

## **A Soil Prediction and Classification of Crop Yield Using an Intelligence Technique with Big Data**

**<sup>1\*</sup>S.Priya, <sup>2</sup>A.S.Radhamani**

<sup>1\*</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Computer Science Engineering, Nehru Institute of Engineering Technology, Coimbatore.

<sup>2</sup>Principal & Professor, VV College of Engineering, Tisayanvilai, TamilNadu

<sup>1\*</sup>Corresponding Author email: priya739353@gmail.com

### **ABSTRACT**

The precise and prompt spatial categorization of the soil varieties and the forecast of crop yield rooted in the spatial big data have emerged as significant factors for the realistic purposes. In this regard, the spatially explicit crop-type information may be fruitfully utilized so as to evaluate the crop areas for a host of monitoring and decision-making applications like the crop insurance, land rental, supply-chain logistics, and the financial market forecasting. The underlying motive behind the current investigation is to effectively describe a modified support vector machine (MSVM) technique to effectively classify the soil type. The recommended crop and crop yield forecast is solely dependent on the soil type. In this regard, it is highly essential for the effective farm management to have appropriate output forecast in accordance with the amalgamation of several factors having a corresponding impact. In the document, three key functions like the big data decrease, soil categorization, and the crop recommendation including output forecast are performed. As a matter of fact, the crop changes from one farm to another on the basis of the planting dates, diversity, soil environment and the crop organization. With the result, it becomes indispensable to have an effective determination on the category of soil to be used. In the paper, the input is represented by the big data. The category of soil is ascertained by means of the procedure of the map reduce framework. The map reduction, in turn, is effectively attained with the help of the kernel principle component analysis (KPCA). Incidentally, the map reduction involves two key procedures such as the mapper and reducer. While the soil category is decided in the mapper side, the investigating procedure occurs in reducer side. Further, the innovative technique takes due consideration of the recommendation and output forecast of the crop, by elegantly employing an Optimal Recurrent Neural Network classifier (OANN). In the document, the crop is recommended and the output forecast is carried out for the future years.

### **Keywords:**

Soil, Crop Yield prediction, spatial big data, MSVM, KPCA, OANN and Map reduction.

## INTRODUCTION

The ongoing challenges in the universal public health supervision mainly involve the effective assessment of the risk put forward by the contagious disease outbursts with better awareness of their usual geographic range. As there is an ever-zooming growth in the dimension of the spatial epidemiology data, it has become the need of the hour to effectively employ fruitful intelligence in the related data. In this regard, the spatial epidemiology data has emerged as the cornerstone of big data and health analytics issues in the domain of the digital epidemiology [1]. The Big Data, in quintessence, is characterized by parameters such as the volume, velocity, and the variety of data. Further, it has become a Herculean Task to effectively process the big data by means of the time-honored data processing methods and devices. Of late, the big data analytics has been stylishly doing the rounds in several spheres like the healthcare, business practices, scientific exploration, natural resource administration, share marketing, social networking, community organization and climate modeling [5]. However, there are several roadblocks in the process such as those encountered in the data acquisition, storage, search, sharing, transfer, analysis, and visualization. However, the conventional techniques find a Waterloo in smoothly managing the Big Data [2]. Moreover, the dimension, diversity, and modernization rate of certain spatial datasets known as the SBD are far beyond the reach of the underwhelming spatial computing methods [3]. In a large number of instances, the overlay assessment turns out to be a prolonged process in view of the fact that it entails effective management of colossal quantity of the spatial data [4]. Further, it is very difficult to represent the spatial big data without reference to value proposition and user experience, which are largely based on the computational platform, use-case, and dataset at hand.

The spatial data, in essence, characterize the discrete illustrations of uninterrupted incidents occurring on the surface of the earth. In this regard, the raster, vector, and the network are the three vital models which characterize the spatial data. One of the examples of the raster data are the satellite images [6]. It is to be noted that precise classification of crop categories is a must for the scientific as well as practical purposes. Moreover, the crop-type categorization has become one of the essential conditions for carrying out the forecast of crop output. Habitually, the corn is sown well-ahead of the time when soybean is sown, and the grass typically begins its growing season in the spring which is prior to a majority of the crops [7]. The entire soil samples were categorized based on the soil orders and soil groups, and soil spectral curves of the identical group were averaged as the spectral reflectance of the matching soil group [8]. Various investigations have been carried on the crop productivity and soil water balance with the help of the crop growth models by effectively deploying several constraints from diverse climate models. In the meanwhile, climate variability has emerged as one of the increasingly vital attributes having a vast impact on the year-wise crop production, even in the hi-tech agricultural domains turning out superior yield [9]. The soil profile traits required for the crop simulations have been gathered from the Natural Resources Conservation Service. Plainly speaking, the soil in the

Crossville was represented by a silt loam soil of 1.8 m depth, in Tift by a loamy sand of 2.0 m depth and in Alachua by a sandy soil of 2.5 m depth [10].

