Immunological Study for The Changes that Associated with Infection of Mice with Shiga Toxin Producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) 0157:H7

Ahmed B. Majhool University of Baghdad- College of Veterinary medicine Khalil H. Zenad AL-Iraqia University-College of Dentistry addaibm@gmail.com

Abstract

Present study discussed the immunological effect of STEC E. coli O157:H7 on mice. Sixty Albino mice, both sexes with age between(6–8) weeks of old were divided into two groups: "infected group" (30) were inoculated with infectious dose orally , and "control group" (30) were given phosphate buffer saline orally. The immunological feature, mice of the infected group revealed both of the humoral and cellular immune response. The HI response was detected by the passive haemagglutination test and the results revealed the higher titer of antibodies is about (253.0±21.70) at 28 day after infection. The CI response was detected by the skin test, the mean thickning of the right footpad was (3.03±0.15 mm) after about one day then dropped to reach (1.80 ±0.09 mm) after 3 days in mice with infectious dose. The cytokines level was measured by Sandwich ELISA (Interleukin 10 (IL10) and interferon gamma (IFN- γ)) which showed a major increase (P<0.05) in the comparison with control group at all experiment period (14, 21 and 28) days post infection. In conclusion, The investigational infection with STEC O157:H7 activated both CI and HI response with raised of inflammation cytokines (IFN- gamma and IL-10).

Key words: E. coli, CI, HI, IL10, INF_γ

Introduction

Escherichia coli (*E.coli*) is a member of *Escherichia* genus in the Enterobacteria-ceae family. It is a Gram -ve, facultative anaerobic, non-spore forming organism which is originate into the nature, also in intestine and out of the intestine of mammals (Kaper *et al.*, 2004 and Zainab *et al.*, 2013). The STEC were classified depending on the importance of serotype O157:H7 in human disease, into two major categories, STEC O157 and non-O157 STEC (Bettelheim, 2007). E. coli O157:H7 is an developing public health problem in the world. It is the important cause of food-borne disease (Schlundt, 2001) and is transmitted through the faecal-oral route. The main site for O157:H7 is intestine of healthy animals. Specific animals are rapidly inhabited and shed E. coli in their stools (Bach *et al*, 2002). The source of E. coli O157:H7, which inhabit the animal, are little known, and little most was known about epidemiology of E. coli O157:H7 in environment (Bach *et al*, 2002). In addition, high variability in occurrence of the E. coli O157:H7 among the animals refers to the likelihood of reservoir of E. coli O157:H7 out to it. Nevertheless, other than the discovery of E. coli O157:H7 in other animals, like dogs, horses, sheep, and wild birds (Bach *et al*, *al*, 2002).

2002), epidemiology of the bacterium has not widely known. One of the potential styles of the distribution of this bacteria into the surroundings is by the insects that are related with the animal manure, chiefly houseflies. The larvae develops into feces. So, HF make a so large inhabitants on the animal farmhouses and other animal facilities. Beforehand, a laboratory built observation showed that E. coli O157:H7 that is swallowed by HF stayed viable inside the fly excretion and that the HF were capable of carrying and dissemination E. coli for several days (Kobayashi et al, 1999).

Current detection and methods of isolation include culture-based, immunoassays and PCR-based, for Shiga toxin or major STEC strains.

Methodology

Estimating lethal dose LD50 and infectious dose (ID)

This was carried out according to the method of Yousif *et al.* (2013).

Bacteria:

Five colonies of more common isolated STEC O157 were inoculated on (10 ml) of tryptone soy broths at about 37° for (24) hours & centrifuged in cooling centrefuge (5009 g) for about (15) minutes & residue (pellet) was washed 3 times with PBS (pH = 7.2) then it was kept by using (9) ml of PBS(pH=7.2) and tenfold delution (10^{-1} , 10^{-2} . 10^{-3} , 10^{-4} , 10^{-5} , 10^{-6} , 10^{-7} , 10^{-8} , 10^{-9} & 10^{-10}) was ended.

1- Counting bacteria: practical counting of bacteria in all of diluent was done due to surface spread (Ogiehor and Ikenebomeh, 2006). Two of Petri dishes for each one diluting to be used was set off & were labeled with suitable dishes, utilizing new pipettes for all of dilutions, 100 ul of the samples were aseptically placed at center of all of Petri dishes and surface spread on the nutrient agar then all dishes were reversed & then incubated at 37° for about 24 hrs.

