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#### Abstract

This study was carried out among rural adult population of Kancheepuram District to study the prevalence, determinants, treatment and control among them. To assess the prevalence of hypertension among the study population. To identify the determinants of hypertension among the study population. To study about the treatment andcontrol of hypertension


## Keywords

determinants, non- communicable diseases, hypertension and blood pressure.

## Introduction

Epidemiological transition worldwide has lead to a tremendous increase in the elderly population in the developing countries with concomitant increase in the life expectancy. By 2025 it has been predicted that the developing countries will be facing a huge burden of the elderly all over the world. Developing countries are thus likely to face an enormous burden of chronic non- communicable diseases (NCDs) in the near future in comparison to communicable disease which were once a major problem. 1-4 The global distribution of disease burden in terms of disability - adjusted life years ( DALY) losses indicates that communicable diseases account for $41 \%$ DALY losses, non-communicable diseases account for $43 \%$, and injuries account for $16 \%$. On Comparing the disease profile between various countries as per their income levels reveal that low- and middle-income countries accounts for more than 6 times ( $43.8 \%$ ) the burden of communicable diseases, when compared to the high- income (7.2\%) countries. In India, the disability- adjusted life years' losses due to communicable diseases account for $50 \%$ which is high, compared to $33 \%$ fo r NCDs, and $17 \%$ for accidents and injuries. 5
Non- communicable diseases ( NCDs) are chronic diseases that are not transmitted from one person to another and are not communicable. They are usually diseases of long duration and they are slowly progressive in nature. NCDs are one among the major cause of mortality and morbidity among the adult population worldwide. 6 Commonly non- communicable diseases can be grouped into groups and they include cardiovascular diseases, cancers, chronic respiratory diseases and diabetes. 7 Worldwide, early death from these four major NCDs has fallen by $15 \%$ between the year 2000 and 2012 this rate of decline is unsatisfactory and insufficient to meet the global target of a one third reduction by the year 2030. 8 Globally about 38 million people die annually from Non communicable diseases as per the available . 9 By the year 2020 Worldwide based on current disease trends, NCDs are projected to account for $73 \%$ of mortality and $60 \%$ of the morbidity. 10 According to the report given in the year 2015 by the World Health Organisation (WHO), every fourth Indian die before the age of 70 years due to an NCD. Internationally India is the first country to develop specific targets and indicators in this field of NCDs, which are aimed at reducing the mortality from NCDs to 25 per cent by the year 2025 worldwide. 11

High blood pressure is a risk factor that accounts for $12.3 \%$ of the deaths and disabilities combined in Tamilnadu during 2016. 12 As per the NFHS 4 report the prevalence of hypertension in Tamil nadu is $11.5 \%$ in males and $6.2 \%$ in females. Tamilnadu is the first state to adopt an preventive scheme for hypertension. The government of Tamilnadu launched the welf are initiative known as Nalamana Tamizhagam. The main objective of this initiative is to screen all the rural population over 30 years of age for diabetes and hypertension. In urban areas of kancheepuram district the prevalence of hypertension is $3.9 \%$ and it is $3.2 \%$ in rural areas as per NFHS 4 data.
Important factor in the adequate control of hypertension is the early diagnosis and proper treatment. So screening is an single most important measure in hypertension and in India majority the healthcare is not accessible to all in the rural areas. Bulk of the patients go to the indigenous and private practitioners, where hypertension is not screened on a regular basis. Also Opportunistic screening of hypertension will not provide favourable results. Most of them unless they are severely ill they does not seek the help of the health care providers. Community- based screening is important pivotal measure which can improve the detection andtreatment of Hypertension by increasing the yield. 14

## MATERILAS ANS METHOD

## STUDY DESIGN:

This study is a community based cross - sectional study conducted in rural area of Kancheepuram district, Tamil Nadu.

## STUDY AREA:

The Study was conducted in Serappanachery Padappai (S. Padappai), rural field practice area of the Rural Health and Training Centre ( RHTC) attached to our Institution (Sree Balaji medical college and hospital). The catchment area of the centre covers 20 villages and 5 sub centres.

