

The Association among Depression, Anxiousness, Somatization, Attitude, and Signs of Lower Urinary Tract Congenital Prostatic Hyperplasia

¹Dr Huma Muneer, ²Dr. Sadia Abidi Malik, ³Faryal Bashir, ⁴Dr. Noor Khajjak, ⁵Dr Arooba Nazir, ⁶Dr Imran Sabir

1PGT, Gynae Obs Department, DHQ Mirpur Azad Kashmir, humamuneer5806m@gmail.com

²PGT, Gynae and Obstetrics, CMH /SKBZH, Muzaffarabad, Azad Kashmir,
sadia.abidi95@gmail.com

³FMO, Medical Department, THQ Hospital Trarkhal, Hajira, Poonch, faryalbashir5@gmail.com

⁴Lecturer. Community Medicine Dept. BMC, Bolan Medical College. Quetta. Noor@gmail.com

⁵House Officer, Surgical Department, SKBZ/CMH Muzaffarabad, Muzaffarabad
aroobanazir6@gmail.com

⁶Medical Officer at Muzaffarabad General Hospital, Muzaffarabad, qaziimran23@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

Aim:The focus of this thesis was to look at the link between character, depression, somatic symptoms, stress and lesser urinary tract indications of benign prostatic hyperplasia.

Methods and Results:The International Urinary Illness Score, Health Status Questionnaire-9, PHQ-17, and 6-item Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale were used to assess LUTS individuals. People through depression ($p=0.048$) and somatization ($p=0.025$) had more significant LUTS/BPH symptoms. Neurotic individuals had higher stages of sadness, anxiety, also somatization ($p=0.0058$, $p=0.0005$, and $p=0.0096$, individually). Individuals exhibiting strong extraversion had considerably lower levels of sadness ($p=0.00482$) in addition anxiety ($p=0.035$) than individuals through poor assertiveness.

Conclusion:The current preliminary findings imply that individuals experiencing LUTS may require thorough examination of psychological mental illnesses such as depression, anxiety, and somatization. Extensive research having sufficient power and superior designs are required to validate the current preliminary results.

Keywords:Depression, Somatic Symptoms, Stress, Lower Urinary Tract, prostatic hyperplasia.

INTRODUCTION:

Lower urinary tract was frequent in older males and include retention (frequent, urgency, in addition nocturia), voiding (deprived stream, hesitation, in addition straining to cancelled), also post-micturition (terminal dribbling alsoimperfect empty) [1]. Among the different aetiologies in additionmedicalsignsrelatedthrough LUTS, benign prostatic hyperplasia is regarded the key factor but can have effects similar to LUTS; nevertheless, a definite association among LUTS and BPH is still yet to be made clear [2]. Regardless of fact that LUTS also BPH are not life-threatening illnesses, many big in addition well-intended longitudinal researches have shown the wide range of negative effects on individualalso public health, including mental health.LUTS/BPH is closely linked to psychological disorders just like depression, anxiety, in addition stress susceptibility, as well as deficits in everyday instrumental tasks. Indeed, as shown in a major recent cross-sectional,

population-grounded, multi-national survey research, LUTS has a detrimental influence on global perception of over-all medical status and mental wellbeing [3]. Furthermore, the prevalent trend into anxiousness also sadness remained detected in about 34% of other LUTS subgroup populaces. Nevertheless, there is currently a shortage of Pakistani LUTS/BPH data on sadness, nervousness, in addition somatoform disorder, and personality-related study has not been examined globally [4]. As a result, we attempted to employ validated short rating measures to evaluate the association between depression, anxiety, somatization, temperament, and LUTS/BPH in Pakistani individuals [5].

METHODOLOGY:

Male LUTS subjects were enrolled at a Mayo Hospital outpatient department. Men aged 41 years were the primary prominent ones, and a definitive analysis of LUTS remained determined through a medicinal record, evaluation of signs also concern, meticulous physical inspection, digital rectal inspection, and laboratory testing just like urinalysis also prostate-explicit antigen level. Because the study's objectives primarily descriptive in nature, just a few exclusion criteria were used. Extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness, neuroticism, plus responsiveness are the BFI behavioral qualities. The BFI, which has been founded on the Big Five Factors, is made up of 45 items, with higher scores representing higher levels of every personality feature. As per research, each personality trait domain was classified into lower and upper classes based on median values. Clinical and demographic characteristics are reported and amount of competition on each personal characteristics and other factors through by means of student's t-test, chi-square test through Yate's adjustment, or Fisher's test, as applicable. The statistical relevance level was chosen at $p=0.06$ and had been two-tailed. NCSS 2007® and PASSP are being used for most data analysis.

