# Preference of Finish Line in FPD among Dental Students

# **Ajrish George**

Department of Prosthodontics Graduate student Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Tamil Nadu, India

# Dhanraj Ganapathy

Professor and Head Department of Prosthodontics Saveetha Dental College Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, 162, Poonamallee High Road Chennai 600077 Tamil Nadu, India Email: dhanrajmganapathy@yahoo.co.in Telephone Number: +919841504523

# Abstract

# Background

Tooth preparation for fixed prosthesis is a common procedure in clinical practice, which all general dentists should perform correctly. However, it could be difficult to obtain always a predictable result, especially for dental students or young doctors: they could make mistakes in their learning curve leading to inadequate results. Tooth preparation should have specific geometrical characteristics to provide necessary retention and resistance to the vertical and lateral forces acting on the restoration. There are various materials are available for fixed partial denture. Restoration of teeth is possible only if sufficient space is created for the application of thickness of restorative material required to replace the missing tooth

# Aim

The aim of this study the preference of finish line configurations fixed partial dentures among dental students.

# **Materials and Methods**

200 dental students were randomly selected for the current study. The student included were who studying undergraduate(third year, final year and intern). Other year students were excluded from the study. A questionnaire of 10 questions were framed and were given to fill using a online survey platform to each of the students. The data was obtained and was statistically analysed

# Results

The results were analysed and represented the significant values

# Conclusion

Thus from the present study we were able to find the more preferable finish line configuration that the dental students prefer applying in their dental restorative procedure which was equi-gingival shoulder finish line configuration

Key words: preference, finish line, fixed partial denture, partial edentulism

# Introduction

Over centuries, various materials and extraordinary methods were attempted to replace the missing natural teeth. Initially, with the use of pivots, replacement crowns were made from bone, ivory, animal teeth, and sound natural tooth crowns. These natural substances gradually were replaced by porcelain. Porcelain, which was introduced into dental field in the year 1789 revolutionised the dental restorations by fixed prosthesis. With the advancements in ceramics over the last couple of decades, aesthetics was improved, the number of tooth fractures associated with combined crown-post restorations was reduced, impingement on soft tissue was lessened. In addition, the clinical procedures are less painful to the patient and less fatiguing to the General dental practitioners with the modernisation of dental armamentarium. The purpose of a fixed prosthodontic therapy may vary from the restoration of a single tooth to the rehabilitation of the complete occlusion. A single tooth can be fully restored both functionally and aesthetically. A missing tooth can be replaced by a fixed prosthesis, increasing patient masticatory competence and maintaining or improving dental arches function, often elevating patient's self-image

Tooth preparation should have specific geometrical characteristics to provide necessary retention and resistance to the vertical and lateral forces acting on the restoration. The most important element of retention is the presence of two opposing vertical surfaces. The axial walls of the preparation should taper slightly to allow the cementation of the artificial crown. The more parallel are the axial walls the greater is the retention. The longer is the preparation the greater is the retention. Teeth with larger diameter need a greater length to prevent dislodgement. Proper occlusal and axial reductions are essentials to provide enough space, allowing a good functional morphology and structural durability. However, it is impossible to obtain parallel surfaces without producing undercuts. However, it could be difficult to obtain always a predictable result, especially for dental students or young doctors: they could make mistakes in their learning curve leading to inadequate results. Many components in tooth reduction include buccal and lingual reduction, proximal reduction, occlusal reduction and proper finish line. Proper angulation of the bur during tooth reduction is important to ensure adequate amount of tooth structure remaining. Adequate tooth structure should be remaining for tooth to support the prosthesis. If not the tooth is subjected to fracture as the tooth is not able to distribute the occlusal forces in a proper manner. Proper margins mean a proper finish line which is very important. Different type finish line is given for different type of restoration. Different finish lines include chamfer finish line, shoulder finish line, shoulder with bevel finish line, sloping shoulder finish line, knife edge or feather finish line. Based on the position of the finish line to the gingiva the finish line can be supra-gingival, equi-gingival finish line and sub-gingival finish line. Each type of finish line has its own advantage and disadvantage. The preference of finish line configuration is based on the position of tooth to be prepared and the clinician's choice. So this study aims at accessing the preference of finish line configurations

among dental students.

# **Materials and Methods**

In the present study 200 undergraduate students studying in dental college were selected. A questionnaire of 10 questions were framed and was circulated among the 200 dental students using an online survey platform(courtesy: SurveyMonkey). The results were collected and computed in excel format and was cross verified for any errors. Then the data was processed and transferred to SPSS software following which statistical analysis was done and analysed(courtesy:IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 23.0, Armonk, NY: IBM Corp. Released 2015).

# Results

The Normality tests Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilks tests results reveal that all variables follow Normal distribution. Therefore, to analyse the data parametric method is applied. The standard deviation, mean and the frequency was analysed.