The viable counting of the bacterial colonies were done. Colony counting were considered via the multiply of averages numbers of the colony per the counting dish by mutual of dilution & was described as colony founding item for each ml of sample and diluent that have that concentration have been selected to inculate mouse:(1.5×10^{5} cells), (1.5×10^{6} cells), (1.5×10^{7} cells), (1.5×10^{8} cells), (1.5×10^{10} cells), (1.5×10^{10} cells), (1.5×10^{11} cells).

2- mouse drenching: seven groups, each contain 5 mice; six of these groups were drenched with 1 of considered(C. F. U. / ml) diluents by using stomach tubes about(1)ml each orally and last group was drenched with PBS(pH = 7.2)& was measured as a control group. All groups were observed for 10 days to estimate living & dead mice & to know LD50 according to(Reed & Muench, 1938).The infectious dose (ID) was estimated by selecting group of mice that showed clinical signs manifested by diarrhea with no mortality.

Mice infection with O157:H7 orally

Depending on (Krystle and Alison, 2011): Sixty Albino mice of both sexes with age between(6–8) weeks were modified to about two weeks before the test. Water which was heated to boiling degree& then cooled. Streptomycin was added (6mg/ml). After 1 day of streptomycin treatment, mice were hungry for about 18 to 24hrs & they were separated into two groups:

Infected group: Thirty mice were orally inoculated with infectious dose of E. coli O157:H7 (2.5×10^{5} cells) that is suggested by Haas et al (2000) and Strachan et al (2001).

Control group: Thirty mice were given phosphate buffer saline orally.

At days 7,14,21 and 28, blood samples were taken by making heart puncher to 10 mice from each group. The blood samples were used for measuring IF γ and IL10 as mentioned by Ahmed (2016).

Results

Humoral immunity (PHA) test

The Ab. titer against O157:H7 revealed a major surging (P< 0.05) in the diseased mice cluster in comparison with control at all the experiment period, while infected group shown the maximum surge (P< 0.05) in antibody titer at day 28 in comparison with the days 7 and 14.Table (4-7).

Groups	Infected group	up	Positive	control	Negative contr	rol group
			group			
Time						
Day7	14.0 ± 2.94		0±0		0±0	
	(8-32)*					
	А	d	В	а	В	a
Day14	57.2±11.66		0±0		0±0	
	(16-128)*					
	А	с	В	а	В	а
Day21	190.0±21.11		0±0		0±0	
	(128-256)*					
	А	b	В	a	В	a
Day 28	253.0±21.70		0±0		0±0	
	(240-320)*					
	А	а	В	а	В	a

Table (4-4): Antibodys titers in contradiction of STEC O157.

- Values are expressed as mean \pm SE

- n= 10/group,

- Capital letters denote significant difference (P<0.05) within a row,

-Small letters denote significant differences (P<0.05) within a column.

- * Range of antibody titter.

Cellular resistance (skin test response):

Outcomes of the late kind hypersensitivity indicated an important surges in depth(mm)of right footpad of mouse of infected group (P < 0.05). Maximum mean of thickness was showed afterward about 24 hrs post the infection and was dropped considerably(P < 0.05) after about48 hrs was resumed nearly to the usual depth after about 72 hrs after inoculation of soluble Ags. Table (4-8).

Table (4-5): Skin thickness (mm) of mouse right footpad of that were diseased withSTEC 0157:H7.

Times afterward	The infected	Control Positive	Control Negative
the inoculation	group	group	group
of the soluble			
antigen			
Hour 0	1.56±0.05	1.53±0.03	1.52 ± 0.04
	A a	A a	A a
24 hours	3.03±0.15	1.54 ± 0.02	1.53±0.04
	A d	B a	B a
48 hours	2.60±0.11	1.54±0.02	1.54±0.03
	A c	B a	B a
72 hours	1.80 ±0.09	1.54±0.01	1.53± 0.03
	A b	B a	B a

- Values are expressed as mean \pm SE

- n= 5/group,

- Capital letters denote significant difference (P<0.05) within a row,

-Small letters denote significant differences (P<0.05) within a column.

Interleukin – 10 (IL10)

The (IL-10) levels (pg /mL) revealed the major surge (P < 0.05) in the infected group in contrast with the control group at similar time when diseased cluster shown the important surge (P < 0.05) in the IL10 at the days 14 & 21 in comparison with day7. Table(4-9).

