

## Across Sectional Study on Utility of Partogram in Management of Active Labour

<sup>1</sup>Dr Zinsi Thakur, <sup>2</sup>Dr Sharad Dohare, <sup>3</sup>Dr Nidhi Choudhary, <sup>4</sup>Dr Narendra Singh Patel, <sup>5</sup>Dr. Shilpi Parihar, <sup>6</sup>Dr. Ashmika Chauhan

<sup>1</sup>MBBS, MS, DNB (Obc&Gynec), Assistant Professor, People Medical College, Bhopal

<sup>2</sup>MBBS, DCH (Pediatrics), Demonstrator (Forensic Medicine), ABVGMC Vidisha MP

<sup>3</sup>MBBS, MD (Dermatology), Assistant Professor, RKDF Medical College, Bhopal

<sup>4</sup>MBBS, MD (Forensic Medicine), Assistant Professor, Forensic Medicine, ABVGMC Vidisha MP

<sup>5</sup>DNB, ENT (Mumbai)

<sup>6</sup>MS, Obstetrics and Gynaecology (Mumbai)

**Corresponding Author:** Dr Narendra Singh Patel ([drnspatel30@gmail.com](mailto:drnspatel30@gmail.com))

### Abstract

#### Introduction:

Prolonged labour is associated with obstructed labour, infections, PPH, uterine rupture and increased maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality. This study was planned with the objective to assess utility of partogram in detection of abnormal progress of labour and prevention of prolonged labour.

#### Materials and Methods:

This study involved a detailed prospective workup of 200 patients admitted at Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, G.R. Medical College, Gwalior within a total span of 1 year i.e. July 2012 to Sept. 2013. Primigravid women, aged 19-29 years, who had crossed 37 weeks of gestation were included in the study. WHO partogram was used. A semi-structured questionnaire with demographic details, history of present illness, relevant past history, relevant family history, general examination, obstetric examination, vital signs, laboratory investigations and partogram, was used to collect data.

#### Results:

Cases were divided into three groups on the base of partogram. In group I, II and III, there were 120 (60%), 41 (20.5%) and 39 (19.5%) cases. Most (118, 98.3%) of the cases in group I were delivered normal vaginally and only 2 (1.6%) cases underwent LSCS. In group II, most (34, 82.9%) delivered as normal vaginally, 3 of them were delivered by ventouse (7.3%) and 4 were delivered by LSCS (9.7%). In group III, among 36 cases 24 were delivered normal vaginal (61.5%), 3 were by ventouse (7.6%) and 12 were by LSCS (30.7%).

**Conclusion:** The key to early diagnosis and detection of disorders in labour progression specifically by following the evolution of characteristic pattern of cervical dilatation and foetal descent, using the partogram has also helped in achieving the policy of active management of labour by ensuring the delivery of the patients within twelve hours.

**Keyword:** partogram, utility, labour

### Introduction

Labour is a natural physiological process characterized by progressive increase in frequency, intensity and duration of uterine contractions, resulting in effacement and dilatation of cervix, with descent of the foetus through the birth canal. This physiologic process many a time may lead to prolonged labour with the resultant increase in the morbidity and mortality of both foetus and the mother.<sup>[1]</sup>

Most authorities believe that the best way to monitor labour is with the help of a partogram, which is a record of the progress of labour and the maternal and foetal condition during labour against a time scale.<sup>[2]</sup> Plotting cervical dilatation and descent of the presenting part against time allows objective graphic

documentation of the progress of labour and simplifies the clinical interpretation of the dynamic changes that occur during labour. Any deviation from the normal curve alerts the attendant to the possibility of a labour disorder in advance. It helps not only in recognition but also in characterization and management of dysfunctional labour.<sup>[3]</sup>

Labour depends upon uterine contraction, cervical dilation and effacement. It also depends upon pelvic and foetal weight. The last two cannot be altered in any case. What we can monitor and can change are the uterine contractions and dilation of cervix. Once labour has started it is possible to regulate its duration and progress with almost complete success. This requires a systemic approach with careful diagnosis of onset of labour, regular assessment and decisive action.<sup>[4]</sup>

Prolonged labour is associated with obstructed labour, infections, PPH, uterine rupture and increased maternal and perinatal morbidity and may end in mortality.<sup>[5-7]</sup> Therefore, it is imperative to assess utility of partogram in management of active labour.

