

**Knowledge, Attitude and Practice Survey Regarding Class II Composite Restoration  
Among Dental Students**

**Type of study** - Original study

**Running title** - Survey on class II composite restoration

Abhishek naram

Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals,  
Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences  
Saveetha University  
Chennai, India  
abhishekreddynaram@gmail.com

Deepak.S

Senior lecturer

Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics  
Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals,  
Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences  
Saveetha University  
Chennai, India  
deepaks.sdc@saveetha.com

**Corresponding Author**

Deepak.S

Senior lecturer

Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics  
Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals,  
Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical sciences  
Chennai, India  
deepaks.sdc@saveetha.com

**ABSTRACT**

Composite resins are currently the most popular of all tooth coloured restorative materials, which completely replaced silicate cement and acrylic resin as esthetic restorative material. The aim of this KAP survey was to assess the level of awareness and clinical practice among the undergraduate students regarding class II composite restoration. An online survey was done with the structured questionnaire based on knowledge, attitude, practice among the dental students and it was distributed among 100 undergraduate students via electronic media. Data entry was done in the Excel sheet and SPSS software was used to analyze the data. The majority of the participants were interns (45%), Statistical analysis, Chi square test was used to assess the association between the year of study and the responses. And the results are depicted in the form of a bar chart. The results showed that dental students have fair knowledge on restoration of class

II composite. There is a need for awareness on recent material characteristics and restoration techniques for undergraduate dental students on class II composite restorations in order to achieve desired results and esthetics within a stipulated time.

**Keywords:** Class II caries; Composite; Dental students; Questionnaire survey.

## INTRODUCTION

The modern world we live in puts so much importance on appearances. Appearance is believed to contribute to professional success. A pleasant face and pearly white smile breed confidence, and are often considered as parameters for youth and vitality. Dentists have been entrusted with the job of restoring smiles from time immemorial (Roeters, Shortall and Opdam, 2005). Introduction of polymerizing resins in the 1950s opened up new avenues for the dentists and ever since remain one of the most popular treatments in dentistry (Bohaty *et al.*, 2013).

Composites are restorative materials which will bond well to the conditioned tooth surface. Being tooth colored, they are used to give a natural life-like appearance to the restored teeth. Tooth preparation is very minimal when compared to amalgam preparations due to its micromechanical retention (Cenci, Demarco and de Carvalho, 2005; Coelho-De-Souza *et al.*, 2008). Composite resins became the unanimous choice for anterior restorations but failed miserably for restoring Class II caries. Marginal leakage, secondary caries, poor load bearing ability, high wear rate and inability to restore the contact were considered limitations of composite resins as class II restorative material (Burke *et al.*, 2003). Resins and adhesive technology have made rapid strides from those initial days and now offer numerous alternatives (Minguez *et al.*, 2003). The advances in materials and techniques (etching techniques, sectional matrix band, nanofil composites) have paved the way for dentists to use it in Class II restorations. A modern dental practitioner is spoilt for choices when it comes to selecting the treatments and materials. This is because a practitioner often finds it difficult to balance his past (his training), his present (demands of his patients according to the prevalent trends) and his future (compulsion to keep pace with the advances). Good training advises a clinician to be discrete as heeding to all the patient's demands or endorsing all the advances wouldn't be in the best interest of the patient (Correa *et al.*, 2012). Past surveys have revealed that the selection of material and treatment also depend upon certain general perception of the society and fraternity (Correa *et al.*, 2012).

Surveys based on pre-piloted questionnaires are very useful in gauging these perceptions. Surveys are simple and cost effective for cohort studies and designed to cover large regions in a short period of time. Perceptions of restorations have changed a lot over the years. Amalgam was promoted for a while till there were apprehensions on its toxicity and its ill effect on the human body (Shenoy, 2008). Toxicity of amalgam still continues to be debated in the academic circles. There have been studies vouching for and against amalgam. International opinion still remains very much divided on this issue. Composite resins were overwhelmingly welcomed in this backdrop. After the initial euphoria it had been realized that this new material demanded a

unique type of protocol So, specific methods of tooth preparation and conditioning was proposed (Makhija *et al.*, 2011).

Dentists found out that the new material was much more technique sensitive than amalgam. Questions raised on the suitability of resins to restore deep carious lesions on account of the effect of residual monomers on underlying pulp. Similar concerns were also raised on the appropriateness of composite resins to restore class II restorations due to their poor load bearing ability and high wear rate (Forss and Widström, 2001). Despite several studies in favor of composite, our general practitioners and clinicians still have several apprehensions and misconceptions about using composite resins for class II restorations (Gilmour *et al.*, 2009). Furthermore, no research has been done on the opinions and knowledge of dentists practicing in Chennai regarding class II composite restorations.