Table(4-6):serum	stages	of	IL10	(ng	/ml)in	diseased	& controller	clusters
Lanc	T -O	J.SCI um	suges	UI	1110	VPS	/ 1111	,	uiscascu	a controner	clusters

groups	Infected group	Positive control	Negative control group
		group	
Time			
Day 7	362.55±15.24	81.53±4.22	81.42±4.31
	A b	B a	B a
Day14	472.88±15.44	80.85±4.32	80.66±5.43
	A a	B a	B a
Day21	481.35±8.55	82.77±1.96	81.77±3.11
	A a	B a	B a
Day28	495.33±7.91	82.80±2.53	80.91±5.25

http://annalsofrscb.ro

Annals of R.S.C.B., ISSN:1583-6258, Vol. 27, Issue 1, 2023, Pages. 521-528 Received 25 January 2023; Accepted 05 February 2023

A a B a B a

- Values are expressed as mean \pm SE

- n= 10/group,

- Capital letters denote significant difference (P<0.05) within a row,

-Small letters denote significant differences (P<0.05) within a column.

Interferon Gamma IFN-γ

Cytokine(IFN- γ) level(pq /ml) revealed the major surge (P< 0.05)in the infected group in the contrast with control group at the similar period while outcomes of infected group showed the major surge (P < 0.05)in IFN- γ levels at the day14 &day21

after the infection in the comparison with outcome of day7. Table(4-10).

Table(4-7):serum stages of IFNy(pg / ml)in the diseased & controller clusters.

groups	Infected group	Positive control group	Negative control group
Time			
Day 7	302.65±9.03	32.70±1.11	32.00±1.22
	A b	B a	B a
Day14	572.42±22.44	32.55±088	31.34±1.01
	A a	B a	B a
Day21	602.15±7.11	34.54±1.00	32.35±1.12
	A a	B a	B a
Day28	552.31±6.52	33.81±2.01	32.55±2.05
	A a	B a	B a

- Values are expressed as mean $\pm\,SE$

- n= 10/group,

- Capital letters denote significant difference (P<0.05) within a row.

-Small letters denote significant differences (P<0.05) within a column.

Discussion

The mice which were infected by infectious dose, after 7 day showed antibody titers with a mean (14.0 ± 2.94) but after 14 days, they showed a significant increase in antibody titers (57.2 ± 11.66) reaching to the 28 days post infection, it searged to the peak significantly increased in the antibody titers to reach a mean (253.0 ± 21.70) . The antibody response to Tir, intimin, Esp A and EspB, proteins that are secreted by the type III secretion system after STEC infections and also by lipopolysaccharide (Cristancho *et al.*, 2008; Vilte *et al.*, 2008; Joris, 2012). Larrie-Bagha *et al.* (2013), showed the important role of antibody producing B cell in protection against *E.coli* O157:H7.

The result of skin test showed that STEC O157:H7 elicited a cell mediated immune response, since delayed type hypersensitivity is the principle pattern of cellular mediated immunity (Ramzi *et al.*, 1994). T cells activated during the sensitization phase are CD4+ T cell primarily of the Th1 subgroup but in a few cases CD8+ T cells have also been showed to induce a DTH response (Mastroeni *et al.*, 2001).

This cellular immune response induced by STEC O157:H7 is similar to that recorded by other researchers who found the same result of cellular immune response induced by challenged of mice with specific antigen of O157 (Eko *et al.*, 2011; Mayr *et al.*, 2012). Oral inoculation of EHEC in mice also showed similar results (Cai *et al.*, 2010). The present study agreed with Kshash and Habasha, (2009), who used a purified lipopolysaccharide of *E. coli* O111 in immunization of mice and recorded a high significantce of right footpad skin thickness after 24 hrs with a peak level at 48 hrs as compared with control group (Kshash and Habasha, 2009).

This result agrees with Zamely and Falh (2011) who found that the experimental infection of male rats by *E. coli* resulted in increase in the number of leukocytes but it disagrees with Hoffman *et al.* (2006), who recorded that STEC infections could suppress the development of an antigen-specific cellular immune response in cattle (Hoffman *et al.*, 2006).

