

Approaches towards Therapy and Management of Keratoconus

Suprava Das¹, Anita Minj¹, Swati Samant¹, SaurjyaRanjan Das², Jatindra Nath Mohanty^{3*}

¹Department of Ophthalmology, IMS and Sum hospital, SOA deemed to be University,
Bhubaneswar, India

²Department of Anatomy, IMS and Sum hospital, SOA deemed to be University, Bhubaneswar,
India

³Department of Medical Research Laboratory, IMS and Sum hospital, SOA deemed to be
University, Bhubaneswar, India

Corresponding author

Jatindra Nath Mohanty, Assistant Professor, Department of Medical Research Laboratory,
IMS and Sum hospital, SOA deemed to be University, Bhubaneswar, India

Mail id – jatindranathmohanty@soa.ac.in

Abstract

The previous 20 years have seen a blast in our insight into keratoconus, joined by an extreme change of the board choices. A 2-hit speculation proposes a fundamental hereditary inclination combined with outer ecological elements, including eye scouring and atopy. The variable pervasiveness and regular history have been exceptional characterized remembering huge cone movement for middle age. Consequently, current administration should incorporate early conclusion, standard checking, and treatment of natural cofactors. Scenes and contact focal points stay basic to the optical administration of keratoconus. Intrastromal corneal ring portions have been progressively utilized, giving improvement in the corneal shape, rectified visual keenness, and contact focal point wear. Be that as it may, similar to contact focal points, intrastromal corneal ring portions don't treat the hidden sickness measure. In this manner, current methodologies should likewise think about medicines to limit keratoconus movement. Luckily, there is expanding proof that corneal collagen crosslinking will end or slow movement much of the time. Until generally as of late, infiltrating keratoplasty was the favored intercession for cutting edge keratoconus, with long haul achievement in the locale of 90%; be that as it may, the most serious danger of disappointment stays endothelial allograft dismissal. Profound foremost lamellar keratoplasty has arisen in the new thousand years as a favored way to deal with ration the host endothelium and dodge dismissal. In any case, the general prevalence of profound foremost lamellar keratoplasty contrasted and entering keratoplasty, as far as optical and endurance benefits are as yet discussed. This viewpoint gives an outline of our present information on keratoconus and current administration choices. A stage stepping stool way to deal with overseeing keratoconus is plot to furnish the specialist with a contemporary administration worldview.

Key words: Keratoconus, Conical corneal ectasia, Contemporary management, Therapy

Introduction

Keratoconus is an infection element of the optical framework, described by a cone shaped corneal ectasia. The ectasia comprises in strange diminishing of focal and paracentral areas of the cornea, just as reformist focal deformity bringing about huge sporadic astigmatism. The highest point of the distending cone that corneal design might be near the visual hub or beneath and nasal of that. The sickness shows during puberty, trailed by moderate reformist course, despite the fact that it is conceivable to settle whenever. In 85% of cases the two eyes are influenced, however the seriousness of the assault can introduce huge deviation [1]. Frequently in keratoconus a positive family ancestry is available, and in certain patients the legacy seems to assume a significant part, yet the character wherein the sickness is acquired is yet to be explained. The illness has been portrayed related to an assortment of fundamental infections are primarily atopic sicknesses (atopic dermatitis), endocrine problems, Down's condition, Marfan disorder and visual illnesses, for example, vernal conjunctivitis, retinitis pigmentosa, inherent amaurosis of Lieber and ectopic [2]. Bearing hard contact focal points and scouring the eyes have been proposed as potential inclining factors [3].

The utilization of Intracorneal ring fragments (ICRS), excimer laser , phakic intraocular focal points (IOLs) and evolvment of DALK methods have all made huge commitments in giving powerful treatment to all phases of KC. In spite of the fact that strategies have been created to treat more slender or more extreme corneas, [4,5] corneas more slender than 400 μ m or more extreme than 58D may not be qualified for UV cross-connecting. The new strategy of Bowman Layer Transplantation has been the most recent expansion in the armamentarium to handle progressed KC. Treatment of KC should be individualized. Various models to consider the more appropriate strategy or treatment for any patient incorporate refraction, age, level of diminishing, unpredictable astigmatism, and presence or nonappearance of scarring.

