First and Second Line of Treatment for Tuberculosis: A Mathematical Model with Drug Resistance.

¹Dr. AnkitaTiwari, ²Y.Hari Krishna, ³RaajaniSandhya

¹Asst. Professor, Department of Mathematics, KoneruLakshmaiah Education Foundation Greenfilelds, Vaddeswaram(A.P.) India

²Department of Mathematics, Anurag Engineering College, Anathagiri, Telangana,India-508206 ³Asst.Professor,VR Siddhartha Engineering College, Kanuru, Vijayawada(A.P.) India

Abstract - This study proposed a mathematical model of tuberculosis with drug resistance to a firstand second

lineoftreatment.Thebasicreproductionnumberforthemodelusingnextgenerationmethodisobtained.T heequilibrium point of the model was investigated and also found the global stability of the disease freeequilibrium and endemic equilibrium for the model. This study shows the effect of resistance rate of the first and second line of treatment to the infected and resistant population. If basic reproduction number is less than one, the disease free equilibrium is globally asymptotically stable and if basic reproduction number is greater than one, then the endemic equilibrium is a globally asymptotically stable.

Keywords - Tuberculosis, Mycobacterium tuberculosis bacteria [Mtb], developed multi-drug resistant [MDR], Basic reproduction number, Stability.

1. Introduction

Tuberculosis is an airborne disease caused by Mycobacterium tuberculosisbacteria (Mtb).Ullahet al. [8] discuss a general SIR epidemic model which represents the directtransmission of infectious disease. It is an ancient disease with evidence of its existence being found in relics from ancient Egypt, India and China [1]. Today, this disease ranks as the second leading cause of morbidity and mortality in the world from a single infectious agent, after the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) according to Daniel. [10] Interestingly, about one third of the world's population is infected with Mycobacterium tuberculosis bacteriawith approximately nine million people developing active tuberculosis and million people worldwide die from the disease every year. Approximately 480,000 people developed multidrug resistant (MDR) tuberculosis globally with 210,000 of those who developed MDR tuberculosis succumbing to it. In addition to posing a, major health concern to low and middle income countries, tuberculosis affect economic growth negatively. [3] Psycho-social distress that communities go through is enormous. This involves thinking about the loss of their loved ones and the economic impact of taking care of sick ones especially among the low income individuals. This impacts not only the individuals, but also the economic progress of the country. Zaman [7] gives, another category of people largely at risk of contracting tuberculosis are those who work closely or live close to a person with active tuberculosis and they could include health care workers, people living in crowded living spaces or confined places such as schools or prisons. According to Semenza et al. [5] over the last twenty five years, the mortality rate of tuberculosis has greatly decreased by 45% since and this is largely due to effective diagnosis and treatment. However, the world is still far from defeating the disease. About 8 billion US dollars per year is needed for a full response to the global tuberculosis epidemic in low and middle income countries by the year 2015 with a funding gap of 2 billion US dollars per year. This

amount excluded resources required for research and development, which was estimated to be about 2 billion US dollars yearly. Clearly, this reveals that the current investment in tuberculosis falls below the low and middle income country'sneeds.

Tuberculosis is responsible for more deaths worldwide than any other infectious agent. Waaler and Anderson [4] developed a first tuberculosis model for the transmission dynamics of tuberculosis. The enormous progress in prevention and treatment, tuberculosis disease remains a leading cause of death worldwide and one of the major sources of concern is the drug resistant strain, MDR-TB (multidrug resistant tuberculosis) and XDR-TB (extensively drug resistant tuberculosis). Young et al. [2] studies, tuberculosis is curable provided an early diagnosis is made and one follows the proper treatment regimen which would take six months upto two years for the active tuberculosis to clear. Sharma et al. [9] given that the infected population is similar on the sociological and psychological effect rate. Cohen and Murray[11] modelled epidemics of multi-drug resistant tuberculosis of heterogeneous fitness.

