Study the Effect of Purified cow milk whey Glutathione on Cancer Cell Growth (LS174T) *in vitro*

Ban .J. Majed[1], Kifah S. Doosh[2] and Amer T. Tawfeeq[3]

[1] General Secretariat for the Council of Ministers, Baghdad, Iraq.
[2] College of Agriculture engineering science, Baghdad University, Baghdad, Iraq.
[3] Iraqi Center for Caner and Medical Genetic Research ,Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad, Iraq.

Abstract

Glutathione is an important protein in many biological applications as a potential cancer treatment agent .In this study, glutathione was purified from cow milk whey bygelfiltration using Sephadex G-10 column .To know its ability as anticancer agent the study for the cytotoxic effect of the purified glutathione on, LS174T (human colorectal adenocarcinoma) cell line at different concentrations and different exposure time of treatment. The purified glutathione concentrations ranging (6.25 to 400) μg/ml for 24, 48 and 72 hours. The effect of glutathione was evaluated by employing MTT assay. The results revealed significant cytotoxic effect at levels ($P<0.05$) for all concentrations and for all exposure time as compared to untreated control cells, The inhibition rate IR% increased with raising of glutathione concentration and incubation period The highest inhibitory growth was shown at concentration(400μg/ml) after 72hrs of exposure time it was 98% .

Keywords: cow milk whey , glutathione , LS174T, , MTT assay

Introduction

Cancer is a group of disease characterized by uncontrolled growth and spread of abnormal cancer cells, in which normal cells start multiplying un controllably ignoring signal to stopped accumulating to form a mass that is generally termed a tumor (Skuse, 2015). This disease is the second leading cause of deaths worldwide as it still takes millions of people lives every year around the world. In 2008, almost 12.7 million people were diagnosed with cancer and more than 7.5 million of them were dead (Siegel *etal.,* 2012) the world health organization (WHO) estimated that the annual global cancer deaths may rise to 19 million by 2020 (Rastogi*etal.,*2004). Recently in Iraq there is a terrible number of un published cancer cases beside the published case by Iraqi cancer council in 2016 which were 25.55 thousand and more than 24 thousand of they were dead (IARC,2016). The current conventional cancer treatment options for localized tumors and advanced disease are typically associated with risks and side effects (Shin *et al*., 2020.) The discovery of anticancer drugs remains a highly challenging endeavor since cancer a hard to cure disease (Hatzimichael, 2013).

 The new protocols for cancer therapy include biological natural products. An increasing interest has been reported on the use of biologically active substances from food (Kifah, *etal* .2015 and Comstock et. al.,2014).   Whey proteins were received considerable attention in recent years to being one of the biologically role as anticancer specially glutathione (Zainab,*et al*,2015 and Matés*et.al.,* 2020). glutathione is a tri-amino acid peptide that have a

major role in cell biology, The main role of this tri-peptide in cellular activity was maintain as the equilibrium state between oxidation and antioxidant(Lv*et al.,* 2019). The oxidation stat inside the cells generated as a result of the metabolic activity continuously produce what is known the reactive oxygen species (ROS)   (Lv*et al.,* 2019).   These types of molecules are very harmful if they remain inside the cells for a long period as are self of it high oxidizing capacity, therefore they should be removed from the cells as soon as possible, Here comes the role of glutathione, which reduce all ROS to its ground stat, leaves them harmless to the cell (Wu and Batist 2013).In vivo studies showed that oral administration of glutathione reduced tumorigenic in different organs such as breast, liver, lung and colon. Glutathione was found to induce apoptosis in several human cell lines. More over glutathione was effective against breast and liver cancer cells (Kalinina and Gavriliuk, 2020).