In fact, a large chunk of the soil properties are protracted and expensive to estimate, in addition to habitual variations over a period of time. Hence, the swift and precise forecast of soil properties has become the need of the hour so as to successfully deal with the deficiency of the estimated soil property data [11]. Further, the output forecast rooted in the blend of several traits having a sway over it has emerged as significant requisite for effective farm management. In this regard, cotton assumes significance as a very noteworthy crop, more particularly for a country like Greece. In fact, there has been a zooming necessity for the superior estimates of output yield and overall biomass production. The crop administration habitually centers round the overall experience of the cultivator for the field managed by him [12]. Further, the crops have emerged as the most pervasive anthropogenic biome throughout the length and breadth of the cosmos, lavishly contributing their significant mite towards the universal cycles of carbon, water, and nutrients [13]. It is possible to effectively remove a portion of the crop residues from the land, without in any way leading to soil degradation and exhaustion of organic matter or causing any decrease in the fertility of the soil. It has to be borne in mind that the crop residue removal has to be cautiously carried out taking due cognizance of the minimum level of crop residue which has to be kept undisturbed on the land so as to preserve the soil quality, soil organic matter and scale down the risk of erosion [14]. Even though the crop models have originally designed exclusively for the homogeneous field scenarios, they continue to be extensively employed in various domains such as the farm, region, nation, continent and the world. Further, sweeping executions of crop models are progressively employed for the purpose of the crop growth modeling and universal policy issues where the agriculture contributes its significant part, including climate variations [15]. Several crop models offer fruitful techniques so as to effectively forecast the future impacts on crop yields. In this regard a host of crop simulation methods like the CERES-Maize (Crop Environment Resource Synthesis), CERES-Wheat, SWAP (soil–water–atmosphere–plant), and the InFoCrop are extensively employed with a view to assess the probable impacts of the climate changeability on the crop production, more particularly to estimate the crop yield-climate sensitivity in the backdrop of diverse climate situations [9].

## LITERATURE SURVEY

The precise yield evaluation and the optimized nitrogen management which are all the more necessary in the domain of the agriculture are, of late, extensively employed in devising the decision support devices for the modern farming techniques so as to significantly scale up the yield production and nitrogen management, simultaneously decreasing the working expenses and adverse impact on the ecosystem. Nevertheless, the RS based methods entail the effective processing of the colossal quantum of the remotely sensed data from diverse platforms. With the result, zooming significance is, of late given to the machine learning (ML) techniques thanks to

their sterling skills to effectively carry out the processing of gigantic number of inputs and successfully tackling of the nonlinear functions.

Chlingaryan *et al.* [16] charismatically carried out the assessment of several investigations carried out by enthusiastic experimenters during the course of one and a half decades related to the machine learning based methods dedicated for the precise crop yield forecast and nitrogen status evaluation. They established the fact that the speedy advances in the sensing methodologies and ML methods were competent to offer the cost-conscious and all-inclusive solutions for the superior crop and eco state evaluation and decision making. Further, the enhanced targeted application of the sensor platforms and ML methods, the combination of diverse sensor modalities and specialist knowledge, and the design of the hybrid systems integrating several ML and signal processing methods were to be the contributing factors of the precision agriculture (PA) which constituted the key testing segment of their investigation.

Mirschelet *et al.* [17] majestically launched an advanced hybrid of conventional non-linear regression techniques for the crop yields and specialist knowledge databases, which was designed to forecast the spatial distribution of yields for a variety of arable crops such as the winter wheat, winter barley, winter rye, winter triticale, spring barley, oats, potato, sugar beet, winter oil-seed rape, silage maize, clover, clover/grass mix, lucerne, lucerne/grass mix, fodder grass as well as the two grassland kinds such as the intensive and extensive in the Eastern Germany cutting across diverse scales up to the regional scale. The YIELDSTAT accounted for an extensive variety of yield-motivating features obtained from the weather, soil, relief and management data, and for the long-term varying atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and for the tendency on account of the advancement in the breeding and agro-technology. The YIELDSTAT regression modules, in turn, were gathered from a flood of farm data sets ranging from the year 1975 to year 1990. They were effectively assessed in the background of modern yield observations from the Federal State of Thuringia, Germany. The model investigation was duly carried out at three diverse spatial scales. It was notable that the YIELDSTAT efficiently replicated the observed data in respect of all the three scales, with the entire crops and scales.

Bose *et al.* [18] brilliantly brought to limelight the novel spiking neural networks (SNNs) for the remote sensing spatiotemporal assessment of the image time series, by effectively exploiting the exceedingly parallel and low-power-consuming neuro-morphic hardware platforms feasible. They succeeded in explaining the idea with the launch of the maiden SNN computational model for the crop yield evaluation from the normalized difference vegetation index image time series. They envisaged the design and assessment of a practical structure which elegantly employed the spatial buildup of the time series of the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectro radiometer 250-m resolution data and historical crop yield data to guide the SNN so as to carry out prompt forecast of the crop yield. Further, they carried out an assessment of the optimum number of features required for the purpose of optimizing the outcomes from their tentative data set. The novel technique was fruitfully used to evaluate the winter wheat yield in the Shandong province, which

represented one of the key winter-wheat-growing areas in China. They came out in flying colors by effectively forecasting the yield well ahead of the harvest i.e. Approximately six weeks before the harvest with an incredibly superior accuracy, furnishing an average accuracy to the tune of 95.64%, with an average error of forecast of 0.236 t/ha and correlation coefficient of 0.801 founded on a nine feature model.

