

## Prevalence and Characterization of Opportunistic Candidal Infection among Diabetic Foot Ulcer Patients, Puducherry

Jayarani Manikandan<sup>\*1</sup>, Jaikumar.S<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Sri Lakshmi Narayana Institute of Medical Sciences, Puducherry

Corresponding Author: JayaraniManikandan,jaymicro@rocketmail.com

### ABSTRACT

The aim of our present study was to estimate the prevalence and characterization of *Candida* infection in foot ulcer patients and its virulence factors and their drug resistant pattern. Diabetic patients are more susceptible to candidiasis infection due to poor glycemic control and therapeutic dentures. A total of 100 swabs was taken from diabetic foot ulcer patients from January 2017 to June 2017. Samples were cultured on Sabouraud dextrose agar (SDA) medium. *Candida* spp. were differentiated by culture on Hi CHROM agar, Sugar assimilation test, fermentation test, virulence factors and antifungal sensitivity test. Out of 100 samples obtained from diabetic foot ulcer patients

, 36 (36%) were positive for *Candida* spp. by culture. *Candida albicans* was found to be the predominant isolate followed by others. *Candida albicans* shows more virulence activity than *non albicans*. Resistance to fluconazole was observed in our study. *C. albicans* was more resistant to azoles than *non albicans*. Our results will give physicians the knowledge on virulence factors, antifungal susceptibility testing and the development of newer antifungal drugs are mandatory to achieve a decrease in *Candida* infections among diabetic foot ulcer patients. Fluconazole resistance is a public health concern and the rational use of this drug is important in community.

**Keywords:** Diabetic Foot Ulcer, Germ tube test, CHROM agar, Drug Resistant, Antifungal Agents

### INTRODUCTION

Diabetic foot ulcers (DFUs) are widely in the community with prevalence rates ranging from 5% to 10%. Twenty five percent of peoples with diabetes develop foot ulcer in their

lifetime. Microorganism causing infections in DFU are mostly bacteria, few studies reported the fungus and low pathogenic yeast [1,2,3]

*Candida* spp. is the common yeast isolated from diabetic foot ulcers with a prevalence of 5%–21% [4,5]. Fluconazole used as the main option for the treatment of *Candida albicans*. [6]. Resistance to fluconazole are increasingly reported and it is a public health issue [7,8]

Diabetic foot syndromes are one of the main causes of morbidity [9]. Opportunistic fungal infections was not given importance in the present scenario, compared to its bacterial portion because of lack of research.

Though, topical studies show the broad range of fungal strains in a diabetic infected foot ulcer patient, with *Candida* species is the commonly isolated strain. Treatment of an infected diabetes foot ulcer should encompass all the possible microbiological causes, to provide efficient and specific treatment to the patients [10]. Therefore the main objective of our present study, find out the prevalence and characterization of *Candida* infections in diabetic foot ulcer patients.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS:**

This was a prospective study done on diabetic patients with DFU who visited the Out Patients surgical department at tertiary care hospital Puducherry from January 2017 to June 2017. The study was carried out after institutional human ethical committee clearance. Patients with diabetic foot ulcers visiting our OPD were included in this study. Patients treated with antifungal therapy, chemotherapy and corticosteroids were excluded.

A total of 100 samples were collected from diabetic foot ulcer patients were studied. Two tissue samples were collected from deep ulcer, place the tissue in normal saline and sent to laboratory for further processing.

Microscopic examination of tissues was done. First tissue was placed in 10% KOH, second tissue used for fungal culture with Sabouraud's dextrose agar (SDA) supplemented with Chloramphenicol and cycloheximide, incubated at 30°C for 4 weeks.

Based on Colony Morphology, Gram stain was performed to rule out the bacterial isolates.

### **IDENTIFICATION OF CANDIDA SPECIES:**

**HiCHROM** Agar plates were incubated at 25°C -30°C for 24-48 hours. Species were identified based on the colour of the colony.

*Candida albicans*- Light green

*Candida glabrata*- cream to white

*Candida krusei*- purple fuzzy - and blue to purple

*Candida tropicalis* - *Candida tropicalis*.

### **GERM TUBE TEST**

Take 0.5 ml of human serum in a test tube and inoculate 2-3 isolated colony, incubate at 37°C for 2 hours. Observe the germ tube formation under the microscope after 2 hours.