Material and methods

The source of cow's milk

Cow's milk was obtained from the Research Station of the Agricultural Research Directorate - Ministry of Agriculture - Abu Jarib– Baghdad

Preparation of Cheese whey:

one liter of fresh cow's skim milk was washeated to 40 ° C in a suitable container using a laboratory hot plate.Then the milk was cooled to room temperature to 25 ° C. After that, appropriate amount of rennet solution was added to the milk with stirring and raised the milk to curdletemperature .the curd was cut to formed 1 cm cubes by using a knief. Then the curd was lifted to settle for 30 minutes, and the produced whey was sucked up into a 500 mL beaker(Kebary*et al*., 1993) .The Cheese whey was skimmed by centrifugation in a Sigma MA3-18 centrifuge at 4000 g/min for 3.min at 4°C. Cheese whey was prepared by precipitation of the casein from skimmed whey in acidic condition with gradual addition from 1N HCl until pH reached to 4.6, the precipitated caseinwas removed by centrifugation at 12000g/min for 30 min at 4°C. The supernatant (whey) was adjusted to pH 6.8 with 1N NaOH. After adjusting the pH, some turbidity was observed in the solution, so the solution was filtered with 0.22 μMMlipore membrane filter. The prepared whey solution was lyophilized and stored at -20°C until used. (Al-Mashakhi and Nakai, 1987).

Isolation and Purification of glutathione

 Isolation and purifcation procedures by Fágáin et al., (2017)   were used toseparate glutathione from other proteins in Cheese whey .Theprocedure involved   gel fltration chromatography by usingSephadex G-10 column.

Cell Growth:

human colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line (LS174T) was kindly provided from experimented therapy department cell bank unite Iraqi centerof cancer and medical genetic researches, the cells were cultured inRPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2 mmol/L glutamine, Streptomycin 0.5 ml/L,ampicillin 1ml/L, and

incubated in 5% CO2 at 37 ºCfor 24 h. Cell counts determined using 0.2 ml of trypanblue solution and 1.6 ml PBS , then subculture when monolayer's cells were confluent. Afterwards, 200 µl of cells ingrowth medium were added to each well of a sterile 96-wellmicrotiter plate. The plates were sealed with a self-adhesivefilm, lid placed on and incubated in 5% CO2 at 37°C. Whenthe cells are in exponential growth, i.e. after lag phase, themedium was removed and after lag phase, the medium was removed andAfter that 200 µL of media containing the serial dilutions of glutathaion (100µg/ml- 50µg/ml,25µg/ml and 12.5 were added to the wells. with 3 replicates for each concentration. Beside that RPMI-SF media was added to a separated wells in the same plate to surve as control untreated wells. As a positive control 100 µg/mL of doxorubicin was used to compare the results with it. Afterwards, the plates re-incubated under the same condition for the selected exposure times (24, 48, 72 hrs).

Cytotoxicity assay

Freshney (2005) method was used as a fallow, two hundred µl of cell suspensions $(1x10^5$ cell/ml) (Confluentmonolayer's) of LS174Twas seeded into wells of a 96-well plate. After 24 hrs of incubation 200 µl of glutathione extract serial dilutions were added. three replicates were used for each concentration of extract. Afterwards, the plates were re-incubated at 37°C for the selected exposure times (24, 48, 72) hrs.

The cytotoxicity test was determined by MTT (3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) assay. In brief, 100 µl of MTT was added to the wells,the cells were cultured for additional 4 hrs at 37ºC. Then 50µl of DMSO was added to the wells. The solubilized formazan was measured at 570 nm using microplate spectrophotometer (Multiskan, Finland).The % Inhibition were calculated with the following formulae:

Inhibition Rate % = 1-(OD of sample / OD of control) x 100

The Results

Gel filtration chromatography:

After preparation of free fat and free protein whey, the prepared whey was lyophilized for application to gel filtration chromatography using a Sephadex G-10 column. Figure 1 showed that the void volume of the gel was determined with blue dextran; The void volume of the column was 4 mL.


