKDwassubclinicalproteinuria"microalbuminuria",andsometimestermedas"incipientdiabeticnephropathy."(9)

Development of diabetic nephropathy has a genetic component that is likely polygenetic. Theprevalence of diabetic nephropathy varies regarding the risk factors associated which includesirreversibleriskfactorsas(age,sex,ethnicity,familyhistory, anddurationof diabetes) andmodifiableoneas(hyperglycemia,hypertension,albuminuria,dyslipidemia,andsmoking).Despitet heroleofgeneticsusceptibilityandfamilialaggregation,associationsbetweengene(s)andindexesofDK Dhavenot yet identified.(9)

Microal buminuria is the most widely used as early clinical indicator of DN and has been recognized as a predictor of progression to end-

stagekidneydiseaseinbothtype1andtype2diabetes,however,microalbuminuria is not specific for the presence of diabetic kidney disease because it can occur inpatientswithdiabeteswithoutconcurrentorfutureDN,andinnondiabeticpatientswithprogressivechro nickidney disease(CKD). (10).

Hence, DNisthelargest single cause of end-

stagekidneydisease,therefore,thereisanurgentneedtoidentifymoresensitiveandspecificbiomarkerstha nmicroalbuminuriaforearlydetectionofDN.The current study was made to evaluate urinary Fetuin-A as a biomarker for DN in type 2 diabeticpatients.

In agreement with us **Motawi et al.**, (11) who discussed the potential serum biomarkers for earlydetection of diabetic nephropathy found no significant difference between patient and controlsubjects as regarding the BMI, while WC was not discussed in his study, and also in agreement with **A** ssal et al., (12), and Nakhjavani et al., (13), they added that BMI was not significantly different inpatients groups, P=(>0.05).

DKD requires hyperglycemia to develop, and glycemic control is the primary determinant of theonset of nephropathy. Hyperglycemia generates advanced glycation end products (AGEs) withintissue and plasma. These are generated via non-enzymatic oxidative reaction of amino acids fromproteinspresent in renal tissueand plasma(14).

The glycemic parameters of the current study showed highly significant difference among studiedgroupsincluding (FBS, HBA1C,Fasting insulin, HOMA-IR),P =(<0.001)for all.

In agreement with us **Motawi et al.**, (11), **Sun et al.**, (15), and **Assal et al.**, (12); their studiessuggestingthathyperglycemiawasthedrivingforceforthedevelopmentofDNandelevatedHbA1 chasbeenassociatedwiththedevelopmentofmicroangiopathyindiabetesduetothespecialaffinityforoxy gen, and therebycausing tissue anoxia.

Notinconcordancewithourstudy**Nakhjavanietal.**,(13),foundHbA1Cwasnotsignificantlydifferent between type 2 diabetic patients and type 2 diabetic patients with Diabetic nephropathy.Theroleofdyslipidemiaintheinitiationand

progressionofDKDstillispoorlydefined.Resultsofseveralcross-

sectionalandprospectivestudiesrevealassociationsbetweendys-lipoproteinemia,elevatedapoB-100– containinglipoproteinlevels,lowhigh-densitylipoprotein(HDL)cholesterollevels,and albuminuriain individuals with diabetes(14).

Asregardingourlipidprofile,including(HDL,LDL,S.CholesterolandTriglycerides),asignificantelevat ion in Type 2 DM and Type 2 DM with nephropathy patients than in control, Triglyceridesonlyshowed significant statistical difference, P=(<0.001)

Inagreement with us Assaletal., (12); found a significant difference as regarding TG only between patients 'groups and a significant difference between patients and healthy groups, P=(<0.05).

Also,inagreementwithus**Motawietal.,(11),BonnetandCooper,(16)andTazawaetal.,(17)**,found only the serum level of TGs was significantly higher in patients than in control, whileCholesterol was not significantly differed. **Mahfouz et al., (18),** found that the serum levels ofCholesterolandTGsweresignificantlyhigherindiabeticgroupsthaninthecontrolandinbetweenthedia beticgroups.ThesediscriminateresultsmaybeduetodisruptioninlipidmetabolisminDMthatpromoteglo merularand tubuleinterstitial injury(**19**)

Not in concordance with our results **Motawi et al.**, (11) reported that, urea only was significantlyhigherinpatients' groups than control group and also in between patients' groups, while Creat inine and UACR had no significant difference.

Nakhjavani et al., (13), found Creatinine was not significantly different between patients' groups and healthy subjects, and innewly diagnosed patients and those with diabetes duration of more than 5 years.