## STUDY POPULATION:

The population covered by the Rural Health and Training Centre is about 21187 as per 2011 census and among them 10817 were males and 10370 were females. Total number of houses in the study area is 4489 . Study population identified were those belonging to the age group of 20 -60 years residing in the study area permanently at the time of the study.

## INCLUSION CRITERIA:

The inclusion criteria for the study were adult population of age group ( $20-60$ years) residing in the study area, who were willing to participate in the study.

## EXCLUSION CRITERIA:

The exclusion criteria for the study were female s who were pregnant, psychiatric patients, who are severely ill and those who didn' t give consent to participate in the study were excluded.

## ETHICAL APPROVAL:

The study proposal was presented and approval from Institutional Ethics Committee was obtained prior to the pretesting.

## STATISTICAL ANALYSIS:

The statistical analysis of the data was done using descriptive and analytical statistics. The descriptive statistics analysed were presented as frequency distribution and percentage. The analytical statistics used were Chi - square, Odds Ratio and Confidence Interval. Binary logistic regression was used to called the adjusted odds ratio. The association of Socio-demographic characters and risk factors with hypertension was assessed. P value $<0.05$ was considered as statistically significant value. Data was entered in Microsoft excel and analysed using the software SPSS, version 22 software.

## RESULTS

Socio- demographic characteristics of the study population is shown in Table 1. Among the study participants , $44.2 \%$ belonged to 51-60 years of age, $24.2 \%$ belonged to $20-30$ years of age and $20.8 \%$ belonged to $31-40$ years of age. About $57.4 \%$ of the study participants were females and $42.6 \%$ were males. Nearly $82.4 \%$ are married and $5.44 \%$ were unmarried. Almost $18.7 \%$ of the study samples had no formal education, $30.2 \%$ had middle school education and $21.3 \%$ had high school education. Among the participants around $43.7 \%$ were unemployed, $32.2 \%$ are engaged in unskilled occupation and $17.8 \%$ are involved in semiskilled occupation. According to the modified BG Prasad socio economic classification $49.6 \%$ belonged to lower middle socio economic category and $21.8 \%$ belonged to upper lower socio economic group. In this study $56.8 \%$ of them belong to nuclear family and about $30 \%$ belonged to joint family and the rest were belonging to three generation family.

Table 1: Socio demographic characteristics of the study population

| 6. | Socio Economic Status |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Upper | 90 | 7.2 |
|  | Upper Middle | 234 | 18.7 |
|  | Lower Middle | 620 | 49.6 |
|  | Upper Lower | 272 | 21.8 |
|  | Lower | 34 | 2.7 |
| 7. | Type of Family | 710 | 56.8 |
|  | Nuclear Family | 438 | 35.0 |
|  | Joint Family | 102 | 8.2 |
|  | Three Generation Family |  |  |



Figure 1: Prevalence of central obesity among the study population

## Blood pressure category among the study population:

Blood pressure was classified based on JNC 8 criteria. Table 6 depicts the blood pressure category. Nearly $64 \%$ of the study participants belonged to normal BP category, $22.4 \%$ were pre hypertension, $9.3 \%$ belonged to stage 1 hypertension category and $4.3 \%$ belonged to stage 2 hypertension category.

Figure 2: Adequate knowledge regarding hypertension among the study population:


Table 2: Knowledge about normal BP value among the study participants

| SI No | Knowledge Of Normal BP <br> Value | Frequency | Percentage (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Claim to Know Normal BP Value ( N- 1250) |  |  |
|  | Yes | 310 | 24.8 |
|  | No | 940 | 75.2 |
| 2. | Value Mentioned (>130/ 90) (N-1250) |  |  |
|  | Correct | 186 | 60 |
|  | Incorrect | 124 | 40 |

## Known hypertensive among the study population

Among the hypertensive under treatment, $68.8 \%$ have their blood pressure under control and $31.2 \%$ have uncontrolled hypertension. In this study only $2.9 \%$ of them are using alternative medicines for treatment of hypertension.