Previously our team had conducted numerous studies which include in vitro studies (Ramanathan and Solete, 2015; Noor and Others, 2016; Mahalakshmi Nandakumar, 2018; Teja, Ramesh and Priya, 2018; Rajendran *et al.*, 2019; Siddique *et al.*, 2019), review (Kumar and Antony, 2018; Ravinthar and Others, 2018; Rajakeerthi and Ms, 2019; Teja and Ramesh, 2019), survey (Manohar and Sharma, 2018; Jose and Subbaiyan, 2020), clinical trial (Ramamoorthi, Nivedhitha and Divyanand, 2015; Hussainy *et al.*, 2018; Janani, Palanivelu and Sandhya, 2020). Now we are focussing on retrospective studies. Our team has rich experience in research and we have collaborated with numerous authors over various topics in the past decade (Subramanyam *et al.*, 2018) ('Fluoride, fluoridated toothpaste efficacy and its safety in children - review', 2018; Ezhilarasan, 2018; Felicita, 2018; Kavarthapu and Thamaraiselvan, 2018; Krishnan *et al.*, 2018; Marimuthu *et al.*, 2018; Nair *et al.*, 2018; Padavala and Sukumaran, 2018; Pandian, Krishnan and Kumar, 2018; Rajeshkumar *et al.*, 2018; Rao and Kumar, 2018; Vijayashree Priyadharsini, Smiline Girija and Paramasivam, 2018; Abhinav *et al.*, 2019; Ke *et al.*, 2019; Mehta *et al.*, 2019; Panchal, Jeevanandan and Subramanian, 2019; Ponnulakshmi *et al.*, 2019; Ramesh *et al.*, 2019; Sridharan *et al.*, 2019; Sweta, Abhinav and Ramesh, 2019; Wu *et al.*, 2019; Palati *et al.*, 2020; Paramasivam, Vijayashree Priyadharsini and Raghunandhakumar, 2020). The aim of this KAP survey was to assess the level of awareness and clinical practice among the undergraduate students regarding class II composite restoration.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

An online survey was done with the structured questionnaire based on knowledge, attitude, practice survey among the dental students about class II composite restoration. Ethical permission and approval for the project was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Chennai, India on Date 25/04/2020. This cross sectional survey was conducted among dental undergraduate students. A structured questionnaire consisting of 13 questions was shared online and 100 responses were obtained. Data entry was made in the Excel sheet, SPSS software was used to analyze the data. The descriptive statistics were used to determine the responses given by the participants. Statistical analysis, Chi square test was used to assess the association between the year of study and the responses. And the results are depicted in the form of a bar chart [Figure 1-13].

## RESULTS

In the present study 75% of the participants selected IOPA bitewing as the preferred radiographic method for diagnosing class II caries, the next majority of the participants selected OPG. Nearly 85% of the participants preferred rubber dam as a method of isolation for class II composite restoration. Irrespective of the Remaining Dentin Thickness, most of the participants preferred calcium hydroxide as a pulp protecting base.

Here, 78% of the participants use total etch technique and 22% use selective etch technique for etchant application. 87% of the participants said they use tofflemire as a matrix band of choice for restoring class II composite[fig 8]. About 68% of the study participants said they will use tetric N Ceram brand of composite, the remaining 32% used 3M and shofu[fig 10]

Total of 65% of the study participants said they will cure the composite for 20 seconds and 24% of the subjects will cure the composite for 30 seconds[fig 12]. Nearly 85% of the study participants said they will do finishing and polishing of the composite immediately and 15% said they will do it 3 to 7 days post operatively.

## DISCUSSION

The success of a composite restoration depends on various clinical conditions like condition of operating field, type of composite and bonding system, different design of tooth preparation, method of filling the cavity (incremental/ bulk), time and type of finishing and polishing of composite restoration. The main cause of restoration replacement was composite shade discoloration (63.8%) followed by marginal staining (50%), unsatisfactory restoration anatomy (50%), marginal fracture (14.9%), painful symptoms (8.5%), fractured restoration body (4.3%), dental fracture (1.1%) and total displacement of the restoration (1.1%). Marginal staining and composite shade discoloration contrasting with dental structure were related to the presence of caries. According to a survey, the major reason for the first time placement of restorations was primary caries, while that for replacement of restoration was secondary caries (36.2%), followed by endodontic root canal therapy (22.2%), discoloration of the restoration (14.4%), restoration failures (13.4%), composite restoration fracture (11.3%), pain or sensitivity (2.4%) (Al-Negrish, 2002).

The composite resin contracts by about 1.5% to 5% and the mode of polymerization of composite resin is free radical polymerization. Significant polymerization shrinkage results in gap formation, secondary caries, marginal leakage and post-operative sensitivity. The incremental layering technique of composites for restoration have been recognized as the technique of choice to minimize polymerization shrinkage stresses (Yamazaki *et al.*, 2006), The incremental filling technique yielded significantly lower cuspal deflection than the bulk filling technique in a previous study Results of the survey showed that 95% dentists used incremental layering technique (Kwon, Ferracane and Lee, 2012).

