Effect of Chalazion on Corneal Astigmatism

Divya N 1, Madhumitha.P. S 2, Panimalar A Veeramani 3, Bindu Bhaskaran

Associate professor 1, Junior Resident 2, Associate Professor 3, Senior Resident Department of ophthalmology, Saveetha medical college and hospital, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India.

Corresponding author: Panimalar A Veeramani
Drpani07@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

A common eyelid disease chalazion causes eye morbidity due to inflammation and cosmetic disfigurement. Important factors in corneal refractive surgery, intraocular lens power calculations for cataract surgery and visual acuity assessments are corneal topographic changes. For better outcome of ocular surgery corneal astigmatism should be corrected earlier. The aim of this study is to evaluate changes in corneal astigmatism according to chalazion size and location.

Keywords:-Chalazia, Astigmatism, Wavefront, Corneal topography

Introduction

A chalazion is a meibomian gland lipogranulomatous condition which presents as swelling on the eyelids [1]. It is a condition that causes eye morbidity due to inflammation and cosmetic disfigurement [2]. This condition is associated with chronic blepharitis, seborrheic dermatitis [1]. Chalazia treatment includes such as warm compression and topical antibiotic eye drops or ointment and surgical incision and curettage, with or without triamcinolone intralesional injection [3] If left untreated amblyopia may develop in children with corneal astigmatism in rare cases [7]. It has been reported that pressure on the upper eyelid by chalazion induces hyperopia and astigmatism.7 Chalazia can increase higher-order aberrations (HOAs), as measured by the Hartmann–Shack aberrometer;

Literature Review

This study aims in establishing a strong relationship between chalazion and astigmatism and that a simple procedure could be done to achieve best corrected visual acuity.

Table 1 Demographic data of subjects

Control 70 Chalazion group 44

Site

Upper eyelid 22 Lower eyelid 16 Both eyelid 6

Location

Nasal 10 Middle 25 Temporal 4 Whole. 3 Size Small 14

Lpp

Medium 17 Large 11

Associate

Table 2 Corneal topographic data between chalazion and control group

	Total	Chalaz	zion gro	oup	Contr	ol group	p-valu	e			
N (eyes)		114	44.			70					
Gender 52:62	2.	19:25	33:37								
Age (year).	41.59	9 ± 14.0	8	39.57	± 13.83	3 42.86	± 14.18	0.226			
CCT (µm)	547.25	5 ± 39.9	0	546.9	1 ± 43.6	54	547.46	5 ± 37.6	9	0.943	
ARK (D	42.96	± 1.86	42.84	± 2.08	43.03	± 1.72	0.603				
Astigmatism	by ARK	X (D)	-0.85	± 0.99	-0.94	± 1.44	-0.79	± 0.58	0.546		
Axis by ARK 0.579	` '		104.23	3 ± 63.3	36	108.63	3 ± 60.7	4	101.48	3 ±	65.26
SimK (D)		42.76	± 3.49	42.43	± 2.28	42.96	± 4.08	0.434			

Astigmatism by simK (D)	1.31 ± 0.96	1.53 ± 1.16	1.17 ± 0.78	0.074					
Axis by simK (°)	84.74 ± 35.24	b. 85.16	± 28.23 84.47	± 39.20 0.914					
Mean K of posterior surface	-6.28 ± 0.27	-6.25 ± 0.24	-6.29 ± 0.28	0.514					
Astigmatism of posterior surface $-0.44 \pm 0.29 - 0.46 \pm 0.26 - 0.43 \pm 0.32$ 0.691									
Total RMS (µm)	1.81 ± 0.80	1.97 ± 1.05	1.71 ± 0.59	0.127					
2 nd order aberration (μm)	1.55 ± 0.70	1.68 ± 0.87	1.48 ± 0.55	0.184					
Oblique astigmatism (Z-22;	μ m $)0.04 \pm 0.49$	90.18 ± 0.52	-0.05 ± 0.45	0.013*					
Defocus (Z02; μ m) -0.85 ± 0.50 -0.83 ± 0.53 -0.87 ± 0.49 0.693									
Vertical astigmatism (Z22; µ	$(m)-0.74 \pm 1.06$	$6-0.98 \pm 1.16$	-0.59 ± 0.98	0.057					
3 rd order aberration (μm)	0.67 ± 0.42	0.71 ± 0.53	0.64 ± 0.34	0.398					
Vertical trefoil (Z-33; μm)-	0.18 ± 0.40	-0.24 ± 0.44	-0.14 ± 0.37	0.216					
Vertical Coma (Z-31; μm)	0.34 ± 3.01	0.13 ± 0.37	0.48 ± 3.84	0.555					
Horizontal coma (Z13; μm)-	-0.04 ± 0.31	-0.04 ± 0.29	-0.04 ± 0.33	0.961					
Oblique trefoil (Z33; µm)	-0.02 ± 0.44	-0.06 ± 0.55	-0.01 ± 0.35	0.378					
4 th order aberration (μm)	0.40 ± 0.30	0.40 ± 0.30	0.40 ± 0.30	0.921					
Oblique quadrefoil (Z-44; μ	m) 0.01 ± 0.09	0.02 ± 0.10	0.00 ± 0.07	0.293					
Oblique secondary astigmati	sm 0.01 ± 0.13	-0.01 ± 0.14	0.01 ± 0.11	0.333					
Primary spherical (Z04; μm)	0.17 ± 0.30	0.17 ± 0.32	0.16 ± 0.30	0.922					
Vetical secondary astigmatis	$m0.07 \pm 0.18$	0.05 ± 0.19	0.08 ± 0.18	0.447					
Vertical quadrefoil (Z44; μm	(-0.11 ± 0.23)	-0.11 ± 0.22	-0.12 ± 0.24	0.888					