The enhanced crop output is habitually achieved by means of adding the biochar to soils. Still, test outcomes fluctuate generally and are based on the investigational set-up, soil attributes and states, while contributory techniques have not been able to offer the required elucidation. Credit goes to Jeffery *et al.* [19] for effectively assessing the association between the biochar and crop productivity. The consequential outcomes illustrated by and large a minute, though statistically important, advantage of the biochar application to soils on the crop productivity, yielding an impressive mean increase of 10%. Still, the mean outcomes for each and every assessment carried out within the meta-analysis covered an extensive gamut. The maximum (positive) effects in respect of the soil assessments were observed in the acidic and neutral pH soils, and in soils with a coarse or medium texture. It was evident that two key systems for the yield enhancement might have been subjected to a liming impact and an enhanced water holding capacity of the soil, together with the superior crop nutrient accessibility. The maximum positive outcome was observed in the biochar applications at a rate of 100 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (39%). Of the biochar feed stocks taken into account and with regard to the crop productivity, poultry litter showcased the most robust (noteworthy) positive impact to the tune of 28%, vis-à-vis the biosolids, the only feedstock achieving a statistically important negative impact to the tune of -28%. Nevertheless, many an auxiliary data set was imperfect and the whole range of pertinent soil types, together with the ecological and managerial stipulations had not been tested. In addition, only interim investigations restricted to time duration of 1 to 2 years were available at that time. They drew attention to the urgent necessity for a strategic investigation survey so as to entail exposition of techniques, distinguished by ecological and administration factors and also for carry out relevant investigations extending to elongated time durations.

Milne *et al.* [20] were instrumental in assessing the on past yields by means of three types of the k-means clustering together with geo-statistical smoothing. They assessed and compared the results with the yield response to added nitrogen. They were able to gather the data on the wheat yield for three years on 2187 1010 m squares in a 29-ha field in the English midlands. They introduced two methods to group the squares by a 'hard' meaning method. In the first technique, they employed their resemblances similarities which were adapted with their spatial covariance function. In the second approach, they effectively made use of their differences which were customized by means of their variogram. In the third technique, they evaluated their memberships to fuzzy classes and adapted the memberships with the variogram for the ultimate categorization. The consequential spatially adapted categorizations were estimated by the actual extent to which they reacted differently to the applied nitrogen in the fourth year. The finest compromise between the spatial coherence and minimum variance appeared to be the adapted fuzzy categorization,

which generated realistically compact zones which was within the effective control of a well-resourced farmer. Nonetheless, the nitrogen response functions were observed to be parallel, and there was no room for the farmer to gain financial advantage by resorting to the fertilization of the zones independently. Further, the soil map of the field clearly demarcated the logical compact zones, and they diverged in the shapes of the responses of their crops to the fertilizer nitrogen. In the case of the particular field, the management of the nitrogen application based on the category of the soil was more likely to be beneficial.

Skakunet *al.* [21] systematically offered an innovative Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectro radiometer (MODIS) which was derived from the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) time-series and the growing degree days (GDD) information derived from the Modern-Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Applications (MERRA-2) product. The proposed technique was effectively employed to completely ward off the hassles of the early season large-area winter crop mapping. In their technique, they presumed that the winter crops were competent to develop biomass during the early spring while other crops miserably failed in the attempt and hence did not possess any biomass. As the winter crop growth was temporally and spatially non-consistence on account of the existence of diverse agro-climatic regions, they duly deployed the GDD to ample takes care of the related incongruities. Further, they introduced a novel Gaussian mixture model (GMM) for the purpose of distinguishing the winter crops from the other crops such as the spring crops and summer crops. The innovative technique boasted of several merits like the minimal input data needs, robustness, and relevance for the universal scale application. It was also competent to furnish the winter crop maps 1.5–2 months ahead of the harvest. Their technique was implemented in two study regions such as the State of Kansas in the US and Ukraine, and for multiple season. The authentication by means of the US Department of Agriculture (USDA) Crop Data Layer (CDL) for Kansas and ground measurements for Ukraine illustrated that their technique could realize accuracies to the tune of N90% in the mapping winter crops 1.5–2 months ahead of the harvest. Further, the cheering outcomes showcased superior correspondence to the official statistics with average coefficients of determination  $R^2 \geq 0.85$ .

In their document, a new-fangled generation of agricultural system models which were capable of satisfying the requirements of a growing community of end-users represented by a set of Use Cases was subjected to investigation. They envisaged novel data, models and knowledge products which were well-equipped with the skills of giving momentum to the innovation procedure which was highly essential for the effective realization of the sustainable local, regional and global food security. They were also successful in working out preferred attributes for the models, and enlightened on certain probable advances envisaged by them for the model components and their integration. Antleet *al.* [22] admirably advocated an innovative performance stratagem which was capable of connecting a “pre-competitive” space for model expansion to a “competitive space” for the knowledge product progress and by means of the private-public partnerships for the novel data infrastructure. The specified model improvements largely relied on additional investigation and appraisal of the modern techniques, the progress and exploration of the modular

model segments and amalgamation, and associations of model amalgamation platforms to new-fangled data management and visualization devices.