Keratoconus

Despite the fact that keratoconus was portrayed by Dr Benedict Duddell in 1736, the early writing is befuddling a result of the assortment of names utilized for the illness, including, hyperkeratosis, ochlodes, sugar-portion cornea, cornea conica, staphylomapellucidum, keratonicus, and keratoconus, to give some examples. Progressively all around portrayed throughout the following 100 years, regardless, impediments of innovation and comprehension of the infection drove Pickford (1844) to astringently note, "there is presumably no illness to which the eye is subject, heretofore so insubordinate to medication, so obstinate in its temperament, and, simultaneously, so deadly to vision, as tapered cornea; [6] and not one, the pathology and treatment of which are so little understood."1—Subsequently, Nottingham, in his 270-page masterpiece (1854), depicted keratoconus with clearness and extraordinary precision for the time, including parts of corneal diminishing and shape, reformist refractive changes, optical rectification, and treatment—albeit corneal transplantation was as yet in its infancy[7].

From those early spearheading days, where much got the hang of composing lay in the keen perception and clinical experience of the creator, we have advanced to a time of high-innovation investigation and close moment data sharing. In fact, the previous 20 years have seen a blast in our insight into, and capacity to analyze, keratoconus with the complete companion assessed writing on keratoconus developing from roughly 750 articles up to and including 1994, to almost 5000 articles by 2014 (PubMed got to twentieth December 2014; www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed)[8].

The executives Strategies

This expanding clinical and logical writing has been joined by extremist change of the executives alternatives for keratoconus. Previously, clinicians have commonly acknowledged various key "realities" corresponding to keratoconus: (1) it is a reformist corneal ectasia, (2) the etiology is noninflammatory, (3) movement is joined by expanding sporadic astigmatism, conceivable scarring or hydrops corneae, (4) at last, it could be related with loss of best-amended vision and the chance of corneal transplantation, and (5) the etiology is not entirely seen yet has hereditary and ecological components.³ However, over a century after its extensive depiction by Nottingham,² the specific reason for the illness stays to be completely explained, and a portion of these "realities, for example, the noninflammatory idea of the sickness, might be tested later on. Surely, the etiology is likely multifactorial or it is possible that the keratoconus aggregate addresses a last regular pathway for various diverse illness measures in the cornea. Progressively, a "2-hit theory" of the illness is for the most part acknowledged with a basic hereditary inclination to keratoconus affected by outside ecological variables, including eye scouring and atopy [3–6] Any examination of sickness the board should think about etiology, pervasiveness, and common history, particularly in a generally phenomenal infection like keratoconus. The commonness of keratoconus has been accounted for to shift from <10 to >50 cases per 100,000 and is habitually cited as roughly 1:2000. On the other hand, in high-pervasiveness regions, for example, the Middle East, geographical highlights of keratoconus have been noted in 3.3% of a youthful grown-up populace. This wide variety in detailed predominance is to some degree because of various hereditary inclination, contrasting openness to cofactors, and maybe in particular extraordinary symptomatic models. Unquestionably, analysis has moved from conventional procedures, for example, retinoscopy, refraction, cut light appraisal, and Placido circle to contemporary methods including geography, tomography, higher-request aberrometry, front fragment optical intelligibility tomography, and biomechanical evaluation [9, 10].

A traditionalist and moderate administration worldview

Regarding treatment ideal models, the proof base proposes that traditionalist administration should remember guidance for connection to evasion of eye scouring and solution of skin specialists where required, including pole cell stabilizers, antihistamines, and joined agents.⁶ Topical greases may likewise be helpful in atopy related with dry eye indications, and additive

free specialists ought to consistently be utilized where incessant skin drug of any structure is being mulled over.

As recently noticed, a family ancestry has been set up for a long time in keratoconus; notwithstanding, the specific component of legacy is unsure in light of the fact that regularly 10% or less relatives clinically display the sickness albeit geographical cases are more normal [3,4,6,9–11]. Numerous investigations propose an autosomal-predominant example of legacy with variable phenotypic articulation, despite the fact that others have recommended a non-Mendelian method of legacy.

Considering this fluctuation in legacy, routine hereditary testing isn't justified in influenced people, albeit in enormous investigations, genomewide affiliation examines are probably going to give more information soon. Notwithstanding, tomographic screening of relatives might be valuable in getting early sickness.