ModelAnalysis

This study will first extend the standard SEIRS mathematical model for the transmission of tuberculosis which will demonstrate the transmission of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis in human hosts taking into account the multidrug resistant (MDR) tuberculosis.

The ModelEquations

This study presents a simple model that can easily be analysed so as to properly understand the dynamics of this disease. Humans can contract MTB tuberculosis through contact with individuals who are infected with the disease after which they enter the exposed phase where a proportion of this class develop active tuberculosis thus moving into the infectious class. If treatment is administered promptly, those who recover from the disease will move to the recovered class and those who delay treatment and develop MDR tuberculosis will move to the resistant class. Those who recover from MDR tuberculosis will move to the recovered class. Given that there is no permanent immunity to tuberculosis, the recovered can lose their immunity and become susceptible again. Figure represent the flow of individuals into the different compartments and it has been constructed with these assumptions: recruitment isby

birth only, a variable population, a constant mortality rate, no permanent immunity to tuberculosis, no immediate infectively.

Thehumanpopulationiscategorized into such that at time t ≥ 0 there are S, susceptible humans, E, exposed humans to tuberculosis, I, infected humans with active tuberculosis, R₁, resistant humans to the first line of treatment, R₂, resistant humans to the size of the human population is given as N=S+E+I+R_{ES}+R. Inourmodel, the recruitment into the susceptible human

populationisbybirthh. Thesize of the human population is further increased by the partial immune humans in R after they lose their immunity at the rate q. The size of human population is decreased by natural deaths (μ) and exposure to Mtb. The exposed susceptible to Mtb move to the exposed classes E with the force of infection being β resulting in an increase in the exposed class. The exposed class is further decreased by natural death (μ) and the proportion who move to the infected class I after developing active tuber culos is. The infected class I is also by those resistance rate to the first and second line of treatment r₁ and r₂ respectively. Thus the infected class (I), and the resistant cl asses(R₁andR₂)gainpartial immunity at the rates (ð) and (*f*) respectively thus moving to the recovered class R thus reducing their respective classes and also increasing the recovered class. The resistant classes R₁, R₂ also reduced by natural deaths (μ) and disease induced deaths while the recovered class is reduced by natural deaths (\Box) and those who lose their partial immunity at the rateq. Following Table (1) and (2) gives the description of variables and parameters

Table 1

Description of variables			
S(t)	Ξ	Susceptible humans	
E(t)	=	exposed humans	
I(t)	Ξ	infected humans	
$\mathbf{R}_1(\mathbf{t})$	= re	esistant to the first line of treatment	
$\mathbf{R}_2(t)$	=	resistant to the second line of	
		treatment	
R(t)	=	Recovered humans	

Table	2
-------	---

Description of Parameters			
$\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{R}$ at which the susceptible become			
exposed to Mtb			
y = Infection rate			
α_1 = Disease induced death rate			
$\mu = Rate of natural death$			
r_1 = Resistance rate of first line treatment			
r_2 = Resistance rate of second line treatment			
δ = Recovery after first line of treatment			
f = Recovery after second line of			
treatment			
q = Rate at which recovered loss their			
immunity			
$\alpha_{2,} \alpha_{3} = $ Disease induced death rate after first			
and second resistance respectively			

DifferentialEquations

From the above discussion, we get the following system of ordinary differential equations

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = \lambda N \cdot \mu S - \beta SI + \rho R$$
$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \beta SI - (\mu + \gamma) E$$
$$\frac{dl}{dt} = \gamma E - (\mu + \alpha_1 + r_1 + r_2)l,$$
$$\frac{dR_1}{dt} = r_1 i - (\mu + \alpha_2 + \delta) R_1$$

$$\frac{dR_2}{dt} = r_2 i - (\mu + \alpha_3 + \varphi)R_{2}$$
$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \delta R_1 + \pi R_2 - (\mu + \rho)R$$

The above system of equations is (1),

To obtain the equilibrium points for the system of differential equation (1) by equating each of the equations to 0 as shown inbelow