## PROBLEM DEFINITION

Normally, the crop development technologies encounter several challenges which put roadblocks in the path of achieving the precise preliminary parameters at the spatial scale, especially during the time when the accessibility is restricted to field scale data only. However, nowadays, there is increasing enthusiasm in integrating the sophisticated technology and agriculture with the intention of incredibly increasing the production of crop yield. In phase with the swift progress of the novel sophisticated technology, it is only natural to expect the crop techniques and predictive devices to emerge as the vital component of the precision agriculture. The following is a general review of a few of the related challenges.


- i. The enhanced targeted application of the sensor platforms and ML methods, the combination of diverse sensor modalities and specialist knowledge, and the improvement of hybrid systems duly integrating several ML and signal processing approaches may emerge as the significant module of the precision agriculture (PA) which has become a key issue in crop yield [16].
- ii. In the spatial big data challenges, an effort is made to carry out the inference on colossal quantum of measurements which are closely linked to the specific locations and time instances in which those measurements are performed. The consequential evaluation challenges are exceedingly different from the traditional data mining ones, thereby throwing open extremely dissimilar scalability issues and the performance requisites [2].
- iii. The Model testing (YIELDSTAT) has indicated a number normalized mean bias error to the tune of 3.02% across the deficiencies in the model, locating the yield-diminishing attributed which have not yet taken into account. On the whole, the technique has established its fitness-for-purpose for replicating the spatial yields, in the backdrop of unspecified future climate scenarios [17].
- iv. It so happens that several kinds of crops grow jointly in a tiny area. Nevertheless, the crop yield is only linked to the NDVI data extracted from the related crop planting regions. Hence, detection of the crop planting region also adds to the vexed issues in the region of the crop yield.
- v. The changes in the pixel values of the NDVI images during the identical time duration between the succeeding years and the statistical inter annual change of wheat yield to guide the SNN model have created grave issues in the vital segment of the estimation model expansion and accomplishment [18].

- vi. The assessment of the climate science, with special focus on the storage, appraisal and visualization of the data and information has cropped as key issue in the domain of the big data [22].

## **PROPOSED METHODOLOGY**

The underlying motive of the current investigation is devoted to the efficient categorization of the kind of the soil, based on which the novel technique suggests the crop, in addition to forecasting the yield of the crop. In the document, the input data is construed as the spatial soil big data. The innovative technique encompasses three vital stages such as the big data decrease and soil categorization, crop recommendation and yield forecast. The detection of the appropriate soil is a must to keep at bay the losses in the quantum of the agricultural product and yield. At the outset, the input spatial big data is subjected to the reduction procedure and furnished to the map reduces structure. For the purpose of carrying out effective reduction, the new-fangled technique elegantly employs the kernel principle component analysis (KPCA). In the map reduce structure; there are two vital procedures like the mapper and reducer. In the mapper segment, the training procedure of the soil categorization is effectively executed. For the purpose of the categorization, the proposed method employs the modified support vector machine (MSVM) approach. In the reducer segment, the investigation procedure is proficiently performed. Depending upon the ultimate score value of the input data the soil type is categorized. Further, the anticipated approach duly performs the tasks of the recommendation of crop and yield forecast of crop, by means of the Optimal Artificial Neural Network classifier (OANN) technique. In fact, the conventional neural network is adapted with the assistance of the optimization method. In the neural network the weight values get adapted by the Adaptive fruit fly optimization algorithm (AFFO). In accordance with the optimal classifier, the space-breaking technique effectively recommends the crop and forecasts the crop yield for the upcoming years. The overall flow diagram of the performed technique gets illustrated in the following Fig.1,





**Fig 1:** Proposed Soil Classification and Prediction of Crop Yield

The main stages of the recommended technique is,

- The Big data decrease
- The Soil categorization

- The Crop yield forecast
- 

### Big Data Reduction

At first, the input spatial data is subjected to the dimensionality decrease technique. For the purpose of incredibly decreasing the dimension, the novel method elegantly employs the kernel based PCA (KPCA) technique, which is well-explained in the following section.

#### ➤ Kernel Principal Component Analysis (KPCA)

The Kernel Principal Component Analysis (KPCA), in essence, represents an extension of the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) methods with the kernel techniques. With the effective deployment of the kernel, originally linear functions of the PCA are carried out for reproducing the kernel Hilbert space. The modus-operandi of the KPCA is beautifully pictured below.

- ❖ First, generate the kernel matrix, depending on the input data.
- ❖ Then, evaluate the kernel principal component value

By means of the above procedure, the input big data is decreased and the condensed soil data is furnished as the input for the map reduces structure.

#### ➤ Map Reduce Structure

This represents an effective processing and carries out the analysis of big data on a large number of servers. It is parallel and the distributed mega data processing paradigm has been comprehensively investigated and generally accepted as the big data application, of late. The Map Reducer incredibly showcases the qualities of added dominance, elasticity and cost-consciousness, thanks to the prominent features of the cloud computing. The map reduces structure, in turn, embraces two distinct phases such as the Mapper and reducer phases. In the mapper phase, the input data is taken in and segregated into the M map and the training procedure is also carried out separately in the reducer phase, the projected testing procedure is efficiently performed.