Traditionally Mylar strips, Tofflemire band and retainer, Ivory 1 and 8 band and retainer have been used for developing contact and contour in lesions involving proximal walls. But now we have better matrix systems like Palodent plus (Dentsply), Sectional matrix plus retainer system

(3M), V 3 rings (Triodent), Optra matrix (Ivoclar) specially designed to assist clinicians in creating precise automatically shaped contact points in cavities involving proximal walls. In our survey we found Tofflemire band and retainer as the most popular among dentists (Sajad, Shafia and Sharma, 2018).

According to a survey done in 2010, 63% did not use a rubber dam for any restorative procedures.(Gilbert *et al.*, 2010) In our survey, we found that 85% of the dentists use rubber dam as a method of isolation, less than 15% of dental students use cotton rolls and suction tips as a method of isolation.

In our study majority of the participants preferred calcium hydroxide when the remaining dentin thickness is 0.5 mm to 1mm the results correlated with the study done by asaad javaid et al in which majority of the students selected calcium hydroxide as material of choice(JPDA, 2018). A study done by Anitha rao et al found that the MTA fillapex group displayed higher resistance to dislodgement within groups containing 1.50 mm and 2.25 mm remaining dentin thickness (S *et al.*, 2018) . They explained that it is because of increased number of dentine tubules there can be a greater number of taglike structures and a better marginal seal but in our study majority of the students selected calcium hydroxide when the RDT is 1 to 2 mm.

Roland frankenberger et al studied various etching techniques for class II cavities and found dentin bonding in class II cavities is more effective when selective etch technique is used but in our study majority of the students selected total etch technique while performing class 2 cavity restoration (Frankenberger *et al.*, 2008) .

Light output needs to be checked routinely in order to obtain durable results. Hegde V conducted a clinical survey of the output intensity of 200 light curing units in dental offices across Maharashtra and found only 10% LED units and 2% QTH curing units had good intensities ( $>400 \text{ mW/cm}^2$ ) (Hegde, Jadhav and Aher, 2009). Miyazaki M et al in a similar study found that the light intensities of the curing units used in private practice were lower than expected (Miyazaki *et al.*, 1998). Martin FE (Australia) in a survey reported that nearly 50% of dentists had never checked the light output of their units and over one half of the light curing units were not functioning satisfactorily (Martin, 1998). Baek CJ studied the effects of light intensity and light-curing time on the degree of polymerization of dental composite resins and found that light-curing composite resins with higher energy density was beneficial to acquiring higher micro-hardness values and lower coefficients of thermal expansion (Santos *et al.*, 2004).

Coelho Santos MJ studied effect of light curing method on volumetric polymerization shrinkage of resin composites and found that in hybrid composite (Z-100), continuous output with higher intensity light resulted in significantly higher shrinkage than continuous output with conventional intensity light method and pulse-delay output (Coelho-De-Souza *et al.*, 2008). Jose R David studied effect of curing time on curing efficiency and found significant increase in micro-hardness values for all light curing composite when exposure time was increased from 20 to 40 seconds.(David *et al.*, 2007) In our survey we found that 65% of respondents cured for 20 seconds, 20% of cured for 30 seconds. Our institution is passionate about high quality evidence based research and has excelled in various fields ( (Pc, Marimuthu and Devadoss, 2018; Ramesh *et al.*, 2018; Vijayashree Priyadharsini, Smiline Girija and Paramasivam, 2018; Ezhilarasan, Apoorva and Ashok

Vardhan, 2019; Ramadurai *et al.*, 2019; Sridharan *et al.*, 2019; Vijayashree Priyadharsini, 2019; Chandrasekar *et al.*, 2020; Mathew *et al.*, 2020; R *et al.*, 2020; Samuel, 2021)

Finishing and polishing of composite resins enhances the esthetics as well as increases the longevity of the restoration. The survival rate of composite resin was found to be 91.7% at 5 years and 82.2% at 10 years. For amalgam the survival was 89.6% at 5 years and 79.2% at 10 years (Opdam *et al.*, 2007). Lopes GC *et al* studied effect of finishing time and techniques on marginal sealing ability of two composite restorative materials and found that for microfilled composite restorations on dentin margins, delayed wet finishing with diamond burs resulted in significantly lower microleakage scores and Hybrid composite restorations had equivalent levels of microleakage regardless of the finishing method.(Lopes, Franke and Maia, 2002) In our study, we found that about 85% respondents finished and polished composite resins post operatively immediately after the restoration and about 15% did it 3 to 7 days post operatively. The most popular systems used to finish and polish composite resins is Shofu supersnap ( 55%).