Figure 3: Hypertension control among the study population


Figure 4: Alternative medicine use among the study population


In the Univariate analysis the variables that are significantly associated with hypertension are age ( $p$ value- $<0.0001$ ), marital status ( $p$ value- $<0.0001$ ), education ( $p$ value- 0.015 ), occupation ( $p$ value-0.003), socio economic status ( p value- $<0.015$ ), family type ( p value- $<0.0001$ ), positive family history ( p value- 0.009 ), co morbidity ( p value-<0.0001), knowledge about hypertension ( p value $-<0.0001$ ) and BMI ( p value-<0.0001).There was no association found between other variables and hypertension.

Table 4: Univariate analysis findings among the study population

| S | Variable | Hypertension |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency 1250) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (N-Frequ } \\ & \text { ency (N- } \\ & 298) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Chisquar e value | $\begin{array}{\|l} \mathrm{P} \\ \text { value } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Odds Ratio } \\ & \text { (95\% CI) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } \\ & >40 \text { Years } \\ & <40 \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 438 \\ & 812 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 264 \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 124.3 \\ & 87 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <0.00 \\ & 01^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.724 \\ & (3.916- \\ & 8.366) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 2. | Sex Female Male | $\begin{aligned} & 532 \\ & 718 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 168 \\ & 130 \end{aligned}$ | 0.181 | 0.670 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.949 \\ & (0.730- \\ & 1.234) \end{aligned}$ |
| 3. | Marital Status <br> Married <br> Unmarried/ Div <br> orce/ Widower | $\begin{aligned} & 1030 \\ & 120 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 226 \\ & 72 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.94 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <0.00 \\ & 01^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.051 \\ & (12.407- \\ & 23.434) \end{aligned}$ |


| 4. | Education <br> $\geq$ High School Education <br> < High School Education | $\begin{aligned} & 356 \\ & 894 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \\ & 207 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.82 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{*}^{0.015}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.139 \\ & (0.857- \\ & 1.514) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Occupation } \\ & \geq \text { Skilled } \\ & \text { < Skilled } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \\ & 1120 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 276 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.50 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.003 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | 1.225 $(0.736-$ $2.039)$ |
| 6. | Socioeconomic <br> Status <br> Upper / Middle <br> Class <br> Lower Class | $\begin{aligned} & 944 \\ & 306 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 227 \\ & 71 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.29 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.015 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.047 \\ & (0.772- \\ & 1.420) \end{aligned}$ |
| 7. | Family Type Joined/ Three Generation Family Nuclear Family | $\begin{array}{r} 540 \\ 710 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 158 \\ 140 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.24 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <0.00 \\ & 01^{*} \end{aligned}$ | 1.684 $(1.296-$ $2.188)$ |
| 8. | Tobacco Use Yes No | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 160 \\ & 1090 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \\ & 265 \end{aligned}$ | 1.045 | 0.307 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.808 \\ & (0.538- \\ & 1.125) \end{aligned}$ |
| 9. | Alcohol Use Yes | 232 | 59 | 0.397 | 0.529 | 1.111 |
|  | No | 1018 | 239 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & (0.799- \\ & 1.544) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 10. | Unhealthy Diet Yes No | $\begin{aligned} & 906 \\ & 344 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 221 \\ & 77 \end{aligned}$ | 0.554 | 0.457 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 1.187 \\ (0.832- \\ 1.503) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 11. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Physical } \\ & \text { Inactivity Yes } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 828 \\ & 422 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 194 \\ & 104 \end{aligned}$ | 3.427 | 0.180 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.940 \\ & (0.715- \\ & 1.235) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 12. | Positive Family History Yes No | $\begin{array}{\|l} 268 \\ 982 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \\ & 218 \end{aligned}$ | 6.788 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.009 \\ & * \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.491 \\ & (1.102- \\ & 2.016) \end{aligned}$ |
| 13. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Co-Morbidity } \\ & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|} 310 \\ 940 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 129 \\ & 169 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71.71 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <0.00 \\ & 01^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.251 \\ & (2.456- \\ & 4.304) \end{aligned}$ |
| 14. | Knowledge About Hypertension | 570 | 204 | 58.77 | <0.00 | 3.071 |