This study was planned with the objective to assess utility of partogram in detection of abnormal progress of labour and prevention of prolonged labour.

### Materials and methods

This study involved a detailed prospective workup of 200 patients admitted at Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, G.R. Medical College, Gwalior within a total span of 1 year i.e. July 2012 to Sept. 2013.

Primigravid women, aged 19-29 years, who had crossed 37 weeks of gestation were included in the study. Other inclusion criteria were, pregnancy should be with single live foetus in vertex presentation and it should be without any significant medical and obstetric complications. All cases irrespective of either induced or spontaneous onset of labour were included in this study. Monitoring of progress of labour in the study were started from active phase of labour (4 cm more of cervical dilatation). Cases with multiparous mothers, teenage pregnancies, elderly primigravida, multiple pregnancies, malpresentation, post-caesarean pregnancy, post-term pregnancy, preterm labour and severe oligohydramnios were excluded from the study.

A semi-structured questionnaire with demographic details, history of present illness, relevant past history, relevant family history, general examination, obstetric examination, vital signs, laboratory investigations and partogram, was used to collect data.

On observing the progress of labour and plotting it on partogram, cases were divided into three groups according to \_\_\_\_\_.<sup>[2,3]</sup>

Group I (Left to alert line): [Define this]

Group II (Right to alert line): [Define this]

Group III (Right to action line): [Define this]

Descriptive categorical data is presented as frequency tables. For all data analysis SPSS version 21 software was used.

### Results

This study involved a detailed prospective workup of 200 patients admitted at Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, G.R. Medical College, Gwalior within a total span of 1 year i.e. July 2012 to Sept. 2013. The above table shows that among 200 primigravid patients 112 (56%) belong to 18-21 years of age group. 45 i.e. 22.5% belong to 22-25 years of age group. 43 i.e. 21.5% belong to 26-29 years of age group. The maximum number of patients belongs to 18-21 years of age group i.e. 112 (56%).

Cases were divided in three groups on the base of partogram. In group I, II and III, there were 120 (60%), 41 (20.5%) and 39 (19.5%) cases. Out of 120 patients in group I, 90 patients needed acceleration of labour while in group II and III, all patients (41 in group II, 39 in group III) needed acceleration of labour. [Table 1]

**Table1:Distributionofcasesaccordingtoresultofaccelerationoflabour**

	Group					
	Group I (90)		Group II (41)		Group III(39)	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
ARM	60	66.6	11	26.8	14	35.9
ARM+Oxytocin	19	21.1	14	34.1	6	15.3
Misoprostol	9	10	12	29.2	7	17.9
Not Improved (by LSCS)	2	2.2	4	9.7	12	30.7

Group I among 90 patients, who needed acceleration of labour, it was done by artificial rupture of membrane in 60 (66.7%) cases, Artificial Rupture of Membrane with oxytocin in 20 patients (21.1%), misoprostol drug in 10 cases (10%). In group II, it was done with ARM, ARM with oxytocin and Misoprostol 12 (29.2%), 11 (26.8) and 14 (34.1%) patients respectively. Among group III, in most patients acceleration was done by ARM (35.9%) followed by misoprostol alone (17.9%) and ARM with misoprostol (15.3%). [Table 1]

**Table2:Distributionofcasesaccordingtomodeofdelivery**

Groups	Mode of delivery						
	FTND		FORCEP		LSCS		Total
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
I	118	98.3	0	0	2	1.6	120
II	34	82.9	3	7.3	4	9.7	41
III	24	61.5	3	7.6	12	30.7	39

Most (118, 98.3%) of the cases in group I were delivered normal vaginally and only 2 (1.6%) cases underwent LSCS. In group II, most (34, 82.9%) delivered as normal vaginally, 3 of them were delivered by ventouse (7.3%) and 4 were delivered by LSCS (9.7%). In group III, among 36 cases 24 were delivered normal vaginal (61.5%), 3 were by ventouse (7.6%) and 12 were by LSCS (30.7%). Maximum number of cases were delivered normally in group I as compared to group II and III in which maximum no. of cases underwent LSCS. [Table 2] Average rate of cervical dilatation in group I was 1.6 cm/hr which is more than the group II (0.76 cm/hr) and III (0.35 cm/hr).