Our rusults about IL10 is similar to that of By Rene de Waal et al., which domenstrate that monocytes activated by lipopolysaccharides (LPS) were able to produce high levels of IL10, previously designated cytokine synthesis inhibitory factor (CSIF), in a dose dependent fashion. IL10 was detectable 7 h after activation of the monocytes and maximal levels of IL10 production were observed after several days. (Rene *et al.*, 1991).

The significant increase of IFN- γ is in agreement with the result found by Hayashi *et al.* (2001); Mayr *et al.* (2005) and Gobert *et al.* (2007), in mice; also Vallance *et al.*, 2002) who demonstrated that in mouse model infection with EHEC strains, they suggested that INF- γ plays an important role in defense mechanism against pathogens in vivo. Another researcher explained that the level of IFN- γ increased in humans following *E. coli* infection (Long *et al.*, 2010), and in *E. coli* O157:H7 enteritis and HUS, had a significant increase of IFN- γ in infected children (Proulx *et al.*, 2001).

Reference:

- [1] **Ahmed S. J. (2016):** Bacteriological, immunological and pathological study on the mice that experimentally infected with non-O157 shiga toxin Producing *E.coli* isolated from children and calves. Phd. Thesis in veterinary pathology. Univ. of Baghdad, Baghdad-Iraq.
- [2] Bach, S. J., T. A. McAllister, D. M. Veira, V. P. J. Gannon, and R. A. Holley (2002): Transmission and control of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, a review. Can. J. Anim. Sci. 82:475–490.
- [3] Bettelheim, K. A. (2007): The non-O157 Shiga- toxigenic (Verocytotoxigenic) *Escherichia coli*; under-rated pathogens. Critical Reviews in Microb.,33(1): 67–87.
- [4] Cai, K.; Gao, X.; Li, T.; Hou, X.; Wang, Q.; Liu, H.; Xiao, L.; Tu, W.; Liu, Y.; Shi, J. and Wang H. (2010): Intragastric immunization of mice with enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 bacterial ghosts reduces mortality and shedding and induces a Th2-type dominated mixed immune response. Can. J. Microbiol., 56:389–398.
- [5] Cristancho, L.; Johnson, R.P.; McEwen, S.A. and Gyles, C.L. (2008): Escherichia coli O157:H7 and other Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli* in white veal calves.Vet. Microbiol. 126: 200-209.

- [6] Eko, F.O.; Ekong, E.; He, Q.; Black, C.M. and Igietseme, J.U. (2011): Induction of immune memory by a multisubunit chlamydial vaccine. Vaccine., 29: 1472–1480.
 Haas CN, Thayyar-Madabusi A, Rose JB, Gerba CP (2000): Development of a dose-response relationship for Escherichia coli O157:H7. Int J Food Microbiol; 56:153-9.
- [7] Hayashi, F.; Smith, K.D.; Ozinsky, A.; Hawn, T.R.; Yi, E.C.; Goodlett, D.R.; Eng, J.K.; Akira, S.; Underhill, D.M. and Aderem, A. (2001): The innate immune response to bacterial flagellin is mediated by Toll- like receptor 5. J. Nat. 410: 1099– 1103.
- [8] Hoffman, M.A.; Christian, M.; Thomas, A.; William, L.; Brad, T. and Evelyn, A.
 (2006): Bovine Immune Response to Shiga-Toxigenic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7.
 CLINI. AND VAC. IMMUNOLO., 13(12): 1322–1327.
- [9] **Joris, A.M. (2012):** Prevalence, characterization and long term follow up of enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* and TTSS specific antibodies in cattle. PhD thesis, U. Gent, Belgium.
- [10] Kaper, J.B.; Nataro, J.P. and Mobley, H.L.(2004): Pathogenic Escherichia coli. Nat. Rev. Microbiol., 2(2): 123-40.
- [11] **Karpman, D. (2001):** Pathogenesis of Shiga toxin associated hemolytic uremic syndrome. Pediatr. Res. 50(2): 163-71.
- Kobayashi, M.; Sasaki, Kobayashi, M.; Sasaki, T.; Saito, N.; Tamura, K.; Suzuki, K.; Watanabe, H. and Agui, N. (1999): Houseflies: not simple mechanical vectors of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 61(4): 625-9.
- [13] Krystle, L. M. and Alison, D. O. (2011): Mouse Models of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 Infection and Shiga Toxin Injection. Journal of Biomedicine and Biotechnology Volume (2011), 258185, 17.
- [14] Kshash, Q. H. and Habasha, F. G. (2009): Experimental study on the role of purified LPS of E . coli O111 : B4 in preventing mammary gland infection in mice O111 : B4. 23: 223–230. LarrieBagha, S.M.; Rasooli, I.; Mousavi-Gargari, S.L.; Rasooli, Z. and Nazarian, S. (2013): Passive immunization by recombinant ferric enterobactin protein (FepA) from *Escherichia coli* O157. Iran J. of Microbiol., 5:113.
- [15] Long, K.Z.; Rosado, J.L.; Santos, J.I.; Haas, M.; Al Mamun, A.; DuPont, H.L.; Nanthakumar, N.N. and Estrada-Garcia, T. (2010): Associations between mucosal innate and adaptive immune responses and resolution of diarrheal pathogen infections. Infect. Immun. 78: 1221–1228.
- [16] Mastroeni, P.; Chabalgoity, J.A.; Dunstan, S.J.; Maskell, D.J. and Dougan, G. (2001): *Salmonella*: immune responses and vaccines. Vet. J. 161: 132-164.
- [17] Mayr, U. B.; Haller, C.; Haidinger, W.; Atrasheuskaya, A.; Bukin, E.; Lubitz, W. and Ignatyev, G. (2005): Bacterial Ghosts as an Oral Vaccine : a Single Dose of *Escherichia coli* O157 : H7 Bacterial Ghosts Protects Mice against Lethal Challenge, 73(8): 4810–4817.
- [18] Mayer, C.L.; Leibowitz C.S.; Kurosawa S. and Stearns-Kurosawa D.J. (2012): Shiga toxins and the pathophysiology of hemolytic uremic syndrome in humans and animals. Toxins 4: 1261-1287.