### Soil categorization

For the purpose of the soil categorization, the novel method effectively employs the modified support vector machine algorithm (MSVM). In this section, two kernel functions like the linear and the quadratic kernel functions are integrated for the purpose of realizing superior performance ratios. By means of the deft blend of the two outcomes, the average overcome is calculated and effectively employed to locate the hyper plane. The MSVM procedure encompasses two key phases like the Training and Testing phases. In the former i.e. the training phase, near the boundaries or hyper plan data are highly essential. In the latter is the testing phase, the training score value is duly taken into account. Depending on that, the input soil data is duly categorized. The anticipated average of the kernel function  $avg_k(A,B)$  is furnished by means of the following Equation (1).

$$avg_k(A,B) = \frac{1}{2} \left( (a^T b + c) + \left( 1 - \frac{\|a - b\|^2}{\|a - b\|^2 + c} \right) \right) \quad (1)$$

Where  $a, b$  represents the inner products and  $c$  denotes the stable value.

From the categorization output, the planned method effectively categorizes the kind of the soil. Thereafter, the consequential soil kind is furnished to the crop yield forecast phase.

### Crop recommendation and yield forecast

Incidentally, the crop forecast represents the technique of forecasting the crop yields and production well-ahead of the incidence of the actual harvest, before a period of two months. The crop yield forecast is capable of extending helping hands to the agricultural departments to formulate appropriate strategies for the significant augmentation of the agriculture. In fact, the crop production largely relies on a host of factors such as the environmental, geographical, biological, political and the monetary features, throwing up several challenges in the process, which may be estimated by means of suitable mathematical or statistical techniques. In reality, the precise data on the tendency of historical yield of crop is a significant modeling input, which effectively support the agriculturalists and the governmental agencies in their decision making endeavors aimed at setting up appropriate policies. In the document, an earnest effort is made to bring to light an innovative technique for the purpose of effective crop yield forecast with the assistance of the classifier. In this technique, the suggestion and forecast are carried out by means of the Optimal Artificial Neural Network classifier (OANN). For the purpose, the long-established neural network is duly adapted by means of the optimization approach. In the neural network the weight values get effectively customized by employing the Adaptive fruit fly optimization algorithm (AFFO). The comprehensive account of each and every technique enriches the contents of the following section.

#### ➤ Optimal Artificial Neural Network classifier (OANN)

The artificial neural network (ANN), in quintessence, represents the computational model dedicated for the purpose of storing and regaining the attained knowledge. It deservedly boasts of the dense interrelated computing units which constitute the easy techniques for the complex neurons in the biological systems. The information is duly gained in the course of the learning process and is effectively saved and amassed in the weights of the inter-nodal connections. The sterling quality of the neural networks is concentrated on their innate skills to efficiently characterize the complex input/output associations. The neural network habitually contains the inputs, which are multiplied by weights, and thereafter evaluates a mathematical function which decides the activation of the neuron. A different function carries out the task of calculating the output of the artificial neuron. The configuration of the artificial neural network is elegantly exhibited in Fig.2,




Fig.2 Structure of ANN

If the weight of an artificial neuron is high, the input which is multiplied by it becomes further stronger accordingly. Based on the weights, the calculation of the neuron will not be identical but it will be different. However, by way of adapting the weights of an artificial neuron, it is possible to achieve the desired output for the specified inputs. But it is very difficult to physically arrive at the needed weights, if the ANN is flooded with a gigantic number of neurons. Still, a ray of hope is offered by certain smart algorithms endowed with the skills of effectively adapting the weights of the ANN for the purpose of achieving the preferred output from the network and an ideal candidate in this regard is the adaptive fruit fly optimization technique, which is colorfully depicted below.

- ***Adaptive fruit fly optimization algorithm (AFFO)***

The Fruit fly algorithm, in short, represents a technique which is enthused by the foraging conduct of the fruit flies and is deemed to be a new-fangled technique aimed at the global optimization. It owes its origin to the investigation on the food hunting tendencies of the fruit fly swarm. The Fruit fly, in turn, characterizes an excellent food hunter endowed with sharp osphresis and vision. Initially, it locates the food source by observing an extensive variety of fragrances dancing in the vicinity and moves quickly in the direction of the location concerned. While it comes near the food, it is likely to locate the food or alternatively it may move toward the specified location influenced by its fragile vision. The food sources are duly characterized by the optima and the method of foraging is reproduced by way of the iteratively searching for the optima in the fruit fly. Now, the time-honored fruit fly algorithm is suitably customized with the help of the oppositional technique. In the opposition based learning, the current agent and its opposite agent are concurrently taken into account for the purpose of realizing a superior approximation for the existing agent solution. It is taken for granted that an opposite agent solution boasts of a superior chance to come near the global optimal solution rather than random agent solution. The modus-operandi of the adaptive fruit fly optimization algorithm is elegantly elucidated in the following section.

### **Step 1: Initialization**

The key parameters of the planned AFFO are represented by the total evolution number and random weight value. In the novel approach, the fruit fly corresponds to the random weight value. Initialize the random location of weight value (WX\_axis, WY\_axis).