## CONCLUSION

Within the limitations of the study, we have concluded that students have fair knowledge about restoration of class II composite. There is a need for awareness on recent material characteristics and restoration techniques for undergraduate dental students on class II composite restorations in order to achieve desired results and esthetics within a stipulated time.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:

We, the authors of the manuscript, would like to thank and acknowledge Saveetha Dental College for providing us access to use the data for our study.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS:

All authors have equal contribution in bringing out this research work.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST:

This research project is self funded and it is not sponsored or aided by any third party. There is no conflict of interest.

## REFERENCES

- [1]. Abhinav, R. P. *et al.* (2019) 'The Patterns and Etiology of Maxillofacial Trauma in South India', *Annals of maxillofacial surgery*, 9(1), pp. 114–117.
- [2]. Al-Negrish, A. R. S. (2002) 'Composite resin restorations: a cross-sectional survey of placement and replacement in Jordan', *International dental journal*, 52(6), pp. 461–468.
- [3]. Bohaty, B. S. *et al.* (2013) 'Posterior composite restoration update: focus on factors influencing



- form and function', *Clinical, cosmetic and investigational dentistry*, 5, p. 33.
- [4]. Burke, F. J. T. *et al.* (2003) 'Amalgam and composite use in UK general dental practice in 2001', *British dental journal*, 194(11), pp. 613–618.
  - [5]. Cenci, M., Demarco, F. and de Carvalho, R. (2005) 'Class II composite resin restorations with two polymerization techniques: relationship between microtensile bond strength and marginal leakage', *Journal of dentistry*, 33(7), pp. 603–610.
  - [6]. Chandrasekar, R. *et al.* (2020) 'Development and validation of a formula for objective assessment of cervical vertebral bone age', *Progress in orthodontics*, 21(1), p. 38.
  - [7]. Coelho-De-Souza, F. H. *et al.* (2008) 'Fracture resistance and gap formation of MOD restorations: influence of restorative technique, bevel preparation and water storage', *Operative dentistry*, 33(1), pp. 37–43.
  - [8]. Correa, M. B. *et al.* (2012) 'Amalgam or composite resin? Factors influencing the choice of restorative material', *Journal of dentistry*, 40(9), pp. 703–710.
  - [9]. David, J. R. *et al.* (2007) 'Effect of exposure time on curing efficiency of polymerizing units equipped with light-emitting diodes', *Journal of oral science*, 49(1), pp. 19–24.
  - [10]. Ezhilarasan, D. (2018) 'Oxidative stress is bane in chronic liver diseases: Clinical and experimental perspective', *Arab journal of gastroenterology: the official publication of the Pan-Arab Association of Gastroenterology*, 19(2), pp. 56–64.
  - [11]. Ezhilarasan, D., Apoorva, V. S. and Ashok Vardhan, N. (2019) 'Syzygium cumini extract induced reactive oxygen species-mediated apoptosis in human oral squamous carcinoma cells', *Journal of oral pathology & medicine: official publication of the International Association of Oral Pathologists and the American Academy of Oral Pathology*, 48(2), pp. 115–121.
  - [12]. Felicita, A. S. (2018) 'Orthodontic extrusion of Ellis Class VIII fracture of maxillary lateral incisor - The sling shot method', *The Saudi dental journal*, 30(3), pp. 265–269.
  - [13]. 'Fluoride, fluoridated toothpaste efficacy and its safety in children - review' (2018) *International journal of pharmaceutical research*, 10(04). doi: 10.31838/ijpr/2018.10.04.017.
  - [14]. Forss, H. and Widström, E. (2001) 'From amalgam to composite: selection of restorative materials and restoration longevity in Finland', *Acta odontologica Scandinavica*, 59(2), pp. 57–62.
  - [15]. Frankenberger, R. *et al.* (2008) 'Selective enamel etching reconsidered: better than etch-and-rinse and self-etch?', *The journal of adhesive dentistry*, 10(5), pp. 339–344.
  - [16]. Gilbert, G. H. *et al.* (2010) 'Rubber Dam Use During Routine Operative Dentistry Procedures: Findings From The Dental PBRN', *Operative dentistry*, 35(5), pp. 491–499.
  - [17]. Gilmour, A. S. M. *et al.* (2009) 'Placement of posterior composite restorations in United Kingdom dental practices: techniques, problems, and attitudes', *International dental journal*, 59(3), pp. 148–154.
  - [18]. Hegde, V., Jadhav, S. and Aher, G. B. (2009) 'A clinical survey of the output intensity of 200 light curing units in dental offices across Maharashtra', *Journal of conservative dentistry: JCD*, 12(3), p. 105.
  - [19]. Hussainy, S. N. *et al.* (2018) 'Clinical performance of resin-modified glass ionomer cement,