- [19] Mayr, U. B.; Kudela, P.; Atrasheuskaya, A.; Bukin, E.; Ignatyev, G. and Lubitz, W. (2012): Rectal single dose immunization of mice with *Escherichia coli*O157:H7 bacterial ghosts induces efficient humoral and cellular immune responses and protects against the lethal heterologous challenge. Microbial. Biotech., 5(2): 283–294. Ogiehor, I.S. and Ikenebomeh, M.J. (2006): The Effects of Different Packaging Materials on the Shelf Stability of Garri. African J.of Biotech., 523: 2412-2416.
- [20] Ogiehor, I.S. and Ikenebomeh, M.J. (2006): The Effects of Different Packaging Materials on the Shelf Stability of Garri. African J.of Biotech., 523: 2412-2416. Proulx, F.; Seidman, E.G. and
- [21] **Ramzi, C.; Vinay, K. and Stanely, l. (1994):** Robbins pathological basis of disease. 5th edition. Philadelphia, London, Toronto, Montreal Sydney, Tokyo.: 183-185.
- [22] **Reed, L. J. and Muench, H. (1938):** A simple method of estimating fifty percent end points. Am. J. Hyg. 27: 293-299.
- [23] Rene de Waal Malefyt, John Abrams, Bruce Bennett, Carl G. Figdor, and Jan E. de Vries (1991): Interleukin 10 (IL10) Inhibits Cytokine Synthesis by Human Monocytes: An Autoregulatory Role of IL-10 Produced by Monocytes, J. Exp. Med. 174: 1209-1220.
- [24] Schlundt, J. (2001). Emerging food borne pathogens. *Biomedical Journal of Environmental Science*, 14(1-2):44-52.
- [25] Strachan NJ, Fenlon DR, Ogden ID(2001): Modelling the vector pathway and infection of humans in an environmental outbreak of Escherichia coli O157. FEMS Microbiol Lett; 203: 69–73.
- [26] Yousif, A. A.; AL-Taai. A. and Mahmood, N. M. (2013): Humeral and cellular imm- une response induced by *E.coli* [O157:H7 & O157:H7:K99] and vaccines in mice. Inte. J. of Immunol. Res., 3(1):17-20.
- [27] Zainab R.Z.; Aseel M. and Mohmmed J. A. (2013): Histopathological study for the effect of ethanolic extract of Sonchus oleraceus on *Escherichia coli* bacteria in mice. The Iraqi J. of Vet. Med. 37 (2): 164-171.
- [28] Zamely H. and Falh S. (2011): The effect of experimental *Escherichia coli* infection on some blood parameters and histological changes in male rats. The Iraqi J. Vet. Med. 35 (2): 22–27.