### Discussion

In current study, randomly selected 200 patients who were admitted for term labour in department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Kamla Raja Hospital, Gwalior from 1 July 2012 to 30 Sept. 2013 after matching for the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Out of 200 patients, maximum number of patients were in 18-21 yrs of age group. In present study patients were divided in three groups in relation to alert and action line of WHO modified partogram.

In this study, 41 patients out of 200 were crossed alert line (20.5%). These results are in accordance with other studies. In Philipott study (1972) [8], 22% of patients crossed the alert line.

In WHO (1994) [9] study, 34.5% patients crossed the alert line. In Pattinson's study (2003) [10] out of 344 patients 165 (48%) crossed the alert line. In the Daftary and Mhatre [11] series, which was done in 1977 66% of the patients were in group A, 25.5% of the patients were in group B and 8.5% patients were in group C. In our study number of patients crossed the alert line is comparable to Philipott study [8].

In our study 39 out of 200 patients crossed the action line (19.5%) in WHO study (1994) [9] 9.9% patients crossed the action line. In Philipott study [8], 11% crossed the action line. Levendar et al [12], in her study reveal that 51.3% women were crossed the action line. In our study fewer patients were crossed the action line (19.5%) as compared to Levendar et al [12] study (51.3%). WHO study [9] (9.9%) and Philipott [8] (11%) are somewhat comparable to our study.

In our study acceleration of labour was done by artificial rupture of membrane, artificial rupture of membrane + oxytocin, misoprostol. In present study augmentation of labour was done in 90 cases of 120 patients (75%) before

alert line with ARM 60 patients, ARM + oxytocin 19 patients, misoprostol 9 patients. Frigoletto et al<sup>[13]</sup> study augmentation needed in 77% of cases.

In a study by William Ledger and Willim Witting<sup>[14]</sup> it was found that in group I incidence acceleration of labour was 27% were as a group II & III incidence of acceleration of labour was 72%. Out of 41 ARM done in 11 patients, ARM with oxytocin 14 patients and misoprostol in 12 patients. Caesarean section was done in 4 cases after alert line was crossed. Only 2 CS done before alert line due to fetal distress. Out of 39 ARM done in 14, ARM with oxytocin in 6, and misoprostol in 5. Total 12 patients were not improved and underwent LSCS.

In our study 118 (59%) patients delivered by normal vaginal delivery before alert line and 64 (32%) delivered by normal vaginal delivery after alert line. The study by Frigoletto et al (1995)<sup>[13]</sup> 78.3% vaginal delivery, and 9.2% patients delivered by CS. In the Philpott and Castle<sup>[8]</sup>

study, 78.85% had FTND, 15.55% required Vacuum/Forceps and 2.6% underwent LSCS. In the Daftary and Mhatre<sup>[11]</sup> study, 68% patients had FTND. 14% required vacuum/forceps and 7.5% underwent LSCS.

In present study 18 out of 200 patients undergone LSCS (9%). Lopez Zeno et al (1992)<sup>[15]</sup> 71.6% were delivered and 10.5% were by CS. In one randomized trial conducted in Pakistan 80% of patients delivered normally, 4% by instrumental and 16% by LSCS. In present study it was found that group II & III there are 5.5% of women's who arrest the rate of cervical dilatation and descent.

Philpott and Castle<sup>[8]</sup> in their study also found higher incidence of CPD (>50 incidence of CPD) among women secondary arrest of descent and dilatation. In the study by A.N. Shirotri (1991)<sup>[16]</sup> it was found to have a higher incidence of CPD prolong II stage of labour. Dutta & Pal (1978) in their study found 6.3% incidence of secondary arrest of progression of obstetric labour. This study is comparable to our study.

In this present study rate of cervical dilatation in group I is 1.6cm/hr, in group II is 0.76cm/hr and group III 0.35cm/hr. Melmed & Evans study (1979)<sup>[17]</sup> studied the value of cervical dilatation rate one found the rate of cervical dilatation measured in active phase. In 93% of women the initial rate of cervical dilatation was 1cm/hr and in 7% was it was less than 1cm and later required assisted delivery. In present study 60% patient having rate of cervical dilatation >1cm & 40% having <1cm/hr. This is not comparable to our study. A study by Shinde et al<sup>[18]</sup> rate of cervical dilatation in 73% of patients was 1.3cm/hr comparable to our study.