- flowable composite, and polyacid-modified resin composite in noncarious cervical lesions: One-year follow-up', *Journal of conservative dentistry: JCD*, 21(5), p. 510.
- [20]. Janani, K., Palanivelu, A. and Sandhya, R. (2020) 'Diagnostic accuracy of dental pulse oximeter with customized sensor holder, thermal test and electric pulp test for the evaluation of pulp vitality: an in vivo study', *Brazilian Dental Science*, 23(1), p. 8.
- [21]. Jose, J. and Subbaiyan, H. (2020) 'Different Treatment Modalities followed by Dental Practitioners for Ellis Class 2 Fracture—A Questionnaire-based Survey', *The open dentistry journal*. Available at: <https://opendentistryjournal.com/VOLUME/14/PAGE/59/FULLTEXT/>.
- [22]. JPDA (2018) *Practice of Dental Pulp Protection Methods among Various Teaching Institutions in Pakistan - JPDA*, JPDA. Available at: <http://www.jpda.com.pk/practice-of-dental-pulp-protection-methods-among-various-teaching-institutions-in-pakistan-2/> (Accessed: 29 June 2020).
- [23]. Kavarthapu, A. and Thamaraiselvan, M. (2018) 'Assessing the variation in course and position of inferior alveolar nerve among south Indian population: A cone beam computed tomographic study', *Indian journal of dental research: official publication of Indian Society for Dental Research*, 29(4), pp. 405–409.
- [24]. Ke, Y. *et al.* (2019) 'Photosynthesized gold nanoparticles from *Catharanthus roseus* induces caspase-mediated apoptosis in cervical cancer cells (HeLa)', *Artificial cells, nanomedicine, and biotechnology*, 47(1), pp. 1938–1946.
- [25]. Krishnan, R. P. *et al.* (2018) 'Surgical Specimen Handover from Operation Theater to Laboratory: A Survey', *Annals of maxillofacial surgery*, 8(2), pp. 234–238.
- [26]. Kumar, D. and Antony, S. (2018) 'Calcified Canal and Negotiation-A Review', *Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology*, 11(8), pp. 3727–3730.
- [27]. Kwon, Y., Ferracane, J. and Lee, I.-B. (2012) 'Effect of layering methods, composite type, and flowable liner on the polymerization shrinkage stress of light cured composites', *Dental materials: official publication of the Academy of Dental Materials*, 28(7), pp. 801–809.
- [28]. Lopes, G. C., Franke, M. and Maia, H. P. (2002) 'Effect of finishing time and techniques on marginal sealing ability of two composite restorative materials', *The Journal of prosthetic dentistry*, 88(1), pp. 32–36.
- [29]. Mahalakshmi Nandakumar, I. N. (2018) 'Comparative evaluation of grape seed and cranberry extracts in preventing enamel erosion: An optical emission spectrometric analysis', *Journal of conservative dentistry: JCD*, 21(5), p. 516.
- [30]. Makhija, S. K. *et al.* (2011) 'Practitioner, patient, and caries lesion characteristics associated with type of material used to restore carious teeth: findings from The Dental PBRN', *Journal of the American Dental Association*, 142(6), p. 622.
- [31]. Manohar, M. P. and Sharma, S. (2018) 'A survey of the knowledge, attitude, and awareness about the principal choice of intracanal medicaments among the general dental practitioners and nonendodontic specialists', *Indian journal of dental research: official publication of Indian Society for Dental Research*, 29(6), p. 716.
- [32]. Marimuthu, M. *et al.* (2018) 'Canonical Wnt pathway gene expression and their clinical