Unavoidably, a few subjects can't be overseen moderately as a result of the regular history of the infection. Keratoconus for the most part starts in adolescence and has a variable movement, being steady, quick, or discontinuous from pubescence to the fifth decade when movement ordinarily eases back. In any case, eventually, around 20% of subjects may expect keratoplasty to restore vision. Curiously, cautious thought of the writing frustrates the broadly held discernment that keratoconus settles during the 40s. Without a doubt, keratoconus might be viewed as an infection that "never rests" with the Collaborative Longitudinal Evaluation of Keratoconus study uncovering a mean increment of 1.6 diopters (D) of ebb and flow in the level corneal meridian more than 8 years, with 24% of eyes displaying >3.0 D movement. Significantly, this perception was in a gathering with a mean time of 39.3 years on passage to the examination. In an investigation of subjects with keratoconus alluded to a public emergency clinic corneal help in the United Kingdom at a mean age of 23 years, 67% proceeded with fruitful contact focal point wear yet 31% advanced to entering keratoplasty (PK) at a mean of 8.5 years after diagnosis.²⁶ Studies from New Zealand have uncovered keratoconus as the most widely recognized sign for keratoplasty in 1991 to 1999 (45.6%) and 2000 to 2009 (41.1%). Due to the danger of movement to keratoplasty, key targets in making treatment ideal models for keratoconus should incorporate early finding, customary checking, and utilization of mediations to slow or forestall illness progression[12,13,14].

Evolution of corneal collagen crosslinking

Until the start of the new thousand years, the treatment worldview for keratoconus was basically a straightforward 2-alternative interaction: (1) if the keratoconus was steady or shown negligible movement, with great best-amended visual keenness (BCVA), the subject would be overseen by display or unbending gas-porous contact focal points and any visual atopy or eye scouring treated with skin medicine, (2) if the keratoconus was progressed or connected with scarring or decreased BCVA, the subject would be offered PK, and conceivable ensuing visual remedy by

contact focal points. Nonetheless, there has been a blast in choices for treating keratoconus in the previous 20 years, with the end goal that such treatment plans are currently obsolete. The primary objectives of treatment of keratoconus are presently to expand visual keenness and forestall movement of the disease.

Moderate and careful ways to deal with keratoconus the board should now incorporate an enormous number of choices, including displays, contact focal points, ordinary tomographic survey to identify movement, treatments to lessen eye scouring, collagen crosslinking (CXL), intracorneal ring fragments, surface-based keratorefractive laser techniques, phakic intraocular focal points (IOLs), waterfall extraction with toric IOLs in more seasoned subjects, and PK or profound foremost lamellar keratoplasty (DALK) in cutting edge illness [15-17].

Premier among the significant changes in the administration of keratoconus have been the turn of events and fast far and wide acknowledgment of corneal CXL utilizing riboflavin as a photosensitizer joined with UV-A light exposure.^{30–33} Indeed, as ahead of schedule as 2006, Wollensak composed that crosslinking therapy of reformist keratoconus brought "new expectation" to an illness heretofore frustratingly hard to oversee without major careful intercession in 21% of cases. The Dresden gathering, in a 6-year follow-up of 241 eyes, noticed a mean most extreme keratometry decrease of 1.9 D with some improvement in astigmatism and BCVA.³¹ Wittig-Silva et al in Melbourne, in one of the not many distributed randomized controlled preliminaries (RCT), additionally noticed a decrease in greatest keratometry and an inclination to improved remedied visual sharpness. In a RCT by the creator and associates in New Zealand, "at two years a mean contrast of 2.2 D was identified in greatest keratometry in treated versus the contralateral untreated, control eyes" (unpublished information). This RCT remembered for vivo confocal microscopy, which featured total loss of the subbasal nerve plexus and front stromal keratocytes after treatment; notwithstanding, by year after CXL, there was finished recovery of the corneal nerve plexus and repopulation of the foremost stroma by keratocytes. Hyperreflective groups were noted in the district delineating the limit among treated and untreated stroma. Eminently, CXL has been grounded and accessible inside the private area since 2007, including acknowledgment by wellbeing back up plans, and furthermore opened up in the New Zealand general wellbeing area in 2014 [18-21].