# Chart-1 showing the year of study of the students

Annals of R.S.C.B., ISSN:1583-6258, Vol. 25, Issue 3, 2021, Pages. 2737 - 2747 Received 16 February 2021; Accepted 08 March 2021.



Chart-2 showing most preferred fixed partial denture according to students

# Chart-3 showing the response to the question finish line comes under which principles of tooth preparation





# Chart-4 showing students responce for what type of finish line given for an all-ceramic FPD

# Chart-5 showing students response to which finish line have more unsupported enamel







Chart 7 showing students response to which finish line configuration may produce postoperative gingival enlargement











Annals of R.S.C.B., ISSN:1583-6258, Vol. 25, Issue 3, 2021, Pages. 2737 - 2747 Received 16 February 2021; Accepted 08 March 2021.



#### Chart 10 showing students responce to finish line have marginal width greater than 0.3mm

#### Discussion

Over the past years, where the function and aesthetics of the restoration have got more and important. Different types of materials, the ways to tooth preparation have been employed by the manufactory and the clinicians. The materials have been improved by a very quite margins. Introduction of ceramics had a turning point in aesthetics of the restoration. Further in ceramics different composition have been employed for further improvement of the quality of the restoration like E-max restoration which has high amount lithium di-silicate in it which is exclusively for anterior teeth where aesthetics is very important. Tooth preparation should have specific geometrical characteristics to provide necessary retention and resistance to the vertical and lateral forces acting on the restoration. Preparing the tooth structure also plays a vital role in the quality of restoration. If the tooth preparation is not proper it lead to formation of inadequate tooth structure, undercuts, improper margins which will eventually affect the quality of the restoration that the professional is doing in his clinical practice.

In the present study a questionnaire based study has been done. This study aimed at accessing the preference of dental professionals about what finish line configuration do they prefer the most in their clinical practice and other general fixed prosthodontics questions were included in the study. About the material of choice according to the students 43% of the preferred metal ceramic followed by metal free ceramic at 35% and all metal prosthesis was least considered(chart 2). Next question was a knowledge based question the finish line configuration comes under what principle of tooth preparation and 72% of them answered marginal integrity(chart 3). About 53% of students responded that they give shoulder finish line for an all-ceramic FPD (chart 4) and 58% of them agrees that there is more unsupported enamel in a chamfer finish line configuration than any other finish line configuration(chart 5). Then the students were asked about the angle of shoulder finish line forming with the tooth structure which 57% of them answered 90<sup>0</sup> degrees(chart 6). Postoperative complication of any restoration is important to know how will we can avoid those. So the students were asked which of the finish line configuration cause post-operative gingival enlargement and 30% of them asked it was sub-gingival finish line configuration(chart 7). Based on

http://annalsofrscb.ro

the position of finish line to level of gingiva the students mostly preferred equi-gingival finish line (40%) and least preferred sub-gingival finish line[11%](chart 8). Based on the type of the finish line shoulder finish line configuration was the most preferred[35%] and sloping sholder was least preferred of 1%(chart 9).

Based on the average assessment and evaluation of answers given by the working dental students , it can be categorised that out of 100% , 85 % of students are aware of finish line placement and their indications and 15% of students were unaware of finish line. The study by Sonia K Makhija, also shows a high prevalence of use of ceramic crowns compared to metal-based crowns. These results are in contrast to a study from about 30 years ago which reported a higher selection rate of PFM crowns (55% of Swiss and 56% of Canadian dentists) and metal crowns (17% of Swiss and 35% of Canadian dentists) than porcelain jacket crowns (1% of Swiss and 2% of Canadian dentists)

This study does have certain limitations, and conclusions should consider these issues. This study relied on questionnaire information rather than direct observation of procedures; therefore, the inferences made are based on responses from this questionnaire. Additionally, the response rate was very good, but it is possible that non- respondents would have reported different behaviour.

# Conclusion

Thus from the present study we were able to find the more preferable finish line configuration that the dental students prefer applying in their dental restorative procedure which was equi-gingival shoulder finish line configuration. Further as a future goal this study will be done based on direct clinical evaluation among clinical dental students which will provide a much better view of the preference of finish line configuration among the dental students across different places.

# Reference

- 1. Mayers Re. Standards of human occlusal development. Ann Arbor: Center for Human Growth and Development; 1976. p. 45-8.
- 2. Reeves WG. Restorative margin placement and periodontal health. J Prosthet Dent. 1991;66:733-6.
- 3. Rimmer Se, Mellor AC. Patients 'perceptions of aesthetics and technical qualities in crowns and fixed partial dentures. Quintessence Int. 1996;27:155-62.
- 4. Rosenstiel SF, Land MF, Fujimoto J. Contemporary fixed prosthodontics. 2nd ed. St. Louis: Mosby-Year Book; 1995. p. 137-8, 170-3, 184-5, 229.
- 5. Seymour KG, Samarawickrama DY, Lynch eJ. Metal ceramic crowns a review of tooth preparation. eur J Prosthodont Restor Dent. 1999;7:79-84.
- 6. Deepak nallaswamy ., Textbook of prosthodontics.,p -571- 574.,2003.
- 7. Shillingburg HT, Hobo S, Whitsett LD, Jacobi R, Brackett Se. Fundamentals of fixed

prosthodontics. 3rd ed. Chicago: Quintessence Publishing Co; 1997. p. 120, 139-42, 151-52.