### **Step 2: Opposition based learning (OBL)**

With an eye on augmenting the conventional fruit fly algorithm, the oppositional method is brought in. In the opposition based learning (OBL), the current agent and its opposite agent are concurrently taken into account for the purpose of achieving a superior approximation for the current agent solution. It is taken for granted that an opposite agent solution boasts of a superior chance to be nearer the global optimal solution rather than the random agent solution. The opposite weight positions ( $OW_n$ ) are efficiently represented by the components of  $W_n$  as per the following Equation (2).

$$OW_n = [ow_n^1, ow_n^2, \dots, ow_n^D] \quad (2)$$

Where  $OW_n = Low_n + Up_n - W_n$  with  $OW_n \in [Low_n, Up_n]$  represents the position of  $n$ th weight value  $OW_m$  in the  $D^{th}$  dimension of the oppositional weights.

**Step 3:** Investigation by means of the arbitrary path and weight value selection. Here,  $W_n$  characterizes the  $n$ th location of the weight value.

$$\begin{aligned} W_n(x, y) &= (WX_n, WY_n)^T \\ WX_m &= WX\_axis + RandomValue \\ WY_m &= WY\_axis + RandomValue \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

**Step 4:** Best position choice of the recommended weight value is attained by means of the Equation (4) given below.

$$\begin{aligned} BW_n &= MSE \\ best\ weight\ value &= function(Min\ BW_n) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

**Step 5:** Estimate the most excellent weight value by means of the following Equation (5).

$$[Excellent\ weight\ Excellent\ selection] = \min(MSE) \quad (5)$$

**Step 6:** Maintains the best weight value and  $x, y$  coordinate, the fruit fly swarm tends to employ the visualization to make a movement in that direction as illustrated in the following Equation (6).

$$\begin{aligned} selected\ weight\ value &= \min\ MSE \\ WX\_axis &= WX(Excellent\ index) \\ WY\_axis &= WY(Excellent\ index) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

**Step 7:** Enter the consecutive optimization to repeat the implementation of stages 3-6. Subsequently assess if the new weight value is superior to the past weight value. If yes, carry out the task 7. Thus, by effectively exploiting the AFFO technique, the weight values are optimally shortlisted. When the optimal weight values are ascertained, they are duly deployed the neural network. The evaluation of the suggested bias function for the input layer is illustrated in the Equation (7) given below.

$$Bias = \xi + \sum_{n=0}^{h-1} w_1 I_1 + w_2 I_2 + w_3 I_3 + \dots \dots \dots w_n I_n \quad (7)$$

Estimate the activation function with the assistance of Equation (8) given below.

$$Active(Bias) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-Bias}} \quad (8)$$

Calculate the learning error as exhibited in the following Equation (9).

$$Output = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{h-1} (Obtained_n - Actual_n)^2 \quad (9)$$

In accordance with the procedure detailed above, the innovative optimal artificial neural network effectively suggests the crop in addition to forecasting the yield of the crop. The test outcomes of the new-fangled technique are best illustrated in the ensuing section.

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Here, an all-inclusive account of the outcomes ushered in by the novel Soil categorization in the spatial data mining which is elegantly executed in the working platform of the JAVA by the Map-reducestructure with the Hadoop. The following section gives a bird's eye-view of test results and the performance of the novel technique.

### 5.1. Evaluation Metrics

The evaluation tools such as the Sensitivity, Specificity, Accuracy, Precision, recall, and F-measure are effectively employed to assess the efficiency in performance of the epoch-making technique. Further, the benchmark count values like the True Positive (TP), True Negative (TN), False Positive (FP) and False Negative (FN) are also elegantly employed in the technique.

#### Sensitivity

The Sensitivity is defined as the ratio of a number of true positives to the sum of true positive and false negative which is effectively exhibited in the following Equation (8).

$$Sensitivity = \frac{No\ of\ TP}{No\ of\ TP + No\ of\ FN} \times 100 \quad (8)$$

#### Specificity

The Specificity is defined as the ratio of a number of true negatives to the sum of true negatives and false positives, as exhibited in Equation (9) given hereunder.

$$Specificity = \frac{No\ of\ TN}{No\ of\ TN + No\ of\ FP} \times 100 \quad (9)$$

#### Accuracy

The Accuracy is effectively estimated by means of the measures of sensitivity and specificity and is demonstrated by means of the following Equation (10).

$$Accuracy = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN} \times 100 \quad (10)$$

**Precision**

By the term ‘Precision’ what is meant is the closeness of two or more dimensions to one another. It is duly defined as the ratio of the true positive to the sum of true positive and false positive and it is illustrated by means of the following Equation (11).

$$Precision = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} \times 100 \quad (11)$$

**Recall**

The Recall is defined as the ratio of true positive to the sum of true positive and false negative and is illustrated by means of the following Equation (12).

$$Recall = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \times 100 \quad (12)$$

**F-Measure**

The F-Measure encompasses the harmonic mean of the combinations of the precision and recall and is calculated as exhibited in the ensuing Equation (12).


$$Fmeasure = 2 * \frac{Precision * recall}{precision + recall} \quad (13)$$

**5.2. Performance analysis**

In the following section, the performance assessment of the novel technique is colorfully picture. Now, the Table.1 effectively exhibits the performance appraisal of the ground-breaking technique. In this regard, various parameters such as the sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, precision, and Recall and f-measure value are employed to assess the performance. Given below is the performance assessment of the novel technique in the backdrop of varying values of the data size.