In the current study, UACR was significantly elevated in the patient Type 2 DM with

nephropathythancontrol group and Type2 DM group, P=(0.001).

inagreementwith**Motawietal.**,(11),hefoundsignificantdifferencebetweenpatientsandcontrolsubject s,and between patients' groups.

In the present study; we used HOMA-IR index to evaluate the difference between T2DM patients and healthy subjects, which was significantly elevated in patients groups than control one, and whe nonparing the patients group together, a highly significant statistical difference among studied groups were recorded, P=(<0.001).

Also, we found a highly significant positive correlation between HOMA-IR and urinary Fetuin-A,P=(<0.001).

Inagreement with us **Waheed**, (20) who studied there leof some biochemical markers in prediction of DN, and his results showed significant difference (P<0.001) in HOMA-IR mean values between patients groups and also between diabetic patients groups and control group; P=(<0.001).

The current study evaluated the role of urinary excretion of fetuin-A, and estimated its role as anearlydetector ofDN.

In diabetic nephropathy, tubular involvement may precede glomerular involvement, as severaltubular proteins and enzymes are detectable even before the appearance of microalbuminuria orrisingin serum creatinine(**21**)

Inoueetal.,(22) demonstrated that the urinary excretion offet uin-

Aisacandidateforthebiomarkertopredicttheprogressionofdiabeticnephropathy.Althoughtwoprevious publishedstudiesidentified fetuin-A in urines samples of the patients with diabetic nephropathy, the quantificationswere limited to inaccurate estimations by fluorescence 2-D differential in-gel electrophoresis (**21**)andcapillaryelectrophoresis coupled to massspectrometry(**22**).

Higher excretion of fetuin-A into urine has been reported to reflect the insulin resistance and inflammatory responses in obesity and type 2 diabetes and it may reflect the increase in the serumlevels of fetuin-A and alterations in the changes in the permeability of glomerular capillaries. Fetuin-A is reported to pass through the slit diaphragm and re-introduced to proximal tubular cells

bymegalin-mediatedendocytosis(23).

Urinary Fetuin-A in the current study showed significant elevation in patients' groups than controlgroupandincomparingType2DMandType2DMwithnephropathytogether, a highly significants tatistical difference among studied groups was reported, P=(<0.001), (Table 7).

In agreement with (22) as they found that urinary excretion of fetuin-A positively correlated withCreatinine,Ureaand ACR and negatively correlated with serum albumin.

Higherurinaryfetuin-

Aexcretiondemonstrated a higherrisk for the development of microal buminuria and reduction of renal function (22)

5. Conclusion

We could conclude that Urinary excretion of Fetuin-Acan be used for early detection of DN in Type 2DM patients with excellent accuracy.

6. ConflictofInterest: Noconflictofinterest.

7. References

- 1. Zheng, Shirong; Powell, David W.; Zheng, Feng; Kantharidis, Phillip; Gnudi, Luigi (2016).DiabeticNephropathy:Proteinuria,Inflammation,andFibrosis.JournalofDiabetesResearch,2016(6),1-2.
- Haneda, Masakazu; Utsunomiya, Kazunori; Koya, Daisuke; Babazono, Tetsuya; Moriya, Tatsumi;Makino, Hirofumi; Kimura, Kenjiro; Suzuki, Yoshiki; Wada, Takashi;Ogawa, Susumu; Inaba,Masaaki; Kanno, Yoshihiko; Shigematsu, Takashi; Masakane, Ikuto; Tsuchiya, Ken; Honda, Keiko;Ichikawa,Kazuko;Shide,Kenichiro(2015).AnewClassificationofDiabeticNephropathy201 4:areportfrom Joint CommitteeonDiabeticNephropathy.Journal ofDiabetesInvestigation, 6(2),242– 246.
- 3. **Matsuil,HamanoT,MikamiS,InoueK,ShimomuraA,NagasawaY,etal**(**2013**).Retentionoffetuin-Ainrenaltubularlumenprotectsthekidneyfromnephrocalcinosisinrats.AmJPhysiolRenalPhysiol.;304: F751–60.
- 4. **Stefan,N.,Hennige,A.M.,Staiger,H.,Machann,J.,Schick,F.,Krober,S.M.,...Haring,H.U(2006).** Alpha2-Heremans-Schmid glycoprotein/fetuin-A is associated with insulin resistance and fat accumulationinthe liver in humans.DiabetesCare,29, 853–857.
- 5. Kundranda MN, Ray S, Saria M et al (2004). Annexins expressed on the cell surface serve as receptorsforadhesiontoimmobilized fetuin-A. BiochimBiophys Acta;1693:111–123.
- 6. **Ix JH, Sharma K (2010).** Mechanisms linking obesity, chronic kidney disease, and fatty liver disease: therolesof fetuin-A, adiponectin, andAMPK. JAmSocNephrol21:406–412.
- 7. Hennige, A. M., H. Staiger, C. Wicke, F. Machicao, A. Fritsche, H.-U. H€ aring, and N. Stefan (2008).