## Conclusion

Although labour is a natural phenomenon leading to child birth and normally majority of labour do occur spontaneously a few tend to become dystocia and go in for prolonged labour. Hence, identification abnormality is essential from this study and previous studies, it is evident that the routine use of partogram is helpful in detecting abnormalities in the progress of labour early corrective therapy.

The key to early diagnosis and detection of disorders in labour progression specifically by following the evolution of characteristics pattern of cervical dilatation and foetal descent, using the partogram has also helped in achieving the policy of active management of labour ensuring the delivery of the patients within twelve hours.

## References

1. Steer P, Flint C. ABC of labour care: physiology and management of normal labour. *BMJ (Clinical research ed)*. 1999;318(7186):793-6.
2. Lavender T, Bernitz S. Use of the partograph - Current thinking. *Best practice & research Clinical obstetrics & gynaecology*. 2020.
3. Dalal AR, Purandare AC. The Partograph in Childbirth: An Absolute Essentiality or a Mere Exercise? *Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology of India*. 2018;68(1):3-14.
4. Liao JB, Buhimschi CS, Norwitz ER. Normal labor: mechanism and duration. *Obstetrics and gynecology clinics of North America*. 2005;32(2):145-64, vii.
5. Altman MR, Lydon-Rochelle MT. Prolonged second stage of labor and risk of

- adversematernalandperinataloutcomes:asystematicreview.Birth(Berkeley,Calif).2006;33(4):315-22.
6. Nystedt A, Hildingsson I. Diverse definitions of prolonged labour and its consequences with sometimessubsequentinappropriatetreatment.BMC pregnancyandchildbirth.2014;14:233.
  7. Nystedt A, Högberg U, Lundman B. The negative birth experience of prolonged labour: a case-referent study. Journalofclinicalnursing.2005;14(5):579-86.
  8. PhilpottRH, CastleWM. Cervicographs in the management of labour in primigravidae. I. The alert line for detecting abnormal labour. The Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology of the British Commonwealth.1972;79(7):592-8.
  9. WHO. World Health Organization partograph in management of labour. World Health Organization Maternal Health and Safe Motherhood Programme. Lancet (London, England).1994;343(8910):1399-404.
  10. Pattinson RC, Howarth GR, Mdluli W, Macdonald AP, Makin JD, Funk M. Aggressive or expectant management of labour: a randomised clinical trial. BJOG : an international journal of obstetrics and gynaecology. 2003;110(5):457-61.
  11. Daftary SN, Mhatre PN. Cervicographi in the management of labour in primigravidae. J of Obstet and Gynaecol of India.1977;27:687-91.
  12. Lavender T, Hart A, Smyth RM. Effect of partogram use on outcomes for women in spontaneous labour at term. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews.2008(4):Cd005461.
  13. Frigoletto FD, Jr., Lieberman E, Lang JM, Cohen A, Barss V, Ringer S, et al. A clinical trial of active management of labor. The New England journal of medicine. 1995;333(12):745-50.
  14. Fraser W, Vendittelli F, Krauss I, Bréart G. Effects of early augmentation of labour with amniotomy and oxytocin in nulliparous women: a meta-analysis. British journal of obstetrics and gynaecology.1998;105(2):189-94.
  15. López-Zeno JA, Peaceman AM, Adashek JA, Socol ML. A controlled trial of a program for the active management of labor. The New England journal of medicine.1992;326(7):450-4.
  16. Shirotri A, Ray JJ. Influence of fetal station on cervimetric progress in primigravida. J Obstet Gynecol India.1994;44:388-90.
  17. Melmed H, Evans M. Predictive value of cervical dilatation rates. I. Primiparalabor. Obstetrics and gynecology. 1976;47(5):511-5.
  18. Shinde KK, Bangal VB, Singh RK. Study of course of labour by using modified WHO Partograph. International Journal of Biomedical and Advance Research.2012;3(5):291-6.