- correlation in oral squamous cell carcinoma', *Indian journal of dental research: official publication of Indian Society for Dental Research*, 29(3), pp. 291–297.
- [33]. Martin, F. E. (1998) 'A survey of the efficiency of visible light curing units', *Journal of dentistry*, 26(3), pp. 239–243.
- [34]. Mathew, M. G. *et al.* (2020) 'Evaluation of adhesion of Streptococcus mutans, plaque accumulation on zirconia and stainless steel crowns, and surrounding gingival inflammation in primary molars: Randomized controlled trial', *Clinical oral investigations*, pp. 1–6.
- [35]. Mehta, M. *et al.* (2019) 'Oligonucleotide therapy: An emerging focus area for drug delivery in chronic inflammatory respiratory diseases', *Chemico-biological interactions*, 308, pp. 206–215.
- [36]. Minguez, N. *et al.* (2003) 'Advances in the history of composite resins', *Journal of the history of dentistry*, 51(3), pp. 103–105.
- [37]. Miyazaki, M. *et al.* (1998) 'Evaluation of curing units used in private dental offices', *Operative dentistry*, 23, pp. 50–54.
- [38]. Nair, M. *et al.* (2018) 'Comparative evaluation of post-operative pain after pulpectomy with k-files, kedo-s files and mtwo files in deciduous molars -a randomized clinical trial', *Brazilian dental science*, 21(4), p. 411.
- [39]. Noor, S. and Others (2016) 'Chlorhexidine: Its properties and effects', *Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology*, 9(10), pp. 1755–1760.
- [40]. Opdam, N. J. M. *et al.* (2007) 'A retrospective clinical study on longevity of posterior composite and amalgam restorations', *Dental materials: official publication of the Academy of Dental Materials*, 23(1), pp. 2–8.
- [41]. Padavala, S. and Sukumaran, G. (2018) 'Molar Incisor Hypomineralization and Its Prevalence', *Contemporary clinical dentistry*, 9(Suppl 2), pp. S246–S250.
- [42]. Palati, S. *et al.* (2020) 'Knowledge, Attitude and practice survey on the perspective of oral lesions and dental health in geriatric patients residing in old age homes', *Indian journal of dental research: official publication of Indian Society for Dental Research*, 31(1), pp. 22–25.
- [43]. Panchal, V., Jeevanandan, G. and Subramanian, E. (2019) 'Comparison of instrumentation time and obturation quality between hand K-file, H-files, and rotary Kedo-S in root canal treatment of primary teeth: A randomized controlled trial', *Journal of the Indian Society of Pedodontics and Preventive Dentistry*, 37(1), pp. 75–79.
- [44]. Pandian, K. S., Krishnan, S. and Kumar, S. A. (2018) 'Angular photogrammetric analysis of the soft-tissue facial profile of Indian adults', *Indian journal of dental research: official publication of Indian Society for Dental Research*, 29(2), pp. 137–143.
- [45]. Paramasivam, A., Vijayashree Priyadharsini, J. and Raghunandhakumar, S. (2020) 'N6-adenosine methylation (m6A): a promising new molecular target in hypertension and cardiovascular diseases', *Hypertension research: official journal of the Japanese Society of Hypertension*, 43(2), pp. 153–154.
- [46]. Pc, J., Marimuthu, T. and Devadoss, P. (2018) 'Prevalence and measurement of anterior loop of the mandibular canal using CBCT: A cross sectional study', *Clinical implant dentistry and related research*. Available at: <https://europepmc.org/article/med/29624863>.


- [47]. Ponnulakshmi, R. *et al.* (2019) 'In silico and in vivo analysis to identify the antidiabetic activity of beta sitosterol in adipose tissue of high fat diet and sucrose induced type-2 diabetic experimental rats', *Toxicology mechanisms and methods*, 29(4), pp. 276–290.
- [48]. Rajakeerthi, R. and Ms, N. (2019) 'Natural Product as the Storage medium for an avulsed tooth-- A Systematic Review', *Cumhuriyet Dental Journal*, 22(2), pp. 249–256.
- [49]. Rajendran, R. *et al.* (2019) 'Comparative Evaluation of Remineralizing Potential of a Paste Containing Bioactive Glass and a Topical Cream Containing Casein Phosphopeptide-Amorphous Calcium Phosphate: An in Vitro Study', *Pesquisa brasileira em odontopediatria e clinica integrada*, 19. Available at: [http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?pid=S1983-46322019000100364&script=sci\\_arttext](http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?pid=S1983-46322019000100364&script=sci_arttext).
- [50]. Rajeshkumar, S. *et al.* (2018) 'Biosynthesis of zinc oxide nanoparticles usingMangifera indica leaves and evaluation of their antioxidant and cytotoxic properties in lung cancer (A549) cells', *Enzyme and microbial technology*, 117, pp. 91–95.
- [51]. Ramadurai, N. *et al.* (2019) 'Effectiveness of 2% Articaine as an anesthetic agent in children: randomized controlled trial', *Clinical oral investigations*, 23(9), pp. 3543–3550.
- [52]. Ramamoorthi, S., Nivedhitha, M. S. and Divyanand, M. J. (2015) 'Comparative evaluation of postoperative pain after using endodontic needle and EndoActivator during root canal irrigation: a randomised controlled trial', *Australian endodontic journal: the journal of the Australian Society of Endodontology Inc*, 41(2), pp. 78–87.
- [53]. Ramanathan, S. and Solete, P. (2015) 'Cone-beam Computed Tomography Evaluation of Root Canal Preparation using Various Rotary Instruments: An in vitro Study', *The journal of contemporary dental practice*, 16(11), pp. 869–872.
- [54]. Ramesh, A. *et al.* (2018) 'Comparative estimation of sulfiredoxin levels between chronic periodontitis and healthy patients - A case-control study', *Journal of periodontology*, 89(10), pp. 1241–1248.
- [55]. Ramesh, A. *et al.* (2019) 'Esthetic lip repositioning: A cosmetic approach for correction of gummy smile - A case series', *Journal of Indian Society of Periodontology*, 23(3), pp. 290–294.
- [56]. Rao, T. D. and Kumar, M. P. S. (2018) 'Analgesic efficacy of paracetamol vs ketorolac after dental extractions', *Journal of advanced pharmaceutical technology & research*, 11(8), p. 3375.
- [57]. Ravinthar, K. and Others (2018) 'Recent Advancements in Laminates and Veneers in Dentistry', *Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology*, 11(2), pp. 785–787.
- [58]. R, H. *et al.* (2020) 'CYP2 C9 polymorphism among patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma and its role in altering the metabolism of benzo[a]pyrene', *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology and Oral Radiology*, pp. 306–312. doi: 10.1016/j.oooo.2020.06.021.
- [59]. Roeters, J. J. M., Shortall, A. C. C. and Opdam, N. J. M. (2005) 'Can a single composite resin serve all purposes?', *British dental journal*, 199(2), pp. 73–79.
- [60]. Sajad, M., Shafia, S. and Sharma, N. (2018) 'Knowledge and Attitude of Dental Practitioners Towards Composite Restorations - A Questionnaire based Survey', *International Journal of Contemporary Medical Research [IJCMR]*, 5(8). doi: 10.21276/ijcmr.2018.5.8.12.
- [61]. Samuel, S. R. (2021) 'Can 5-year-olds sensibly self-report the impact of developmental enamel