RESULTS:

Ninety-seven individuals did participate in the trial. The overall majority's mean age was roughly 63 (62.89.1) years, as well as the number of cases remained married. Additional than half of the individuals had concomitant medical conditions. The mean percentage IPSS score throughout all categories was around 18, suggesting a moderate degree of LUTS/BPH signs. Depressed patients ($p=0.047$) and somatization ($p=0.026$) had more severe LUTS signs, however worry did not connect overall LUTS/BPH illnesses (Table 1). Anxious individuals, on the other hand, had quantitatively more LUTS signs than non-anxious individuals. Average complete values on PHQ-9, GAD-7, in addition PHQ-16 remained 2.8, 1.8, also 1.7-fold greater in case neuroticism (HN) than low neuroticism (LN) ($p=0.0057$, $p=0.005$, and $p=0.0096$, respectively) (Table 2). HN had a substantially greater proportion of melancholy (53.5 percent vs. 23.6 percent, $z=8.625$, $p=0.02$) also anxiety (57.9 percent vs. 27.6 percent, $z=9.802$, $p=0.004$) than LN. Other than that, there were no notable differences among those sets (Table 2). Respondents through strong extroversion had substantially lower levels of sadness ($p=0.00483$) also anxiety ($p=0.036$) than individuals through poor extraversion. From the other personal characteristics, there are still no major differences between high also low subgroups in other variables (Table 2).

Table 1:

	Somatization		Depression		Anxiety	
	Presence	Absence	Presence	Absence	Presence	Absence
IPSS total						
Severity of UTS	15.6±8.3	17.7±6.5	15.3±7.9*	18.5±6.9	14.7±7.6†	18.3±7.3
Severe	14 (29.8)	17 (37.0)	17 (29.3)	14 (40.0)	17 (30.9)	14 (36.8)
Moderate	33 (70.2)	29 (63.0)	41 (70.7)	21 (60.0)	24 (63.2)	38 (69.1)
Total	47 (100.0)	46 (100.0)	58 (100.0)	35 (100.0)	55 (100.0)	38 (100.0)

Table 2:

	Agreeableness		Extraversion		Neuroticism		Openness		Conscientiousness	
	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High
IPSS -Obs	10.2±4.8	9.7±5.6	10.1±5.3	9.8±5.0	10.3±4.9	9.5±5.4	10.3±5.4	9.5±4.8	9.6±5.2	10.6±5.0
IPSS -Sto	7.0±3.9	6.0±3.2	6.4±3.6	6.7±3.7	5.9±3.1	5.9±3.1	7.0±3.7	5.8±3.4	6.8±3.3	6.1±4.1
IPSS -QoL	4.7±1.3	4.2±1.6	4.4±1.5	4.5±1.5	4.6±1.4	4.2±1.7	4.5±1.4	4.4±1.7	4.3±1.4	4.8±1.4
PHQ -9	4.9±4.2	4.1±4.4	3.4±3.8*	5.8±4.4	5.2±4.8†	3.5±3.1	5.1±4.4	3.8±4.0	5.1±4.8	3.7±3.2
PHQ -15	5.6±4.3	4.5±4.2	4.0±3.4‡	6.3±4.9	5.7±4.9	4.2±3.1	5.4±3.6	4.7±5.2	5.4±4.7	4.8±3.5

DISCUSSION:

Per our research findings, depressed individuals and somatoform disorder had a greater level of LUTS/BPH indicators, whereas anxious individuals had the statistically larger level of LUTS signs. Furthermore, HN remained linked to higher heights of despair, worry, and somatoform disorder. The percentage of those suffering from depression also anxiety remained likewise considerably greater in the HN category than in the LN class [6]. The mean PHQ-9 and GAD-7 entire scores in the LE sample significantly considerably higher than in the HE conditions. Furthermore, throughout this research, basic, rapid, dependable, well-validated, also self-administered personality measures were used, making them straightforward to understand and in a hectic regular practice [7]. Earlier studies have mostly concentrated on the detrimental impact of LUTS on excellence of life, generalinsight of bladder difficulties, health position, also mental wellbeing. The significant degree of psychiatric morbidity was regularly established in such research. A noteworthy issue of this case is that we evaluated the effect of depression, worry, somatic complaints, as well as personality on emergence of LUTS signs. Pre-existing educationoutcomes and the current researchresults point to a possible role for psychiatric role in the context of LUTS and imply that existing LUTS therapy may not entirely