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = \lambda N \cdot \mu S - \beta SI + \rho R = 0$$
$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \beta SI - (\mu + \gamma)E = 0,$$
$$\frac{dl}{dt} = \gamma E - (\mu + \alpha_1 + r_1 + r_2)l = 0,$$
$$\frac{dR_1}{dt} = r_1 i - (\mu + \alpha_2 + \delta)R_1 = 0,$$
$$\frac{dR_2}{dt} = r_2 i - (\mu + \alpha_3 + \varphi)R_2 = 0,$$
$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \delta R_1 + \pi R_2 - (\mu + \rho)R = 0,$$

The above system of equations is (2).

Solving System (2),to get two equilibrium points,one being the disease free equilibrium while the other being the endemic equilibrium .

Disease free equilibrium points (S,E,I,R₁,R₂,R) is expressed as follows:

X0=((S,E,I,R1,R2,R)=((S,E,I,R1,R2,)= $(\frac{\lambda N}{\mu}, 0,0,0,0,0)$ and endemic equilibrium point (S*,E*,I*,R1*,R2*,R*p)is expresse3 as follows:

$$S *= \frac{(\mu+\gamma)(\mu+\alpha_{1}+r_{1}+r_{2})}{\beta\gamma},$$

$$E *= \frac{\beta x ((\mu+\rho)(\lambda N-\mu x)}{(\mu+\gamma)(\beta x (\mu+\rho)-p)},$$

$$I *= \frac{((\mu+\rho)(\lambda N-\mu x)}{(\beta x (\mu+\rho)-p)},$$

$$R1 *= \frac{r_{1}((\mu+\rho)(\lambda N-\mu x)}{(\mu+\alpha_{2}+\delta)(\beta x (\mu+\rho)-p)},$$

$$R2 *= \frac{r_{2}((\mu+\rho)(\lambda N-\mu x)}{((\mu+\alpha_{3}+\varphi))(\beta x (\mu+\rho)-p)}$$
Where x=S*, p = $\rho(\frac{\delta r_{1}}{((\mu+\alpha_{2}+\delta)} + \frac{\varphi r_{2}}{(\mu+\alpha_{3}+\varphi)}),$

2.4 Condition of Existence /positivity of Equilibrium:

The System will remain positive provided this condition holds:

$$\frac{(\lambda N - \mu x)}{(\beta x(\mu + \rho) - p)} > 0 \leftrightarrow (\lambda N - \mu x) > 0 \leftrightarrow \lambda N > \mu x$$

Substituting for x,

$$\lambda N > \mu \frac{(\mu + \gamma)(\mu + \alpha_1 + r_1 + r_2)}{\beta \gamma} \leftrightarrow \lambda N \beta \gamma > (\mu + \gamma)(\mu + \alpha_1 + r_1 + r_2) > 1$$

The expression is the condition of existence.

Let us look at the following system of following equations:

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \beta SI - (\mu + \gamma)E, \\ \frac{dl}{dt} = \gamma E - (\mu + \alpha_1 + r_1 + r_2)l = 0, \\ \frac{dR_1}{dt} = r_1i - (\mu + \alpha_2 + \delta)R_1 \\ \frac{dR_2}{dt} = r_2i - (\mu + \alpha_3 + \varphi)R_2,$$

Let $X = (E,I,R1,R2)^T$ Then above system can be expressed in matrix form as shown below:

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = F(X) - V(X)$$

Where

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta SI \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, V(X) = \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma E + \mu + \alpha 1 + r 1)I \\ (\mu + \gamma)E \\ -r 1 + (\mu + \alpha 2 + \delta)R1 \\ r 2 - (\mu + \alpha 3 + \pi)R2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$F(X) = \begin{pmatrix} \beta SI \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad V(X) = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma E + (\mu + \alpha_1 + r_1 + r_2)I \\ (\mu + \gamma)E \\ -r_1 + (\mu + \alpha_2 + \delta)R_1 \\ r_2 - (\mu + \alpha_3 + \pi)R_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