**Table 1:** Performance measures based on evaluation measures


Data	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy
1000	0.914506652	0.80397843	0.924636486
2000	0.878900551	0.837750722	0.932042531
3000	0.917713683	0.762665741	0.922885688
4000	0.854838954	0.796487393	0.892582003
5000	0.851813922	0.780735729	0.879679342



**Fig 3:** Graphical representation of Evaluation measures based on the data size

**Table II:** Performance analysis by varying on dataset

Data	Precision	Recall	F-measure
1000	0.982548	0.910600635	0.953204972
2000	0.967349645	0.877690721	0.921438536
3000	0.98814705	0.913011674	0.95003102
4000	0.954499366	0.84064301	0.897518045
5000	0.985553225	0.865504804	0.911854233



**Fig 4:** Graphical representation of our proposed research




### 5.3. Comparison analysis of performance metrics

The accuracy value emerges as the leading attribute in the soil categorization technique. In this regard, it is highly essential for the technique to yield superior accuracy value so as to offer the most excellent procedure. Fig.4 exhibits the comparative assessment of accuracy value achieved by the categorization process. Here, the existing categorization scheme is furnished as the conservative neural network and KNN classifier

**Table II:** Comparison of proposed and existing Sensitivity Measures

Data	Proposed	Existing
1000	0.914506652	0.9046
2000	0.878900551	0.9138
3000	0.917713683	0.9107
4000	0.854838954	0.8815
5000	0.851813922	0.8639




**Fig 5:** Graphical representation of Proposed and Existing Sensitivity Measures


**Table III:** Comparison of Proposed and Existing Specificity Measures

Data	Proposed	Existing
1000	0.80397843	0.7894
2000	0.837750722	0.8181
3000	0.762665741	0.75

4000	0.796487393	0.784
5000	0.780735729	0.7777

**Fig 6:** Comparison of Proposed and Existing Specificity Measures**Table IV:** Comparison of Proposed and Existing Accuracy Measures

Data	Proposed	Existing
1000	0.924636486	0.9046
2000	0.932042531	0.9138
3000	0.922885688	0.9107
4000	0.892582003	0.8815
5000	0.879679342	0.8639



**Fig 7:** Comparison of Proposed and Existing Accuracy Measures

## CONCLUSION

It is well-established that the optimal models have the wherewithal to effectively set up healthy associations between the crop yield and soil classification. The underlying motive of the current investigation is dedicated to the forecasting of the crop yield and classifications of soil which is carried out by our masterpiece mechanism viz. the modified support vector machine (MSVM) algorithm and optimal artificial neural networks (ANN). The yield forecast is capable of offering fruitful data for prescribing management practices and realizing the sustainable profits in the backdrop of oscillating scenarios. As the crop attributes are competent to directly reveal the crop yield scenarios, the Spatial Big data and neural network in the crop protection assumes zooming significance with vast potentials. The crop yield is elegantly attained with the help of the Optimal Artificial Neural Network classifier (OANN) and the kinds of soils realized by means of the modified support vector machine (MSVM) technique. In the neural network the weight values are effectively adapted by effectively utilizing the Adaptive fruit fly optimization algorithm (AFFO). The Neural network habitually offers a dominant structure to the spatial big data. The suitable selection and employment of the optimization and neural network has become the need of the hour to achieve the maximum possible advantages of the related techniques. With the deft deployment of the optimal classifier, the novel technique suggests the crop and forecasts the crop yield for the upcoming years. The paper has come out successful in presenting a general assessment of the investigations carried out by the inquisitive investigators in the domain of the crop yield and classification of soil using the special big data.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Lopez, Daphne, M. Gunasekaran, B. SenthilMurugan, Harpreet Kaur, and KajaM.Abbas, "Spatial big data analytics of influenza epidemic in Vellore, India," In 2014IEEE international conference on big data (Big Data), pp. 19-24, 2014.