- 8. Michael A. Mansueto, D.D.S., M.S.; Hatem A. Abdulkarim, B.D.S.; Walid R. Thabet, B.D.S.; Stephan J. Haney, D.D.S. The Chamfer Finish Line: Preclinical Student Performance Using Different Bur Designs.,2010.
- 9. Philip Newsome and Siobhan Owen., Improving your margins, vol, 6., 2007.
- 10. 10 Thom LW. Principle of cavity preparation in crown and bridge prosthesis: the full crown. J Am Dent Assoc 1950;41(3):284–9..
- 11. Charles J. Goodacre et al, Tooth preparations for complete crowns: An art form based on scientific principles. J Prosthet Dent 2001; 85:363-76.
- Fauchard P. The surgeon dentist. 2nd ed. Vol. II. Birmingham, AL:Classics of Dentistry Library; 1980. p. 173- 204 Richardson J. A practical treatise on mechanical dentistry. Philadelphia,PA: Lindsay and Blakiston; 1880. p. 148-9, 152-3.
- 13. Aroonika. S. Bedre, Dr. Preetam Prasad Nittla, Dr. M. G. AarthyJournel of Pharmaceutical sciences and research. Vol. 12(1), 2020, 94-99
- 14. M. Srilekha G, Dr. Abirami Journel of Pharmaceutical sciences and research. Vol. 8(10), 2016, 1210-1211 Jyothi S., Pon Kirubha Robin, Dhanraj Ganapathy, Anandiselvaraj. Periodontal Health Status of Three Different Groups Wearing Temporary Partial Denture. Research J. Pharm. and Tech 2017; 10(12): 4339-4342.
- 15. Duraisamy R, Krishnan CS, Ramasubramanian H, Sampathkumar J, Mariappan S, Navarasampatti Sivaprakasam A. Compatibility of Nonoriginal Abutments With Implants: Evaluation of Micro gap at the Implant-Abutment Interface, With Original and Nonoriginal Abutments. Implant Dent. 2019;28(3): Subashree Rathi Selvan, Dhanraj Ganapathy. Efficacy of Fifth Generation Cephalosporins against Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus- A Review. Research J. Pharm. and Tech 2016; 9(10):1815-1818.
- 16. Ganapathy D, Sathyamoorthy A, Ranganathan H, Murthykumar K. Effect of resin bonded luting agents influencing marginal discrepancy in all-ceramic complete veneer crowns. J Clin Diagn Res 2016;10:ZC67- 70
- 17. S. Subasree, Karthikeyan Murthykumar, Dr Dhanraj. Effect of Aloe Vera in Oral Health A Review. Research J. Pharm. and Tech. 2016; 9(5): 609-612.
- 18. Ranganathan H, Ganapathy DM, Jain AR. The cervical and incisal marginal discrepancy in ceramic laminate veneering materials: an SEM analysis. Contem Clin den J. 2017;8(2):272-278
- 19. Vijayalakshmi B, Dhanraj Ganapathy. Medical Management of Cellulitis. Research J. Pharm. and Tech 2016; 9(11):2067-2070

- 20. Kannan A, V Suresh, Ganapathy M.Effect of Coated Surfaces influencing Screw Loosening in Implants: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis.World Journal of Dentistry, November-December 2017;8(6):496-502
- Ashok, V., Suvitha, S. Awareness of all-ceramic restoration in rural population Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology, 2016: 9 (10), pp. 1691-1693.
  Ashok, V., Nallaswamy, D., Benazir Begum, S., Nesappan, T. Lip Bumper Prosthesis for an Acromegaly Patient: A Clinical Report: Journal of Indian Prosthodontist Society,2014 14, pp. 279-282.
- 22. Venugopalan S, Ariga P, Aggarwal P, Viswanath A. Magnetically retained silicone facial prosthesis. Niger J Clin Pract 2014; 17: 260-4
- 23. Kannan, A. and Venugopalan, S., 2018. A systematic review on the effect of use of impregnated retraction cords on the gingiva. Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology, 11(5), pp.2121-2126.
- 24. Ajay R, Suma K, Ali SA, Kumar Sivakumar JS, Rakshagan V, Devaki V, Divya K. Effect of surface modifications on the retention of cement-retained implant crowns under fatigue loads: An in vitro study. J Pharm Bioallied Sci. 2017;9:S154–S160