Figure 1: The determination of sephadex G10 gel filtration column with blue dextran. The eluent was sodium phosphate saline pH 7.4, by using column (1 × 20 cm(

Figure 2 represents the results of gel filtration on sephadex G-10 to purify glutathione from previously prepared whey samples.The presence and the amount of glutathione in the collected fractions was determined using the specific glutathione detection kit which gives the amount of glutathione in the collected fractions by units of micromoles. The maximum amount of glutathione was collected in the fraction number 18, 19, and 20. The absorbance values of these fractions were 0.229, 0.295, and 0.233 respectively, whereas the absorbance values for the calibrator (the positive control) and the blank were 0.340 and 0.101respectivly, therefore the amount of the glutathione in these fraction was 53.55, 81.77, and 55.23 μM respectively


Figure 2: Gel filtration chromatography for purification gsh by using Sephadex G-10 column (1 × 20 cm), equilibrated with phosphate buffer saline pH 7.4 with a flow rate of 50 ml/hr, 1ml for each fraction.

cytotoxic activity of glutathione:

The result of the cytotoxic activity of glutathionetested against human colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line (LS174T) determined by MTT assay and percentage of inhibition calculated by microplate reader at 570nm , were shown in figure 3


Figure 3: Anti-cancer activity of the purified glutathione against LS174T cell line with IC50 of 389, 302, and 141 microgram / mL after 24, 48,and 72 hr respectively

From the figure (3) it's cold be indicated that the least growth inhibition percentage after 24, 48, and 72 hours was 6.25 μg /mL which was 18, 23 and 50% respectively.

The growth inhibition percentage increased after 24, 48, and 72 hours by increasing the glutathione concentration to reach 33, 55, and 90% in 100 μg / mL of glutathione respectively. The highest growth inhibition percentage was elevated to higher levels when 400 μg / mL of glutathione was used and it was 41, 70, and 98% respectively The growth inhibition 50% (IC50) for the cancer cells varied according to the and the incubation time as can be seen in the figure3. It was 389, 302, and 141 microgram / mL of the glutathione for LS174T cell line at 24, 48, 72 hr of incubation time. This concentration reflects the effectiveness of the glutathione in reduce cancer cells viability, the more the IC50 increased the less the sensitivity of the cells to the glutathione. In order to compare this growth inhibition that used the purified glutathione with that of the standard glutathione, the same cell lines were exposed to the same range of standard glutathione concentration and the same incubation times. Figure 4 show the results.


Figure 4: Anti-cancer activity of the standard glutathione against LS174T cell line with IC50 of 368, 218, and 119 microgram / mL after 24, 48,and 72 hr respectively.

theresults in figure (4) showed that the lowest growth inhibition percentage after 24, 48, and 72 hours of incubation at 6.25 μg /mL concentration of glutathione was 8, 10, 52% respectively. The growth inhibition percentage increased by increasing the glutathione concentration to reach 40, 62, and 90% in 100 μg / mL of glutathione respectively. The highest growth inhibition percentage was elevated to higher levels when 100 μg / mL of glutathione was used and it was 50, 70, and 92% respectively.

For LS174T cell line the growth inhibition patron was similar to that for purified glutathione, however the IC 50 for the three incubation times were higher in this experiments for the three incubation times as can be seen in figure 4.

With the standard glutathione the growth inhibition of the cancer cell line were evident the effect was started with the use of lowest glutathione concentration and it was escalated with

the increment of glutathione concentration. Its noticeable that the standard glutathione was having a little bit lower IC50 which indicate some kind of efficiency for the standard glutathione over the purified one .

To study the effect of gsh whey as an anticancer against one cell line(LS174T cell line), an assay for chemotherapy doxorubicin was carried out for the one cell line under this study. As can be shown in figure 5, the doxorubicin can inhibits the growth of cell line under the study in concentrations far lower than the glutathione during 24hr time of incubation. The IC50 for doxorubicin were 0.129, microgram/ mL for the cell LS174T after 24 hr incubation . These IC50 are more than 1000 times lower than the IC50 achieved using the purified glutathione or the standard glutathione. These results are logical since glutathione is originally nontoxic material and its considered safe for human consumption. In some studies recommendations were suggested to use glutathione for its cosmetic properties, and studies showed that supplementation of reduced form of glutathione (GSH, 500 mg/d) has a skin-lightening efficacy in humans. (Weschawalit*et al.,* 2017) Whereas the doxorubicin can't be used in dosages like those used with glutathione since its doses used in cancer patients ranged between 2 to 60 mg/M2 of body mass (Vaitiekus*etal.,* 2020). Whoever for overall anticancer activity caparisoning purposes the assay for doxorubicin against the studded cell lines was jest carried out.