|  | Yes No | 680 | 94 | 4 | 01* | $\begin{aligned} & (2.329- \\ & 4.050) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15. | BMI <br> Overweight/ Pr <br> eobese/ Obese <br> Underweight/ N <br> ormal | $\begin{aligned} & 765 \\ & 485 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 234 \\ & 64 \end{aligned}$ | $20.27$ | $\begin{aligned} & <0.00 \\ & 01^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.886 \\ & (2.128- \\ & 3.914) \end{aligned}$ |

* $P$ value $<0.05$ is significant at $95 \%$ CI

The variables which were significantly associated in Univariate analysis were only included in multivariate analysis which is shown in Table 15. The multi variate analysis was done using Enter method. Model was found to be statistically significant (Cox and Snell R2 0.240 , Nagelkerke R2 -0.3660 , P value <0.001). the variables that are significant in multivariate analysis are age, co morbidity, knowledge about hypertension, family type and

BMI. Other variables were found to be insignificant in multivariate analysis

Table 5: Multivariate analysis findings

| S.No | Variable | Hypertension |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | P value | Adjusted OR | 95\% CI | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Nagelkerke } & \text { r } \\ \text { square } \\ \text { value }\end{array}$  |
| 1. | Age | <0.0001* | 0.417 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.341- \\ & 0.510 \end{aligned}$ | 0.360 |
| 2. | Marital status | 0.235 | 0.807 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.567- \\ & 1.149 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 3. | Education | 0.266 | 0.925 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.806- \\ & 1.061 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 4. | Occupation | 0.397 | 0.935 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.393- \\ & 1.093 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 5. | Socio economic status | 0.556 | 1.058 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.877- \\ & 1.276 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 6. | Positive family history | 0.117 | 1.343 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.929- \\ & 01.944 \end{aligned}$ | Cox and snell r square value |
| 7. | Co morbidity | <0.0001* | 2.516 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.806- \\ & 3.505 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 8. | Knowledge about hypertension | <0.0001* | 2.712 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.958- \\ & 3.756 \end{aligned}$ | 0.240 |



* $\mathbf{P}$ value <0.05 is significant at $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI

This study was done to assess the prevalence, determinants, treatment and control of hypertension among rural adults in the study area. The study was conducted in the Rural field practice area covered by our institution and included 1250 samples selected f rom the study area. Many interesting results were obtained from this study and were explained already. Findings of the study is discussed in comparison with similar studies done elsewhere.