### **CORN MEAL AGAR FOR CHLAMYDOSPORE FORMATION (DALMAU PLATE)**

Divide the Corn meal Agar plate into 4 parts. Using a needle, touch the isolated colony and then make 2-3 streaks. Place a cover glass to the control part. This will provide anaerobic environment. Plates are incubated at 25°C for 2-5 days. Place the plate in microscope and focus the edge of the cover glass under the 40X objective. Observe morphological features of *Candida* species.

**SUGAR FERMENTATION:** Prepare sugar fermentation medium. Add 2% of sugar to the medium and place sterile Durham's tube for gas production.

Inoculate each sugar fermentation broth with 0.1 ml of inoculum. Incubate the tubes at 25°C up to 1 week. Examine the tubes every 48-72hrs period for the acid and gas production in Durham's tube. Production of gas in the tube is taken as fermentation positive, acid production indicates that carbohydrate is assimilated.

**ASSIMILATION TEST:** Suspend a heavy inoculum of a yeast culture that has been subculture on sugar free medium in 2ml of Yeast Nitrogen Base. Place the carbohydrate impregnated discs

onto the agar surface. Incubate the plates at 37 °C for 3-4 days. The presence of growth around the disc is considered as positive.

## **VIRULENCE FACTORS OF CANDIDA SPECIES**

### **BIOFILM PRODUCTION TEST**

Suspend a loopful of colony from the SDA was inoculated into a tube containing 10ml Sabouraud's liquid medium traces supplemented with glucose (to the final awareness of 8%). The tubes were incubated at 37°C for 24 h, and then the broth is aspirated out and the walls of the tubes were stained with saffron. **Results:** Negative (0), Weak positive (1+), Moderate positive (2+), Strong positive (3+).

### **PHOSPHOLIPASE ESTIMATION TEST**

Inoculating 10 µL aliquots of the yeast suspension into the wells punched onto the surface of the egg yolk medium. The diameter of the precipitation zone around the well was measured after incubation at 37°C for 48 h. **Results:** Ps = 1, negative Phospholipase activity; Pz = 0.64–0.99, positive, Phospholipase activity; and Pz ≤ 0.63, very strong.

### **HEMOLYTIC ACTIVITY TEST**

Add 7 ml aseptically collected fresh sheep blood to 100 ml SDA supplemented with glucose at a very last awareness of 3% (w/v). 10 µL of preferred inoculum prepared from both the take a look at and the manipulate.

**Results:** Diameter of the colony to the translucent zone of hemolysis.

### **ESTERASE ACTIVITY TEST**

Ten microliters of previously prepared suspension from each isolate emerge as carefully deposited on the Tween-80 opacity test medium; this changed into then incubated at 37 °C for 10 days in aerobic. Results:

Positive - Presence of a halo surround the inoculation site

Negative – Absences of a halo around the inoculation site

### **ANTIFUNGAL SUSCEPTIBILITY TEST**

The antifungal sensitivity testing of yeast isolates was carried out using the disk diffusion method as per CLSI guidelines. Mueller Hinton agar supplemented with 2% glucose and 0.5µg/ml methylene blue was used for sensitivity testing. Inoculums was prepared by 3-4 isolated yeast isolates. Inoculum suspension was adjusted to 0.5McFarland standard. Inoculate the

Muller hinter agar with a suspension using a sterile cotton swab by lawn culture method. The plates were allowed to dry and antifungal discs were placed onto the surface of inoculated agar plate.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Table 1: Gender distribution of Candidiasis in DFU cases**

Total Sample	Positive Candida Cases in DFU	Male	Female
100	36(36%)	26(72.2%)	10(27.7%)

Out of 100 DFU cases, *Candida* species were isolated in **36(36%)** patients. It was more significant in males 26(72.2%) than females 10(27.7%). Age of the patients from 40 to 69 years were more infected.

**Table 2: Prevalence of *Candida* spin diabetic foot ulcer**

S.No	Total Positive Cases(n=100)	% prevalence of candida species
1	36	36%

Out of 100 samples collected from patients with diabetic foot ulcer 36(36%) isolates of *Candida* were isolated.