Strangely, the distributed proof base that underpins the utilization of CXL in keratoconus, albeit broad, isn't of as high caliber as one would expect.³³ Although around 500 references are recognized by electronic hunts, a new Cochrane survey (2015) of CXL notes, "Notwithstanding the various planned and review examines accessible in the writing and the way that CXL is by all accounts acknowledged worldwide as an advancement treatment in the administration of keratoconus, proof is restricted because of the absence of appropriately directed RCTs." Indeed, the writers of this Cochrane audit note that of 51 full-text reports got to consider for consideration in the audit, just 8 reports were reasonable, including 3 RCTs that selected a sum

of just 225 eyes. Finishes of this Cochrane survey incorporated the accompanying: treated eyes had a less steep cornea—roughly 2.0 D, uncorrected visual sharpness improved by around 2 lines or 10 letters, and no investigations announced deficiency of 0.2 logarithm of the base point of goal visual keenness. Be that as it may, information on corneal thickness were conflicting, and antagonistic impacts of CXL treatment were normal yet generally of low clinical significance[22].

Keratoplasty in keratoconus

Progressively, while thinking about keratoplasty for cutting edge keratoconus, ophthalmologists decide on DALK instead of PK on account of the apparent preferences of the previous strategy. The fundamental preferences of DALK over PK incorporate that it is principally an extraocular strategy, effective corticosteroids can be utilized for a more limited period diminishing the danger of waterfall and raised intraocular pressure, there is no danger of endothelial dismissal and in this manner generally follow-up and the board ought to be less complex than PK, and on a basic level, there ought to be a more prominent possibility of long haul allograft endurance. In any case, a portion of these apparent points of interest are not also upheld by the writing as much as the specialist's energy for the method. Impediments incorporate an in fact requesting and persistently advancing DALK strategy; restrictions in corneas with scar, neovascularization, or past intense hydrops; and time–cost and financial practicality of DALK contrasted and PK in certain areas.

Albeit the creators' favored first-line way to deal with transplantation in keratoconus is to think about DALK, it should in any case be recalled that PK is a very grounded method, and achievement in keratoconus is especially high. The Australian Corneal Graft Registry noticed that PK unite endurance for keratoconus cases is 89% at 10 years with a mean endurance of 18.2 years. In a longitudinal investigation of 125 patients in Japan, with a mean age of 25 years at the hour of PK, Fukuoka et al detailed a 10-year and 20-year unite endurance of 99% and 97%, separately [23-25].

Remarkably, DALK is related with a huge careful expectation to absorb information, and a report of 2372 keratoplasties (roughly 20% DALK) for keratoconus, performed by an assortment of specialists in the United Kingdom, distinguished that DALK had double the danger of disappointment contrasted and PK and that 19% fizzled in the initial 30 days (contrasted and 2% PK). Be that as it may, at 3-year postsurgery, endurance rates were comparative (DALK 92% versus PK 94%). In spite of the fact that there was no distinction in astigmatism, PK eyes were bound to acquire 20/20 (22% DALK versus 33% PK) and DALK was related with a more prominent possibility of >3.0 D of nearsightedness.

In any case, the advantages as far as dodging the danger of endothelial dismissal are significant: contrasting 142 DALK and 142 PK strategies uncovered that albeit the DALK cases grew low-level stromal (11%) and epithelial dismissal (1%), the PK cases showed stromal/epithelial dismissal in 2% yet possibly sight-undermining endothelial dismissal in 15% of eyes. Visual

hypertension was additionally substantially more typical in PK (26%) contrasted and DALK (6%). Strikingly, assumed corticosteroid-related height of intraocular pressure has been accounted for in up to 35% of keratoconus eyes after keratoplasty; along these lines, the advantage of momentary steroid inclusion might be critical [26-28].

In Auckland, New Zealand, "DALK expanded from under 5% of keratoplasty methods in 2000 to 16% by 2009—with 60.3% of these DALKs for keratoconus" (unpublished information). In a comparative period (1999–2009), signs for corneal join a medical procedure in the United Kingdom additionally changed fundamentally with the quantity of PK systems for keratoconus dropping from 453 to 322, though the quantity of DALK techniques expanded >5-crease from 45 out of 1999–2000 to 226 out of 2008–2009, that is, 40% of all keratoplasty strategies for keratoconus. Interestingly, the Eye Bank Association of America report for the time frame 2011 to 2013 featured that PK numbers were steady yet of very nearly 7000 keratoplasties for keratoconus in 2013, just 9.8% were DALK techniques proposing generally moderate take-up of DALK in the United States. Luckily, as featured in the prior areas, PK stays a decent long haul choice for keratoconus [29].