Figure (5): Anti-cancer activity of the chemotherapy doxorubicin against LS174T cell line with IC50 of 0.103, microgram / mL after 24hrt.

 The results of this study were consistent with the results of other concern on the role of glutathione or glutathione reductase in the prevention of cancer or even treat cancer when used with some chemotherapies. The modulation of the GSH-antioxidant system has

provided promising preclinical results and GSH-based medication has also been successfully employed to protect against cisplatin induced nephrotoxicity (Dong *etal*., 2018).

Other researches reviewed that the multifaceted roles of glutathione and glutathione-based systems in carcinogenesis, anticancer drug resistance, and clinical applications (Hatem, *etal*., 2017) . Chronic infection, injury and inflammation have long been recognized as risk factors for the development and progression of various human cancers (Furman *etal.,* 2019).

There is ample evidence to indicate that factors present in the tumor microenvironment (TME), such as products of inflammatory cells reactive nitrogen and oxygen species may cause DNA damage to the neighboring cells, thereby contributing to tumor progression (Greten*etal.,* 2019).
A detailed review of many roles of the inflammation-driven changes in tumorigenesis has been recently provided (Greten*etal.,* 2019).
It has been established that GSH deficiency or a change in GSH/GSSG ratio increases the vulnerability of cells to oxidative stress, inflammation, and tumor progression. However, elevated GSH levels increase antioxidant capacity and resistance to oxidative stress as is evident in many tumors. It has been demonstrated that exogenously added GSH inhibits the inflammatory response through regulation of ROS while endogenous GSH has been recently shown to have a role in fine-tuning the innate immune response to infection thereby regulating inflammation (Diotallevi*etal.*, 2017).
GSH therefore has a dual role in the inflammatory response as an antioxidant ROS scavenger in the oxidative stress as well as signaling molecule that regulates protein function through thiol–disulfide exchange reactions such as protein glutathionylation with many examples of regulation of oncogenes (e.g., p53, HIF-1, c-jun) presented in the database developed by Chen *et al*., (2014)

References:

1. (Dong, S.C., Sha, H.H., Xu, X.Y., Hu, T.M., Lou, R., Li, H., Wu, J.Z., Dan, C. and Feng, J., 2018. Glutathione S-transferase π: A potential role in antitumor therapy. Drug design, development and therapy, 12: 3535.).
2. (Hatem, E., El Banna, N. and Huang, M.E., 2017. Multifaceted roles of glutathione and glutathione-based systems in carcinogenesis and anticancer drug resistance. Antioxidants and redox signaling, 27,15:1217-1234.
3. (Weschawalit, S., Thongthip, S., Phutrakool, P., and Asawanonda, P. (2017). Glutathione and its antiaging and antimelanogenic effects. Clinical, cosmetic and investigational dermatology, 10, 147.).
4. . Al-Mashikhi, S. A. and Nakai, S.(1987).Isolation of bovine immunoglobulins and lactoferrin from whey protein by gel fltrationstechniques.J of Dairy Science, 70:2486-2492.
5. Greten, F.R.; Grivennikov, S.I. (2019). Inflammation and Cancer: Triggers, Mechanisms, and Consequences. Immunity 51: 27–41. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

6. Al-Mashikhi, S. A. and Nakai, S.(1987).Isolation of bovine immunoglobulins and lactoferrin from whey protein by gel filtrations techniques.J of Dairy Science, 70:2486-2492.

7. Chen, Y.-J.; Lu, C.-T.; Lee, T.-Y.; Chen, Y.-J. db.(2014). GSH: A database of S-glutathionylation. Bioinformatics, 30: 2386–2388. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

8. Comstock, S.S.; Reznikov ,E.A.; Contractor ,N. and Donovan ,S.M.(2014). Dietary bovine Lactoferrin alters mucosal and systemic immune cell responses in neonatal piglets. J. Nutr. 4:525-32.