**Table 3: Distribution of candida species in diabetic foot ulcer**

Candida species	No of culture positive cases(n=36)	Percentage%
<i>C.albicans</i>	18	50%
<i>C.tropicalis</i>	10	27.7%
<i>C. parapsilosis</i>	5	13.8%
<i>C.krusei</i>	3	8.3%

Among the 36 *Candida* isolates obtained from 100 samples, *Candida albicans* was found to be the prime species. Out of 36 *Candida* isolates, 18(50%) *C. albicans*, 10(27.7%) *C.tropicalis*, 5(13.8%) *C. parapsilosis* and 3(8.3%) *C.krusei* were isolated in DFU cases.

**TABLE 4:Biofilm Production by Candida sp**

<b>Biofilm</b>	<i>C.albicans(n=18)</i>	<i>C.tropicalis(n=10)</i>	<i>C. parapsilosis(n=5)</i>	<i>C.krusei(n=3)</i>
Negative	5	1	0	0
Weekly positive	2	3	1	1
Moderate Positive	5	3	1	1
Strong positive	6	3	3	1

Above table 4 shows, *Candida albicans* shows higher positivity rate for biofilm production than *Nonalbicans*.

**Table 5 :Phospholipase production by Candida sp**

<b>Phospholipase Production</b>	<i>C.albicans(n=18)</i>	<i>C.tropicalis(n=10)</i>	<i>C. parapsilosis(n=5)</i>	<i>C.krusei(n=3)</i>
<b>Negative</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
Positive	12	6	3	3
Strong Positive	4	2	1	0

In this Study, *Candida albicans* is the major Phospholipase producers than *Non albicans* shown in Table 5.

**Table 6:Esterase production by Candida sp**

<b>Esterase Production</b>	<i>C.albicans(n=18)</i>	<i>C.tropicalis(n=10)</i>	<i>C. parapsilosis(n=5)</i>	<i>C.krusei(n=3)</i>
Negative	8	3	1	0
Positive	10	7	4	3

In our Study, Esterase production is higher in 14 (78%) *Non albicans* than 10(56%) *Candida albicans* described in Table 6.

**Table7: Haemolyase production by *Candida sp***

Haemolyase Production	<i>C.albicans</i> (n=18)	<i>C.tropicalis</i> (n=10)	<i>C. parapsilosis</i> (n=5)	<i>C.krusei</i> (n=3)
Negative	1	1	0	1
Positive	17	9	5	2

In our current Study, haemolyase production is equal in both Non albicans and *Candida albicans* described in Table7 .

**Table8 :Antifungal Resistant Pattern (%) of *Candida species***

Anti-Fungal Drug	<i>Candida albicans</i> (n=18)	<i>Candida tropicalis</i> (n=10)	<i>Candida parapsilosis</i> (n=5)	<i>Candida krusei</i> (n=3)
Fluconazole	12(66.6%)	4(50%)	2(40%)	1(33%)
Amphotericin B	8(44.4%)	2(25%)	2(40%)	0(0%)
Voriconazole	6(33.3%)	2(25%)	1(20%)	0(0%)
Itraconazole	4(22.2%)	0(0%)	0(0%)	0(0%)

Out of 18 *C. albicans* isolated, 12(66.6%) was resistant to fluconazole and 18 Non albicans sp isolated ,7(44%) were resistant to fluconazole.

## DISCUSSION

About 17% of diabetic patients develop foot ulcer in their lifetime. It is one of the major cause of hospitalization for diabetic patients. 85% Polymicrobial infection of ulcer are responsible for limb amputation in the diabetic patients [11]. Several studies have been conducted on the bacterial infections of foot ulcer.

Literature references on fungal infections are very limited still [12]. Thus, little data is available on *Candida* Co-infection in diabetic foot ulcer [13].

In our present study, among 100 DFU cases, 36 (36%) *Candida* species were isolated . It was more significant in males 26(72.2%) than females 10(27.7%). Diabetic foot ulcer patients age range from 40 to 69 years. It has been observed that 57.5% were males and 42.5% were females

which is similar to the result [14]. The accommodating result was shown in other similar studies by [15,16], males lead in having diabetes with foot infections when compared to females.