The Jacobian matrix of F(X) and V(X) at the disease free equilibrium X₀ are, $DF(X_0) = \begin{bmatrix} F_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $DV(X_0) = \begin{bmatrix} V_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ respectively Where

Now V^{-1}

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{(\mu+\gamma)} & 0 & 0\\ \frac{\gamma}{(\mu+\gamma)(\mu+\alpha_1+r_1+r_2)} & \frac{r_1}{(\mu+\alpha_1+r_1+r_2)} & 0 & 0\\ \frac{\gamma r_1}{(\mu+\alpha_1+r_1+r_2)(\mu+\gamma)(\mu+\alpha_2+\delta)} & \frac{r_1}{(\mu+\alpha_1+r_1+r_2)(\mu+\alpha_2+\delta)} & \frac{1}{(\mu+\alpha_2+\delta)} & \frac{1}{(\mu+\alpha_2+\delta)} \\ \frac{\gamma r_2}{(\mu+\gamma)(\mu+\alpha_1+r_1+r_2)(\mu+\alpha_3+\pi)} & \frac{r_2}{(\mu+\alpha_1+r_1+r_2)(\mu+\alpha_3+\pi)} & \frac{1}{(\mu+\alpha_3+\pi)} \end{pmatrix}$$

The next generation matrix of the system is given by

Now,toobtain the spectral radius of F_1V_1 -¹,

which is defined as the large steigenvalue of and the spectral radius for the above system is the basic reproduction number and its expression is given by

$$R_0 = \frac{\beta \lambda N \gamma}{(\mu + \alpha_1 + r_1 + r_2)(\mu + \gamma)\mu}$$

StabilityAnalysis

In this section this study will determine the stability of the diseases free equilibrium point. This study can easily establish the local stability of the equilibriums by Routh Hurwitz criteria, so left it. This study will discuss only on the global stability of the disease free and endemic equilibrium.

Global Stability of the Disease Free Equilibrium

The local dynamics of a general SEIRS model is determined by the reproduction number R_0 . If $R_0 \ll I$, then each infected individual in its entire period of infectiousness will produceless than one infected individual on average. This means that the disease will be wiped out of the polarion.

If R_0 >I,theneach infected individual in its entire infectious period having contact with susceptible individuals will produce more than one infected individual implying that the disease persist in the population.

If R_0 =1thisisdefinedasthediseasethreshold, then one individual infects one more individual. For R_0 <=I the disease free equilibrium is locally asymptotically stable while for R_0 >I the disease free equilibrium becomesunstable.Thediseasefree equilibrium point is points

$$(S, E, I, R_1, R_2, R) = (\frac{\lambda N}{\mu}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0).$$

Theorem: I If $R_0 \le$ then the disease free equilibrium is of the system

(S, E, I, R₁, R₂, R) = $\left(\frac{\lambda N}{\mu}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\right)$ is globally asymptotically stable on Ω .

Proof.ConstructthefollowingLasalle-Lyapunovfunction

V(S, E, I, R₁, R₂, R) = $(\frac{\lambda N}{\mu}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$.on the thepositively invariant compact set Ω .

Define V(S, E, I, R₁, R₂, R) = $\gamma E + (\mu + \gamma)I$(4)

Differentiate above equation and using the second and third equations of the system (1), we get

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = \gamma \frac{dE}{dt} + (\mu + \gamma) \frac{dI}{dt}$$
$$\frac{dV}{dt} = [\beta \gamma S - (\mu + \gamma)(\mu + \alpha_1 + r_1 + r_2)]I$$
$$\frac{dV}{dt} = [(\mu + \gamma)(\mu + \alpha_1 + r_1 + r_2)(R_0 - 1)]I$$

Which is strictly decreasing for $R_0 < I$.