- defects on their quality of life?', *International journal of paediatric dentistry / the British Paedodontic Society [and] the International Association of Dentistry for Children*, 31(2), pp. 285–286.
- [62]. Santos, M. *et al.* (2004) 'Effect of light curing method on volumetric polymerization shrinkage of resin composites', *OPERATIVE DENTISTRY-UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON-*, 29(2), pp. 157–161.
- [63]. S, A. R. *et al.* (2018) 'In vitro Evaluation of Dislodgement Resistance of Roots with Different Dentine Thickness Obturated with Various Root Canal Sealers', *SciFed Dental & Oral Research Journal*, 2(2). Available at: <https://scifedpublishers.com/open-access/iin-vitroi-evaluation-of-dislodgement-resistance-of-roots-with-different-dentine-thickness-obturated-with-various-root-canal-sealers.pdf> (Accessed: 29 June 2020).
- [64]. Shenoy, A. (2008) 'Is it the end of the road for dental amalgam? A critical review', *Journal of conservative dentistry: JCD*, 11(3), p. 99.
- [65]. Siddique, R. *et al.* (2019) 'Qualitative and quantitative analysis of precipitate formation following interaction of chlorhexidine with sodium hypochlorite, neem, and tulsi', *Journal of conservative dentistry: JCD*, 22(1), p. 40.
- [66]. Sridharan, G. *et al.* (2019) 'Evaluation of salivary metabolomics in oral leukoplakia and oral squamous cell carcinoma', *Journal of oral pathology & medicine: official publication of the International Association of Oral Pathologists and the American Academy of Oral Pathology*, 48(4), pp. 299–306.
- [67]. Subramanyam, D. *et al.* (2018) 'Comparative evaluation of salivary malondialdehyde levels as a marker of lipid peroxidation in early childhood caries', *European journal of dentistry*, 12(1), pp. 67–70.
- [68]. Sweta, V. R., Abhinav, R. P. and Ramesh, A. (2019) 'Role of virtual reality in pain perception of patients following the administration of local anesthesia', *Annals of maxillofacial surgery*, 9(1), pp. 110–113.
- [69]. Teja, K. V. and Ramesh, S. (2019) 'Shape optimal and clean more', *Saudi Endodontic Journal*, 9(3), p. 235.
- [70]. Teja, K. V., Ramesh, S. and Priya, V. (2018) 'Regulation of matrix metalloproteinase-3 gene expression in inflammation: A molecular study', *Journal of conservative dentistry: JCD*, 21(6), p. 592.
- [71]. Vijayashree Priyadharsini, J. (2019) 'In silico validation of the non-antibiotic drugs acetaminophen and ibuprofen as antibacterial agents against red complex pathogens', *Journal of periodontology*, 90(12), pp. 1441–1448.
- [72]. Vijayashree Priyadharsini, J., Smiline Girija, A. S. and Paramasivam, A. (2018) 'In silico analysis of virulence genes in an emerging dental pathogen A. baumannii and related species', *Archives of oral biology*, 94, pp. 93–98.
- [73]. Wu, F. *et al.* (2019) 'Biologically synthesized green gold nanoparticles from induce growth-inhibitory effect on melanoma cells (B16)', *Artificial cells, nanomedicine, and biotechnology*, 47(1), pp. 3297–3305.


[74]. Yamazaki, P. C. V. *et al.* (2006) ‘Microleakage evaluation of a new low-shrinkage composite restorative material’, *Operative dentistry*, 31(6), pp. 670–676.