In the present study, we analyzed the fungal co-infection in foot ulcer. Among the 36 *Candida* isolates from 100 samples, *Candida albicans* was found to be the common species. Out of 36 *Candida* isolates, 18(50%) *C. albicans*, 10(27.7%) *C. tropicalis*, 5(13.8%) *C. parapsilosis* and 3(8.3%) *C. krusei* were isolated in DFU cases. Correlates with report by [17] showed the prevalence of 19.9%. Our results are higher than those reported [18,19]. Our results compared with [20] this study, 49% *C. albicans*, 23% *C. tropicalis* and 18% *C. parapsilosis*, reported a similar finding. Even though the connection of *Candida* sp with diabetic foot ulcer relates [21,22]

In our present study, *Candida albicans* shows higher positivity rate for biofilm production than *non albicans*. our results compared with similar finding [23].

Phospholipases are a group of enzymes produced by *Candida species* that primarily help in digesting the phospholipids of the host cells leading to cell lyses. In our study, *Candida albicans* is the major phospholipase producer, followed by correlated with similar study [24]

Esterase acts as a virulence character among clinical isolates of *Candida*. Mechanism of virulence is due to the cytotoxic effects of esterase in the host tissues. Our study find a higher production of esterase among *Non albicans* than *Candida albicans* compared with C.P.G Kumar. T et al [25]

Haemolysase is one of the important virulence factors contributing to pathogenicity of *Candida*. It activates complement and opsonize surface of RBC. In our study Haemolysase production was noted equally in both *albicans* and *non albicans*.

Out of 18 *C. albicans* isolated, 12(66.6%) was resistant to fluconazole and 18 *Non albicans* sp isolated, 7(44%) were resistant to fluconazole. Antifungal drugs resistant to *Candida* sp was seen in Amphotericin B drug followed by Voriconazole. Our present study shows *C. albicans* more resistant to azoles than *non albicans* these results correlate with [26]. Resistance to antifungal agents was comparable to previous studies with amphotericin resistance 7%,



flucytosine 7.9%, and voriconazole 4%. It is unclear at present, due to limited use of these agents in the community compared to fluconazole [27]

## CONCLUSION

Our results show a *Candida* Sp resistant to fluconazole in DFU is a major concern due to inappropriate use of drugs in diabetes patients. Due to lack of oral antifungal agents for treating fungal infections, which makes it important to prevent spread of resistance.

Increased production of virulence factor among *Candida* and non *Candida albicans* become common. Our study recommends to screen biofilm production, and other virulence factor testing as a routine screening for *Candida* and non *albicans* isolates

Increase in resistance is a major public health concern for the use of fluconazole in the community. Our results will make physicians easier to treat fungal and mixed infections of diabetic foot ulcers, and encourage further research into these infections.

## REFERENCES

1. Singh N, Armstrong DG, Lipsky BA. "Preventing foot ulcers in patients with diabetes". JAMA. 2005;293:217–28.
2. Berkow EL, Lockhart SR. "Fluconazole resistance in *Candida* species: A current perspective". Infect Drug Resist. 2017;10:237–45.
3. Chellan G, Shivaprakash S, Karimassery Ramaiyar S, Varma AK, Varma N, Thekkeparambil Sukumaran M, et al. "Spectrum and prevalence of fungi infecting deep tissues of lower-limb wounds in patients with type 2 diabetes". J Clin Microbiol. 2010;48:2097–102.
4. Chincholikar DA, Pal RB. "Study of fungal and bacterial infections of the diabetic foot". Indian J Pathol Microbiol. 2002;45:15–22.
5. Bansal E, Garg A, Bhatia S, Attri AK, Chander J. "Spectrum of microbial flora in diabetic foot ulcers". Indian J Pathol Microbiol. 2008;51:204–8.
6. Berkow EL, Lockhart SR. "Fluconazole resistance in *Candida* species: A current perspective". Infect Drug Resist. 2017;10:237–45.