Future options in keratoconus

The hidden infection measure in keratoconus is a long way from completely settled. As effectively sketched out, there is an unmistakable hereditary inclination related with changes that incorporate corneal diminishing and protrusion.^{3,5,6} A 2-hit theory that incorporates hereditary inclination and natural factors, for example, eye scouring is progressively accepted.^{3,4,23} Although by and large idea to be noninflammatory, ongoing proof proposes that a low-level incendiary segment might be available, and this since quite a while ago held assumption may should be reconsidered [30]. Subsequently, effective specialists notwithstanding pole cell stabilizers, antihistamines, and oils may later on likewise incorporate specialists, for example, effective cyclosporine.

As far as careful methodology, CXL may forestall movement of the infection, and keratoplasty may supplant the seriously sick focal cornea in cutting edge keratoconus, but since the hidden cycles stay mysterious, no methodologies at present opposite or fix the sickness. Surely, notwithstanding broad examination of the biomechanical properties of the cornea in keratoconus, the cut off among ordinary and early infection is yet to be well established.⁵¹ The basic structure squares of the cornea depend on typical keratocyte capacity, and it has been proposed that apoptosis is a method of cell passing in keratoconus that may prompt loss of keratocytes and therefore bargain of the corneal construction. In keratoconic eyes, without past contact focal point wear, in vivo confocal microscopy has affirmed measurably huge decrease in both foremost and back keratocyte thickness. Theoretically, in early illness, the addition of begetter keratocytes developed from giver corneas, by infusion or through femtosecond laser-created channels into keratoconic corneas could permit repopulation of the cornea with sound cells—yet

evading corneal transplantation [31]. Early work on this idea has just been finished, and specialists inside the New Zealand National Eye Center have shown confirmation of idea with the repopulation of decellularized keratoconus corneas with keratocyte ancestor cells[32].

Conclusion

The turn of events and expansion of more current imaging strategies in the early analysis and the board of KC has positively brought about a force to handle this genuine corneal pathology before it gets progressed enough to require PK. The noteworthy development of different strategies used to treat KC has indicated gigantic headway in the previous decade. With the possibility to adjust the common history of KC and potentially even stop illness movement utilizing techniques like CXL and BL Transplantation and with the recently evolved methods to give most ideal optical results to these patients, need for corneal transfer in KC may turn into a relic of times gone by. Utilization of consolidated systems and promising progressing research in administration of KC has gone about as an impetus for ophthalmologists everywhere on the world to give most ideal visual results to these patients. Later on, most likely new treatment strategies will have logical establishments in sub-atomic instruments which can stop the underlying beginning of ectasia.

Reference

1. Caporossi A, Mazzotta C, Baiocchi S&Caporossi T.(2010) Long-term results of riboflavin ultraviolet a corneal collagen cross-linking for keratoconus in Italy: the Siena eye cross study. *Am J Ophthalmol* 149 (4:585–593. Filippello M, Stagni E &O'Brart D.(2012) Transepithelial corneal collagen crosslinking: bilateral study. *J Cataract Refract Surg*38 2:283– 291.
2. Gkika M, Labiris G&Kozobolis V. (2011) Corneal collagen cross-linking using riboflavin and ultraviolet-A irradiation: a review of clinical and experimental studies. *IntOphthalmol* 31(4):309- 19.
3. Gore DM, Shortt AJ & Allan BD.(2013) New clinical pathways for keratoconus. *Eye (Lond)* 27(3):329- 39.
4. Haddad W, Fadlallah A, Dirani A, Rami El H, Fahd D, Khanafer D&Fahed S. (2012) Comparison of 2 types of intrastromal corneal ring segments for keratoconus. *J Cartaract Refract Surg* 38(7):1214– 1221 .
5. Nottingham J. *Practical Observations on Conical Cornea: And on the Short Sight, and Other Defects of Vision Connected With It.* London, United Kingdom: J Churchill; 1854.
6. McGhee CN. 2008 Sir Norman McAlister Gregg Lecture: 150 years of practical observations on the conical cornea—what have we learned? *Clin Experiment Ophthalmol*. 2009;37:160–176.
7. Burdon KP, Vincent AL. Insights into keratoconus from a genetic perspective. *ClinExpOptom*. 2013;96:146–154.