## DISCUSSION

In this study majority of the study participants i. e. $44.2 \%$ belonged to $51-60$ years of age, $24.2 \%$ belonged to $20-30$ years of age and $20.8 \%$ belonged to $31-40$ years of age. In a study by Todkar SS about $37.1 \%$ of the study participants were between $19-28$ years of age and 16 . $6 \%$ were between $29-38$ years of age. 15
In this study there was female preponderance and about $57.4 \%$ of the study participants were females and $42.6 \%$ were males. This finding of the study was comparable to studies by Sathish Kumar,Avadaiammal Vimala, Francesco P Cappuccio in which the proportion of female participants were $60.7 \%, 56 \%$ and $62 \%$ respectively. 16-18
In this study $82.4 \%$ are married and $5.44 \%$ were unmarried. In the study done by Kalavathy MC $58.9 \%$ of the study samples were married. $1978.6 \%$ of the participants were married in a study by Sathish Kumar. 19 Almost 18.7 \% of the study samples had no formal education, $30.2 \%$ had middle school education and $21.3 \%$ had high scho ol education in the present study. Among the participants around $43.7 \%$ were unemployed, $32.2 \%$ are engaged in unskilled occupation and $17.8 \%$ are involved in semiskilled occupation in this study. $3.7 \%$ were unemployed and $13.2 \%$ were engaged in either skilled or semiskilled occupation in a study by Chandwani H. 20Sharma SK conducted a study in which $15.9 \%$ of the respondents were unemployed and $12.1 \%$ were involved in unskilled occupation. 21 In a study by Chinnakali P $49.5 \%$ of the study population were unemployed and $50.5 \%$ were involved in some form of work. $2211.8 \%$ were doing unskilled work. $60.3 \%$ were unemployed and $20.9 \%$ were doing skilled work in a study done by Bhagyalaxmi A. 23
In this study $66.2 \%$ were physically inactive and only $33.8 \%$ were physically active as per the operational definition and this showed that majority of the study participants are following an unhealthy 1 ifestyle habits. In this study central obesity was assessed based on the waist hip ratio. About $83.4 \%$ of the study participants have central obesity. In a study by Isezuo SA $13 \%$ of the study participants had central obesity. 24Aroor Bhagyalaxmi showed that central obesity was present in $38 \%$ of the samples. $1215.7 \%$ of the study participants has central obesity in AK Agarwal study.
In this study nearly $89.9 \%$ of the study participants were non vegetarian and $10.1 \%$ were vegetarian. Among the non vegetarians $54.1 \%$ had non vegetarian food once a week and 35.1 had non vegetarian food twice a week. In Chataut $\mathbf{J}$ study $91.3 \%$ the $m$ are were non vegetarian and $8.7 \%$ were vegetarian. 97 Ina study by K. A. Maroof $86.6 \%$ were vegetarian and $13.4 \%$
were non vegetarian. 2528.6 \% were vegetarian and $71.4 \%$ were non vegetarian in a study by Sathish Kumar.26-28
In II Meshram study among hypertensive about $10 \%$ were aware about the condition and about 8 $\%$ were on treatment. The level of awareness and treatment seeking behaviour was more among women and among educated subjects. 21 In this study by Univariate analysis the variables that are significantly associated with hypertension are age, marital status, education, occupation , socio economic status, family type , positive family history, co morbidity, knowledge about hypertension and BMI .The variables that are significant in multivariate analysis are age, co morbidity, knowledge about hypertension, family type and BMI. In a study by Sathish Kumar increasing age, male gender, increasing BMI levels, tobacco, alcohol, WHR were found to be significant independent predictors of hypertension and on multivariate analysis of these significant variables age, male gender, increasing BMI levels, were found to be significant after adjusting for other variables. 28 In hypertension study group multicentric study multiple logistic regression analyses identified a higher body mass index, highereducation status and prevalent diabetes mellitus as important correlates of the prevalence of hypertension. 1 Jonas JB conducted a study in which prevalence of hypertension was associated with higher age, higher body mass index, body height, Higher blood haemoglobin levels and elevated blood urea concentration. 29

## CONCLUSION

This study assessed the prevalence, determinants, treatment and control of hypertension among the rural adult population of Kancheepuram District, Tamil Nadu. 1250 was the sample size used and the study was conducted in the rural field practice area of Sree Balaji Medical College and Hospital.
The study reveals that, Among the study participants, $44.2 \%$ belonged to 51-60 years of age and About $57.4 \%$ of the study participants were females. As far as marital is concerned nearly $82.4 \%$ are married. According to the modified BG Prasad socio economic classification, 49.6\% belonged to lower middle socio economic category.
From the findings of the study, it can be concluded that $t$ he prevalence of hypertension and prehypertension are high in the study area. The burden of undiagnosed case is also quite high. There is no sex difference in the prevalence of hypertension. This study also shows that there is still gaps in the diagnosis a nd treatment of hypertension in the study area. Among those treated also adherence, incidence of complications and co morbidities are worrisome. The level of awareness among the study participants about this disease is lower than expected which is quite al arming. Though there is an existing program to address this disease there is few lacunae identified in this study which prevents the prevents the program from reaching the needful. All these lacunae must be bridged by effective interventions.
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