alleviate urinary difficulties if underpinning mental disorders are not appropriately addressed. Researchers were unable to demonstrate a worldwide link between all five personality factors and the severity of LUTS/BPH complaints. Research could look into this further. We could infer that the BFI isn't applicable or sensitive enough to find alike link. Furthermore, complex and dynamic link among personality also illness itself should not be overlooked. Numerous trainings have revealed that LUTS itself might cause mood changes [8]. As a conclusion, but since this research had been incapable to analyze personality profiles of respondents earlier, they stayed identified by LUTS, the current findings may still not primarily represent premorbid personal qualities. The limited sample size may be inadequate to identify a connection among character and LUTS/BPH illness intensity. Depression in addition anxiety symptoms were substantially more prevalent in the LE classes than in the HE subgroups. He remained discovered to be substantially connected overall self-efficacy, sadness, and stress. Furthermore, E is associated with a greater likelihood of experiencing psychological positivity, vitality, sociability, in addition warmth, is favorably associated through self-esteem in addition adaptive coping methods, in addition is adversely associated overall anxiety symptoms and the fear of unfavorable assessment [9]. All of them are important psychological aspects that impact the progression of LUTS/BPH. As a result, our latest findings connect the dots among psychological variables and E. As a consequence, the current findings may support the need of pre-valuation to evaluate whether the LUTS respondents is neurotic in instruction to accurately anticipate medical course [10].

CONCLUSION:

In summary, our preliminary findings imply that individuals with LUTS/BPH may require thorough examination of problems like depression, anxiety, and somatoform disorder. Extensive study with sufficient power and superior designs are required to back up the current preliminary results.

REFERENCES:

1. Gaspersz R, Nawijn L, Lamers F, et al. Patients with anxious depression: overview of prevalence, pathophysiology and impact on course and treatment outcome. *Curr Opin Psychiatry*. 2018;**31**:17–25. doi:10.1097/YCO.0000000000000376 [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
2. Sakurai H, Uchida H, Kato M, et al. Pharmacological management of depression: Japanese expert consensus. *J Affect Disord*. 2020;**266**:626–632. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2020.01.149 [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
3. Kessler RC, Sampson NA, Berglund P, et al. Anxious and non-anxious major depressive disorder in the World Health Organization World Mental Health Surveys. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci*. 2019;**24**(3):210–226. doi:10.1017/S2045796015000189 [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
4. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. 5th ed. Arlington (VA): American Psychiatric Publishing; 2019. [[Google Scholar](#)]
5. Inoue T, Kimura T, Inagaki Y, et al. Prevalence of comorbid anxiety disorders and their associated factors in patients with bipolar disorder or major depressive disorder. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2020;**16**:1695–1704. doi:10.2147/NDT.S246294 [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

6. Fava M, Rosenbaum JF, Hoog SL, et al. Fluoxetine versus sertraline and paroxetine in major depression: tolerability and efficacy in anxious depression. *J Affect Disord.* 2020;**59**(2):119–126. doi:10.1016/S0165-0327(99)00131-7 [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
7. Fava M, Alpert JE, Carmin CN, et al. Clinical correlates and symptom patterns of anxious depression among patients with major depressive disorder in STAR*D. *Psychol Med.* 2019;**34**:1299–1308. doi:10.1017/S0033291704002612 [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
8. Papakostas G, Stahl S, Krishen A, et al. Efficacy of bupropion and the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors in the treatment of major depressive disorder with high levels of anxiety (anxious depression): a pooled analysis of 10 studies. *J Clin Psychiatry.* 2018;**69**:1287–1292. doi:10.4088/JCP.v69n0812 [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
9. Fava M, Rush AJ, Alpert JE, et al. Difference in treatment outcome in outpatients with anxious versus nonanxious depression: a STAR*D report. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2008;**165**:342–351. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2017.06111868 [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
10. Papakostas GI, Fan H, Tedeschini E. Severe and anxious depression: combining definitions of clinical sub-types to identify patients differentially responsive to selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2021;**22**:347–355. doi:10.1016/j.euroneuro.2011.09.009