- [2] Jardak, Christine, Petri Mähönen, and Janne Riihijärvi, "Spatial big data and wireless networks: experiences, applications, and research challenges," IEEE Network, Vol. 28, No. 4, pp. 26-31, 2014.
- [3] Wang, Lizhe, Ke Lu, Peng Liu, Rajiv Ranjan, and Lajiao Chen, "IK-SVD: dictionary learning for spatial big data via incremental atom update," Computing in Science & Engineering, Vol. 16, No. 4, pp. 41-52, 2014.
- [4] Wang, Yong, Zhenling Liu, Hongyan Liao, and Chengjun Li, "Improving the performance of GIS polygon overlay computation with MapReduce for spatial big data processing," Cluster Computing, Vol. 18, No. 2, pp. 507-516, 2015.
- [5] Manogaran, Gunasekaran, and Daphne Lopez, "Spatial cumulative sum algorithm with big data analytics for climate change detection," Computers & Electrical Engineering, Vol. 65, pp. 207-221, 2018.
- [6] Evans, Michael R., Dev Oliver, KwangSoo Yang, Xun Zhou, Reem Y. Ali, and Shashi Shekhar, "Enabling spatial big data via CyberGIS: Challenges and opportunities." In Cyber GIS for Geospatial Discovery and Innovation, pp. 143-170, Dordrecht, 2019.
- [7] Cai, Yaping, Kaiyu Guan, Jian Peng, Shaowen Wang, Christopher Seifert, Brian Wardlow, and Zhan Li, "A high-performance and in-season classification system of field-level crop types using time-series Landsat data and a machine learning approach," Remote Sensing of Environment, Vol.210, pp. 35-47, 2018.
- [8] Shi, Zhou, QianLong Wang, Jie Peng, WenJun Ji, Huan Jun Liu, Xi Li, and Raphael A. Viscarra Rossel, "Development of a national VNIR soil-spectral library for soil classification and prediction of organic matter concentrations," Science China Earth Sciences, Vol. 57, No. 7, pp. 1671-1680, 2014.
- [9] Kang, Yinhong, Shahbaz Khan, and Xiaoyi Ma, "Climate change impacts on crop yield, crop water productivity and food security—A review," Progress in Natural Science, Vol. 19, No. 12, pp. 1665-1674, 2009.
- [10] Baigorria, Guillermo A., James W. Jones, and James J. O'Brien, "Potential predictability of crop yield using an ensemble climate forecast by a regional circulation model," Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, Vol. 148, No. 8-9, pp. 1353-1361, 2008.
- [11] Cerdan, Olivier, G. Govers, Y. Le Bissonnais, Kristof Van Oost, Jean Poesen, Nicolas Saby, Alban Gobin et al, "Rates and spatial variations of soil erosion in Europe: a study based on erosion plot data," Geomorphology, Vol. 122, No. 1-2, pp. 167-177, 2010.
- [12] Papageorgiou, Elpiniki I., Athanasios T. Markinos, and Theofanis A. Gemtos, "Fuzzy cognitive map based approach for predicting yield in cotton crop production as a basis for decision support system in precision agriculture application," Applied Soft Computing, Vol. 11, No. 4, pp. 3643-3657, 2011.
- [13] Singh, Devendra, "Generation and evaluation of gross primary productivity using Landsat data through blending with MODIS data," International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, Vol. 13, No. 1, pp. 59-69, 2011.

- [14] Scarlat, Nicolae, Milan Martinov, and Jean-François Dallemand, "Assessment of the availability of agricultural crop residues in the European Union: potential and limitations for bioenergy use," Waste management, Vol. 30, No. 10, pp. 1889-1897, 2010.
- [15] Juraj Balkovic, Marijn van der Velde, Erwin Schmid, Rastislav Skalsky, Nikolay Khabarov, Michael Obersteiner, Bernhard Stürmer, Wei Xiong, "Pan-European crop modelling with EPIC: Implementation, up-scaling and regional crop yield validation", Agricultural Systems, Vol. 120, pp. 61–75, 2013.
- [16] Chlingaryan, Anna, Salah Sukkarieh, and Brett Whelan, "Machine learning approaches for crop yield prediction and nitrogen status estimation in precision agriculture: A review," Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, Vol. 151, pp. 61-69, 2018.
- [17] Mirschel, Wilfried, Ralf Wieland, Karl-Otto Wenkel, Claas Nendel, and Christian Guddat, "YIELDSTAT—a spatial yield model for agricultural crops," European journal of agronomy, Vol. 52, pp. 33-46, 2014.
- [18] Bose, Pritam, Nikola K. Kasabov, Lorenzo Bruzzone, and Reggio N. Hartono, "Spiking neural networks for crop yield estimation based on spatiotemporal analysis of image time series," IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, Vol. 54, No. 11, pp. 6563-6573, 2016.
- [19] Jeffery, Simon, Frank GA Verheijen, Marijn van der Velde, and Ana Catarina Bastos, "A quantitative review of the effects of biochar application to soils on crop productivity using meta-analysis," Agriculture, ecosystems & environment, Vol. 144, No. 1, pp. 175-187, 2011.
- [20] Milne, A. E., R. Webster, D. Ginsburg, and D. Kindred, "Spatial multivariate classification of an arable field into compact management zones based on past crop yields," Computers and electronics in agriculture, Vol. 80, pp. 17-30, 2012.
- [21] Skakun, Sergii, Belen Franch, Eric Vermote, Jean-Claude Roger, Inbal Becker-Reshef, Christopher Justice, and Nataliia Kussul, "Early season large-area winter crop mapping using MODIS NDVI data, growing degree days information and a Gaussian mixture model," Remote Sensing of Environment, Vol. 195, pp. 244- 58, 2017.
- [22] Antle, John M., Bruno Basso, Richard T. Conant, H. Charles J. Godfray, James W. Jones, Mario Herrero, Richard E. Howitt et al, "Towards a new generation of agricultural system data, models and knowledge products: Design and improvement, " Agricultural systems, Vol. 155, pp. 255-268, 2017.