9. Diotallevi, M.; Checconi, P.; Palamara, A.T.; Celestino, I.; Coppo, L.; Holmgren, A.; Abbas, K.; Peyrot, F.; Mengozzi, M.; Ghezzi, P. (2017).Glutathione Fine-Tunes the Innate Immune Response toward Antiviral Pathways in a Macrophage Cell Line Independently of Its Antioxidant Properties. Front. Immunol. 8, 8. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

10. Freshney, R.I. (2005). Culture of animal cells: A Manual for basic asic technique (5th ed.). John Wiley and Sons Inc. Publication,New York.

11. Furman, D.; Campisi, J.; Verdin, E.; Carrera-Bastos, P.; Targ, S.; Franceschi, C.; Ferrucci, L.; Gilroy, D.W.; Fasano, A.; Miller, G.W.; et al. (2019). Chronic inflammation in the etiology of disease across the life span. Nat. Med. 25: 1822–1832. [CrossRef]

12. Hatzimichael, E. and Crook, T. (2013). Cancer Epigenetics: New Therapies and New Challenges. Journal of Drug Delivery. Volume 2013. Article ID 529312, 9 pages.

13. IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer), (2011) Section of Cancer Information. Cited by: Yousif, R. A. (2012). Effect of Cordiamyxa L. crude extract on growth of cancer and normal cell lines. M. Sc. Thesis. College of science for women, University of Baghdad , Iraq.

14. Kalinina, E. V., &Gavriliuk, L. A. (2020). Glutathione Synthesis in Cancer Cells. Biochemistry (Moscow), 85(8): 895-907.

15. Kebary, K.M., H.A. Soliman and N.M. Doma (1993). Functional Properties of Whey and Bean Proteins and their effects on rheological and Backing Properties of Wheat Flour. Egyptian J. Dairy Sci., 21: 193 – 228.

16. Kifah S .Doosh ,ZainabH.A.andNahi Y. Y. (2015). Study The Effect Of Purified G*oat* Milk Lactoferrin And Bovine Lactoferrin on Cancer Cell Growth (ANG) *In vitro*. Iraqi Journal of Biotechnology, 14- 2 : 223-237

17. Lv, H., Zhen, C., Liu, J., Yang, P., Hu, L., & Shang, P. (2019). Unraveling the potential role of glutathione in multiple forms of cell death in cancer therapy. Oxidative medicine and cellular longevity.

18. Matés, J. M., Campos-Sandoval, J. A., de Los Santos-Jiménez, J., &Márquez, J. (2020). Glutaminases regulate glutathione and oxidative stress in cancer. Archives of Toxicology, 1-21.

19. Ó'Fágáin, C., Cummins, P. M., & O'Connor, B. F. (2017). Gel-filtration chromatography. In Protein Chromatography 15-25. Humana Press, New York, NY

20. Rastogi, T., Hildesheim, A. and Sinha, R. (2004). Opportunities for cancer epidemiology in developing countries. Nature Rev. cancer. 4:909.

21. Shin, E., Lim, D.H., Han, J., Nam, D.H., Park, K., Ahn, M.J., Kang, W.K., Lee, J., Ahn, J.S., Lee, S.H. and Sun, J.M. (2020). Markedly increased ocular side effect

causing severe vision deterioration after chemotherapy using new or investigational epidermal or fibroblast growth factor receptor inhibitors. BMC ophthalmology, 20,1:1-13

22. Siegel, R., Naishadham, D. and Jemal, A. (2012). Cancer statistics. Cancer Journal for Clinicians, 62: 10–29.

23. Skuse, A. (2015). What Was Cancer? Definition, Diagnosis and Cause: Ravenous Natures. In Constructions of Cancer in Early Modern England. Springer Nature.

24. Valentine, J. C., Thursky, K. A., & Worth, L. J. (2020). Sepsis in cancer: a question of definition. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health, 44,3: 245-245.

25. Wu, J. H., &Batist, G. (2013). Glutathione and glutathione analogues; therapeutic potentials. Biochimica et BiophysicaActa (BBA)-General Subjects, 1830,5: 3350-3353.

26. Zainab, H. A, Doosh,K. S. and Nahi Y. Y.(2015). Isolation, Purification and Characterization of Lactoferrin from Goat Colostrum Whey. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition 14 8: 517-523.