7. Chellan G, Shivaprakash S, Karimassery Ramaiyar S, Varma AK, Varma N, Thekkeparambil Sukumaran M, et al. "Spectrum and prevalence of fungi infecting deep tissues of lower-limb wounds in patients with type 2 diabetes". J Clin Microbiol. 2010;48:2097–102
8. Nithyalakshmi J, Nirupa S, Sumathi G. "Diabetic foot ulcers and *Candida* co-infection: A single centered study". Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci. 2014;3:413–6.
9. Mayfield JA, Reiber GE, Sanders LJ, Janisse D, Pogach LM. "Preventive foot care in people with diabetes". Diabetes Care 1998;21:2161-2177.
10. Ajello L, Hay RJ. "Medical mycology. In: Topley & Wilson's microbiology and microbial infections" 9th ed. London: Arnold, 1998.
11. Armstrong D.G., Lipsky B.A. 2004. "Diabetic foot infections: stepwise medical and surgical management". Int. Wound J., 1(2): 123.
12. Kates S.G., Nordstrom K.M., McGinley K.J., Leyden J.J. 1990. "Microbial ecology of interdigital infections of toe web spaces". J. Am. Acad. Dermatol., 22: 578 -582.
13. Viswanathan V., Jasmine J.J., Snehalatha C., Ramachandran A.J. 2002. "Prevalence of pathogens in diabetic foot infection in South Indian type 2 diabetic patients". Assoc. Physicians India, 50: 1013-1016.
14. Piérard G.E., Piérard-Franchimont C. 2005. "The nail under fungal siege in patients with type II diabetes mellitus". Mycoses, 48: 339-342
15. Hayat A.S., Khan A.H., Masood N., Shaikh N. 2011. "Study for microbiological pattern and in vitro antibiotic susceptibility in patients having diabetic foot infections at Tertiary Care Hospital in Abbottabad". World Appl. Sci. J., 12:123-131.
16. Hena V.J., Growther L. 2010. "Studies on bacterial infection of diabetic foot ulcer". Afr. J. Clin. Exp. Microbiol., 11: 146-149.
17. Saba Fata, Mohammed Haadi Saeed Modageegh, Rabeezfaizi, et al. 2011. "Mycotic infections in diabetic foot ulcer in Emam Reza hospital, Mashhad 2006 -2008". JJM, 4(1): 11-16.
18. Mallol R.E., Bellido M.D. 1980. "Germs isolated from vascular ulcers of the lower limb". Phlebologie, 33(1):157-165
19. Janifer J., Sekkizhar G., Kumpatla S., Viswanathan V. 2013. "Bioburden vs. antibiogram of diabetic foot infection". Clin. Res. Foot Ankle, 1: 3.

20. Nair, S., Peter, S., Sasidharan, A., Sistla, S., Kochugovindan Unni, A.K. 2007. "Incidence of mycotic infections in diabetic foot tissue". J. Cult. Collect., 5: 85-89.
21. Chincholikar, D.A., Pal, R.B. 2002. "Study of fungal and bacterial infections of diabetic foot". Indian J. Pathol. Microbiol., 45: 15-22.
22. Heald, A.H., O'Halloran, D.J., Richards, K., et al. 2001. "Fungal infection of the diabetic foot: two distinct syndromes". Diabet. Med., 18(7):567-572.
23. Shin JH, Kee SJ, Shin MG, Kim SH, Shin DH, Lee SK, et al. "Biofilm production by isolates of *Candida* species recovered from nonneutropenic patients: Comparison of bloodstream isolates with isolates from other sources". J Clin Microbiol. 2002; 40(4):1244-8.
24. Nyirjesy P, A.B Alexander and M.V. Weitz. "Vaginal *Candida* parapsilosis pathogen or bystander?". Infect. Dis. Obstet. Gynecol. 2005; 13(1):37-41.
25. C.P.G Kumar, T. Menon, T. Sundararajan et al. "Esterase activity of *Candida* species isolated from immunocompromised hosts". Rev. Iberoamericana de micología 2006; 101-103.
26. Martinez M, Lopez-Ribot JL, Kirkpatrick WR. "Heterogeneous mechanisms of azole resistance in *Candida albicans* clinical isolates from an HIV- infected patient on continuous fluconazole therapy for oropharyngeal candidiasis". J Antimicrob Chemother. 2002; 49(3): 515-24.
27. Chellan G, Shivaprakash S, Karimassery Ramaiyar S, Varma AK, Varma N, Thekkeparambil Sukumaran M, et al. "Spectrum and prevalence of fungi infecting deep tissues of lower-limb wounds in patients with type 2 diabetes". J Clin Microbiol. 2010; 48:2097-102.