Define the set (E, I, R_1, R_2, R) $\in \Omega$. The largest invariant set is contained in the set E for which E = 0 or I = 0 or $R_1 = 0$, $R_2 = 0$ Thus

by Lasalle invariant principalthediseasefree equilibrium is globally asymptotically stable on Ω . Global Stability of The Endemic Equilibrium Theorem 2. The endemic equilibrium

$$\emptyset = (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{I}^*, \mathbf{R}_1^*, \mathbf{R}_2^*,)$$

Given by equ(3) is globally asymptotically stable on Ω .

Proof: To establish the global stability of the endemic equilibrium \emptyset , so constructLypunao function $V_1 = \Omega \rightarrow R$ where $\Omega = \{E(t), I(t), R_1(t), R_2(t)/E(t) > 0, I(t) > 0, R_1 > 0, R_2 > 0\}$ as described by UllahZaman and Islam and it is given as

Where L₁,L₂,L₃,L₄ are positive constants to be later considered. Taking the derivative of the Lyapunov function V₁as given in equation (5) yields $\frac{dV_1}{dt} = L_1[E - E^* \quad \left(\frac{\beta SI}{E} - (\mu + \gamma)\right)] + L_2\left[I - I^*\left(\frac{\gamma E}{l} - (\mu + \alpha_1 + r_1 + r_2)\right)\right] + L_3\left[R_1 - R^* I r_1 IR1 - (\mu + \alpha_2 + \delta) + L4R2 - R^* 2\frac{10}{10}(r_2 IR2 - (\mu + \alpha_3 + \varphi))\right]$ (6)

CHOOSING
$$L_1=L_2=L_3=L_4=1$$
 equ(6) becomes
 $= (E-E^*)(\mu + \gamma)(VV_1R_0 - 1) + (I - I^*)(\mu + \alpha_1 + r_1 + r_2)(VV_1R_0 - 1) + r_1(R_1 - R_1^*))\frac{(R_1^* - I^*R_1)}{R_1^* R_1} + r_2(R_2 - R_2^*)(\frac{IR_2^* - I^*R}{R_2^* R_2})$
Thus $\frac{dV_1}{dt} \le 0$ if $fR_0 < 1$ and $R_1^* < I < R_1$ and $R_2^* I < R_2 I^*$.
To have Thus $\frac{dV_1}{dt} = 0$ if $fE = E^*, I = I^*$.
 $R_1 < R_1^*, R_1 = R_1^*, R_2 = R_2^* OR R_0 = 1$ AND $R_2^* I = I^*R_2$

Define the set

$$\emptyset = \{ (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{I}^*, {R_1}^*, {R_2}^*,) \in \frac{\Omega}{\frac{dV_1}{dt}} = 0 \}$$

Therefore the largest compact invariant set is singletone set Φ which is the endemic equilibrium. By Lasalle invariant principle Φ is globally asymptotically stable on Ω .

NumericalSimulation

Explain this result through graphically. Consider the parameters as:

 \Box 0.001, N \Box 1, 000, \Box 0.398, \Box 1, r₁ \Box 0.4, r₂ \Box 0.5, \Box 0.7, \Box_1 \Box 0.8, \Box_2 \Box 0.4, \Box_3 \Box 0.3, \Box \Box , \Box 1.2, \Box 0.4 Then this study gives R₀ =0.1395 <1 and if the initial values of susceptible, exposed, infected, resistant of first and second line treatment population are 1, 2, 1, 1, 1 and 1 respectively. The susceptible population goes to its steady state value while exposed, infected, resistant of first and second line treatment population approach to zero as time increase as shown in Figure 1. So that the disease free equilibrium is globally asymptotically stable.