**Fig 1:** Bar graph represents the number of respondents and year of study. X axis represents the year of study and Y axis represents number of respondents. Majority of the respondent were Interns (45%) followed by Final year (29%) and Third year (26%).



**Fig 2:** Bar graph represents the association between year of study and responses to the question “What radiographic method do you prefer while diagnosing class II dental caries”. X axis represents the year of study and Y axis represents number of respondents. Chi square test shows no statistical difference in responses between years of study. [Pearson chi square p value = 0.240, ( $p > 0.05$ )]. Most of the participants were aware of Bitewing radiograph for radiographic diagnosis of class II dental caries.




**Fig 3:** Bar graph represents the association between year of study and responses to the question “Method of isolation while restoring class II composite”. X axis represents the year of study and Y axis represents number of respondents. Chi square test shows no statistical difference in responses between years of study. [Pearson chi square p value = 0.457, ( $p > 0.05$ )]. The majority of the students preferred rubber dam as a method of isolation while restoring class II composite.




**Fig 4:** Bar graph represents the association between year of study and responses to the question “caries excavation done using”. X axis represents the year of study and Y axis represents number of respondents. Chi square test shows no statistical difference in responses between years of study. [Pearson chi square p value = 0.310, ( $p > 0.05$ )]. Majority of the students preferred using a combination of diamond bur and hand instruments for excavating class II caries.







**Fig 5:** Bar graph represents the association between year of study and responses to the question “Which pulp protecting base do you prefer when RDT is 0.5 to 1mm” X axis represents the year of study and Y axis represents number of respondents . Chi square test shows no statistical difference in responses between years of study. [Pearson chi square p value = 0.285, ( $p > 0.05$ )]. Majority of the students selected calcium hydroxide as a pulp protecting base when RDT is 0.5-1mm.




**Fig 6:** Bar graph represents the association between year of study and responses to the question. “Which pulp protecting base do you prefer when RDT is 1 to 2 mm” X axis represents the year of study and Y axis represents number of respondents. Chi square test shows no statistical difference in responses between years of study. [Pearson chi square p value = 0.989, ( $p > 0.05$ )]. Majority of the students selected calcium hydroxide as a pulp protecting base when RDT is 1-2mm.




**Fig 7:** Bar graph represents the association between year of study and responses to the question. “What type of etching technique do you use?” X axis represents the year of study and Y axis represents number of respondents. Chi square test shows no statistical difference in responses between years of study. [Pearson chi square p value = 0.185, (p>0.05)]. Majority of the students preferred Total etch technique.




**Fig 8:** Bar graph represents the association between year of study and responses to the question. “Matrix band of choice while restoring class 2 composite” X axis represents the year of study and Y axis represents number of respondents. Chi square test shows no statistical difference in responses between years of study. [Pearson chi square p value = 0.959, (p>0.05)]. Majority of the students preferred the tofflemire matrix band for restoring class II composite.




**Fig 9:** Bar graph represents the association between year of study and responses to the question. “which type of composite material do you use?” X axis represents the year of study and Y axis represents number of respondents. Chi square test shows no statistical difference in responses between years of study. [Pearson chi square p value = 0.756, (p>0.05)]. Majority of the students use flowable instruments for restoring class II composite.




**Fig 10:** Bar graph represents the association between year of study and responses to the question. “which brand of composite is most commonly used” X axis represents the year of study and Y axis represents number of respondents. Chi square test shows no statistical difference in responses between years of study. [Pearson chi square p value = 0.245, (p>0.05)]. Majority of the students prefer 3M composite for restoring class II composite.




**Fig 11:** Bar graph represents the association between year of study and responses to the question. “what technique do you prefer for composite placement” X axis represents the year of study and Y axis represents number of respondents .Chi square test shows no statistical difference in responses between years of study. [Pearson chi square p value = 0.384, ( $p > 0.05$ )]. Majority of the students prefer bulk layering technique.



**Fig 12:** Bar graph represents the association between year of study and responses to the question. “How long do you cure composites?” X axis represents the year of study and Y axis represents number of respondents. Chi square test shows no statistical difference in responses between years of study. [Pearson chi square p value = 0.578, ( $p > 0.05$ )]. However, the majority of the students prefer curing for 20 seconds.



**Fig 13:** Bar graph represents the association between year of study and responses to the question. “when you do the finishing and polishing of the composite” X axis represents the year of study and Y axis represents number of respondents .Chi square test shows no statistical difference in responses between years of study. [Pearson chi square p value = 0.875, (p>0.05)]. Majority of the students completes finishing and polishing immediately after restoring class II composite.



**Fig 14 :** Bar graph represents the association between year of study and responses to the question. “Materials used for finishing and polishing of the composite” X axis represents the year of study and Y axis represents number of respondents. Chi square test shows no statistical difference in responses between years of study. [Pearson chi square p value = 0.154, (p>0.05)]. Most of the students prefer shofu super snap polishing kit.