8. Vincent AL, Jordan CA, Cadzow MJ, et al.. Mutations in the zinc finger protein gene, ZNF469, contribute to the pathogenesis of keratoconus. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2014;55:5629–5635.
9. Gomes JA, Tan D, Rapuano CJ, et al.. Global consensus on keratoconus and ectatic diseases. *Cornea*. 2015;34:359–369.
10. Hashemi H, Khabazkhoob M, Fotouhi A. Topographic Keratoconus is not Rare in an Iranian population: the Tehran Eye Study. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol*. 2013;20:385–391.
11. Piñero DP, Nieto JC, Lopez-Miguel A. Characterization of corneal structure in keratoconus. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2012;38:2167–2183.
12. Rabinowitz YS, Garbus J, McDonnell PJ. Computer-assisted corneal topography in family members of patients with keratoconus. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 1990;108:365–371.
13. Levy D, Hutchings H, Rouland JF, et al.. Videokeratographic anomalies in familial keratoconus. *Ophthalmology*. 2004;111:867–874.
14. Karimian F, Aramesh S, Rabei HM, et al.. Topographic evaluation of relatives of patients with keratoconus. *Cornea*. 2008;27:874–878.
15. Lim L, Wei RH, Chan WK, et al.. Evaluation of keratoconus in Asians: role of Orbscan II and Tomey TMS-2 corneal topography. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2007;143:390–400.
16. Sonmez B, Doan MP, Hamilton DR. Identification of scanning slit-beam topographic parameters important in distinguishing normal from keratoconic corneal morphologic features. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2007;143:401–408.
17. Belin MW, Villavicencio OF, Ambrósio RR Jr. Tomographic parameters for the detection of keratoconus: suggestions for screening and treatment parameters. *Eye Contact Lens*. 2014;40:326–330.
18. Hashemi H, Khabazkhoob M, Yazdani N, et al.. The prevalence of keratoconus in a young population in Mashhad, Iran. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt*. 2014;34:519–527.
19. Gordon-Shaag A, Millodot M, Essa M, et al.. Is consanguinity a risk factor for keratoconus? *Optom Vis Sci*. 2013;90:448–454.
20. Edwards M, McGhee CN, Dean S. The genetics of keratoconus. *Clin Experiment Ophthalmol*. 2001;29:345–351.
21. Rahi A, Davies P, Ruben M, et al.. Keratoconus and coexisting atopic disease. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 1977;61:761–764.
22. Georgiou T, Funnell CL, Cassels-Brown A, et al.. Influence of ethnic origin on the incidence of keratoconus and associated atopic disease in Asians and white patients. *Eye (Lond)*. 2004;18:379–383.
23. Weed KH, MacEwen CJ, Giles T, et al.. The Dundee University Scottish Keratoconus study: demographics, corneal signs, associated diseases, and eye rubbing. *Eye (Lond)*. 2008;22:534–541.
24. Bawazeer AM, Hodge WG, Lorimer B. Atopy and keratoconus: a multivariate analysis. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2000;84:834–836.

25. McMonnies CW, Boneham GC. Keratoconus, allergy, itch, eye-rubbing and hand-dominance. *ClinExpOptom*. 2003;86:376–384.
26. McMonnies CW. Mechanisms of rubbing-related corneal trauma in keratoconus. *Cornea*. 2009;28:607–615.
27. Kriszt A, Losonczy G, Berta A, et al.. Segregation analysis suggests that keratoconus is a complex non-Mendelian disease. *ActaOphthalmol*. 2014;92:e562–568.
28. Wagner H, Barr JT, Zadnik K. Collaborative Longitudinal Evaluation of Keratoconus (CLEK) Study: methods and findings to date. *Cont Lens Anterior Eye*. 2007;30:223–232.
29. Weed KH, McGhee CN. Referral patterns, treatment management and visual outcome in keratoconus. *Eye (Lond)*. 1998;12:663–668.
30. Wollensak G. Crosslinking treatment of progressive keratoconus: new hope. *CurrOpinOphthalmol*. 2006;17:356–360.
31. Raiskup-Wolf F, Hoyer A, Spoerl E, et al.. Collagen crosslinking with riboflavin and ultraviolet-A light in keratoconus: long-term results. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2008;34:796–801.
32. Wittig-Silva C, Whiting M, Lamoureux E, et al.. A randomized controlled trial of corneal collagen cross-linking in progressive keratoconus: preliminary results. *J Refract Surg*. 2008;24:S720–S725.