Again if, we take the parameters of the system as: = 0.015, γ N=1,000, β = 0.398, λ = 1, r1 = 0.4, r2= 0.5, μ =0.7, α 1= 0.8, α 2= 0.4, α 3=0.3, δ =1, π = 1.2, ρ = 0.4. Then(E* S*, E*, I*, R1, *,R2*, R*) = (10.25,4.8,2,38,45,84)and R0 = > 2.091 1. If the initial values of susceptible, exposed, infected, resistant of first and second line treatment population are 1, 2, 1, 1, 1 and 1 respectively. Therefore by theorem (2), the endemic equilibrium is a global asymptotically stable as shown in Figure 2



Let us take all the parameters are fixed except the resistance rate of the first and second line of treatments, found that the infected population decreases as the resistance rate of the first second line of treatment increases which is shown in figure 3(a) and (b). Therefore infected population moves to resistant population of the first line of treatment and to

theresistant population of the second line of treatment, as resistant rate increases respectively. $\frac{77}{5}$



Figure.3(a) Changes in the infected population with respect to resistance rate of the first line treatment, keepingallotherparameters are fixed.



Figure.3(b)Changesintheinfectedpopulationwithrespecttoresistancerateofthesecondlinetreatm ent,keepingall otherparametersare fixed

Similarly again we take all parameters are fixed except the resistance rate of the first line andthe second line of treatment, found that the resistant population of the first line treatmentdecreaseswhenresistancerateofthefirstlinetreatmentincreasesi.e.resistantpopulati on moves to recovered population while the resistant population of the second line treatmentincreases when the resistance rate of the second line of treatment increases i.e. after thesecond line treatment, the infected population comes into resistant population which showninfigure4(a)and 4(b)respectively.



Figure.4(a)Changesintheresistantpopulationwithrespecttoresistancerateofthefirstlineoftreatme nt,keepingall the otherparameters are fixed.



Figure.4(b)Changesintheresistantpopulationwithrespecttoresistancerateofthesecondlineoftreat ment,keepingall theotherparametersarefixed.

Conclusion

This study analyzed the local and global stability of the equilibrium points, found that when the basic reproduction number $R_0 \square$ 1, then disease dies out and when the basic reproductionnumber $R_0 \square$ 1, then disease persists.

References

- [1] D. Morse, Brothwell and PJ. Ucko, (1964), Tuberculosis in Ancient Egypt, Am RevRespir.Dis., 90: 524-541.
- [2] D. Young, J. Stark and D. Kirschner, (2008), System Biology of Persistent Infection:TuberculosisasaCaseStudy,NatureReviewsMicrobiology, 6:520-528.
- [3] E. Klein, R. Laxminarayan, D. Smith and C. Gilligan, (2007), Economic incentives andMathematical Models of Disease, Environment and Development Economics, 12: 707-732.
- [4] H. Waaler, and S. Anderson, (1962), The Use of Mathematical Models in the Study of theEpidemiologyofTuberculosis, AmericanJournalofPublicHealth, 52:1002-1013.
- [5] J. Semenza, J. Suk and S. Tsolova, (2010), Social Determinants of Infectious Diseases: APublicHealth Priority, Euro Surveil, 15 : 1-3.
- [6] J. Trauer, J. Denholm and E. McBryde, (2014), Construction of a Mathematical Model forTuberculosis Transmission in Highly Endemic Regions of the Asia-Pacific. Journal ofTheoreticalBiology, 358: 74-84.
- [7] Zaman, (2010), Tuberculosis: A Global Health Problem. Journal of Health PopulationandNutrition, 28: 111-113.
- [8] R. Ullah, G. Zaman , and S. Islam, (2013), Stability Analysis of a General SIR

EpidemicModel, VFASTTransaction on Mathematics, 1: 16-20.

- [9] S. Sharma, V.H. Badshah, and V.K. Gupta,(2017), Analysis of a SIRI Epidemic ModelwithModifiedNonlinearincidenceRateandLatentPeriod,AsianjournalofMathem aticsand statistics, 10: 1-12.
- [10] T.M.Daniel, (2006), HistoryofTuberculosis, RespiratoryMedicine, 100:1862-1870.
- [11] T. Cohen, and M. Murray,(2004) Modelling Epidemics of Multidrug-Resistantm.TuberculosisofHeterogeneousFitness. NatureMedicine, 10:1117-1121.