Fetuin-Ainducescytokineexpressionandsuppressesadiponectinproduction.PLoSOne3(3):765.

- 8. Imperatore G, Boyle JP, Thompson TJ, Case D, Dabelea D, Hamman RF, Lawrence JM, Liese AD,Liu LL, Mayer-Davis EJ, Rodriguez BL, Standiford D (2012). SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth StudyGroup.Projectionsoftype1andtype2diabetesburdenintheU.S.populationaged<20yearsthrough 2050:dynamicmodelingof incidence,mortality,andpopulationgrowth.Diabetes Care;35:2515–2520.
- 9. **MacIsaacRJ,EkinciEI,JerumsG(2014).**Markersofandriskfactorsforthedevelopmentandprogressio nofdiabetic kidney disease.AmJ KidneyDis.;63(2)(suppl2):S39-S62.
- 10. **PerkinsBA,FicocielloLH,RoshanB,WarramJH,KrolewskiAS(2010).** Inpatients with type 1 diabete sand new-onset microal buminuria the development of advanced chronic kidney disease may not require progression to proteinuria. KidneyInt; 77:57–64.
- Motawi, T. K., Shehata, N. I., ElNokeety, M. M., & El-Emady, Y. F (2018). Potential serum biomarkersforearlydetection diabeticnephropathy. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice, 136,150–158.
- AssalHS,TawfeekS,RasheedEA,El-LebedyD,ThabetEH(2013).SerumcystatinCandtubularurinaryenzymes as biomarkers of renal dysfunction in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Clin Med Insights EndocrinolDiabetes;6:7-13.
- Nakhjavani, M., Morteza, A., Khajeali, L., Esteghamati, A., Khalilzadeh, O., Asgarani, F., & Outeiro, T. F (2010). Increased serum HSP70 levels are associated with the duration of diabetes. Cell Stress and Chaperones, 15(6), 959–964.

- 14. **DarouxM,PrevostG,Maillard-LefebvreH,etal(2010).** Advanced glycationend-products: implications for diabetic and nondiabetic nephropathies. Diabetes Metab.; 36:1-10.
- 15. **SunYM,SuY,LiJ,WangLF(2013).**Recentadvances inunderstandingthebiochemicalandmolecularmechanismof diabetic nephropathy.BiochemBiophys ResCommun ;433:359–61.
- 16. **BonnetF,CooperME(2000).**Potentialinfluenceoflipidsindiabeticnephropathy:insightsfromexperim entaldata and clinicalstudies. DiabetesMetab;26:254–64.
- 17. **TazawaM,IsekiK,IsekiC,OshiroS,IkemiyaY,TakishitaS,etal**(**2002**). Triglyceride, butnottotalchol esterolorlow-densitylipoproteincholesterollevels, predictdevelopmentofproteinuria. KidneyInt ;62:1743–9.
- 18. **Mahfouz MH, Assiri AM, Mukhtar MH (2016).** Assessment of neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin(NGAL) and retinol-binding protein 4 (RBP4) in Type 2 diabetic patients with nephropathy. BiomarkerInsights; 11:31–40.
- 19. Shoji T, Emoto M, Kawagishi T, Kimoto E, Yamada A, Tabata T, et al (2001). Atherogenic lipoproteinchangesin diabeticnephropathy. Atherosclerosis;156:425–33.
- 20. **Waheed,H.J(2015).**OriginalResearchArticleAComparativeStudyforCystatinCandSomeBiochemic alMarkersfor Predicting DiabeticNephropathyin IraqiPatients,4(3), 108–114.
- 21. Rao PV, Lu X, Standley M, Pattee P, Neelima G, et al. (2007) Proteomic identification of urinarybiomarkersofdiabetic nephropathy. Diabetes Care 30:629–637.
- 22. **RoscioniSS,deZeeuwD,HellemonsME,MischakH,ZurbigP,etal.(2013)**Aurinarypeptidebiomarke rset predicts worseningof albuminuriaintype 2diabetes mellitus.Diabetologia 56:259–267.
- 23. JungCH,KimBY,KimCH,KangSK,JungSH,etal(2013).Associationsofserumfetuin-Alevelswithinsulinresistance andvascularcomplicationsinpatients withtype 2diabetes. DiabVasc DisRes.