Table 3 Corneal topographic data according to site of chalazion

Control Upper eyelid Lower eyelid Both eyelids p-value

N 70 22 16. 6

Gender (M:F) 33:37 10:12. 3:13 6:0

Age (year) 42.86 ± 14.18 41.27 ± 12.41 38.63 ± 16.74 35.83 ± 11.16 0.519

CCT (μ m) 547.46 ± 37.695583.27 ± 42.50 528.25 ± 45.57 555.00 ± 28.33 0.136

Average keratometry. $43.03 \pm 1.72 \ 43.38 \pm 1.69 \ 42.18 \pm 2.58 \ 42.82 \pm 1.44 \ 0.269$

Astigmatism by ARK (D) -0.79 ± 0.58 -1.12 ± 1.93 -0.88 ± 0.85 -0.50 ± 0.45 0.490

Axis by ARK (°). 101.48 ± 65.26 118.16 ± 67.99 102.50 ± 53.94 92.00 ± 57.73 0.750

SimK (D) $42.96 \pm 4.08 + 43.11 \pm 1.69 + 41.51 \pm 2.99 + 42.42 \pm 1.21 + 0.470$

Astigmatism by simK 1.17 ± 0.78 2.01 ± 1.27 0.98 ± 0.59 1.23 ± 1.26 0.001*

Axis by simK (°) $84.47 \pm 39.20 \ 83.05 \pm 25.31 \ 88.31 \pm 27.04 \ 84.50 \pm 43.78 \ 0.470$

Mean K of posterior surface -6.29 ± 0.28 -6.29 ± 0.29 -6.24 ± 0.15 -6.16 ± 0.25 0.653

Astigmatism of posterior surface $-0.43 \pm 0.32 - 0.53 \pm 0.32$ -0.36 ± 0.13 -0.42 ± 0.16 0.336

Total RMS (μ m). 1.71 \pm 0.59 2.35 \pm 1.13 1.46 \pm 0.39 1.96 \pm 1.47 0.002*

 2^{nd} order aberration (μm) 1.48 ± 0.55 2.11 ± 1.04 1.23 ± 0.31 1.24 \pm 0.20 < 0.001*

Oblique astigmatism -0.05 ± 0.45 0.33 ± 0.57 -0.03 ± 0.40 0.21 ± 0.43 0.009*

Defocus (Z02; μ m) -0.87 ± 0.49 -0.79 ± 0.72 -0.82 ± 0.25 -0.99 ± 0.10 0.820

Vertical astigmatism -0.59 ± 0.98 -1.55 ± 1.28 -0.48 ± 0.69 -0.25 ± 0.62 0.001*

3^{rd} order aberration (µm)0.64 \pm 0.34 0.85 \pm 0.68 0.55 \pm 0.17 0.62 \pm 0.43 0.129									
Vertical trefoil (Z-33; μm)–	0.14 ± 0.37	-0.28 ± 0.54	-0.16 ± 0.21	-0.31 ± 0.50	0.470				
Vertical Coma (Z-31; μm)	0.48 ± 3.84	0.23 ± 0.41	-0.02 ± 0.31	0.18 ± 0.30	0.939				
Horizontal coma (Z13; μm)–	0.04 ± 0.33	-0.025 ± 0.29	-0.13 ± 0.31	0.13 ± 0.15	0.398				
Oblique trefoil (Z33; µm)	-0.01 ± 0.35	-0.16 ± 0.72	-0.01 ± 0.28	0.14 ± 0.30	0.332				
4 th order aberration (μm)	0.40 ± 0.30	0.44 ± 0.21	0.39 ± 0.40	0.30 ± 0.27	0.802				
Oblique quadrefoil 0.00 ± 0.07 0.03 ± 0.14 0.02 ± 0.05 -0.02 ± 0.03 0.422									
Oblique secondary astigmatis	$sm0.01 \pm 0.11$	-0.02 ± 0.16	-0.00 ± 0.11	0.03 ± 0.15	0.618				
Primary spherical (Z04; μm)	0.16 ± 0.30	0.10 ± 0.23	0.29 ± 0.43	0.11 ± 0.09	0.243				
Vetical secondary astigmatis	$m \ 0.08 \pm 0.18$	0.10 ± 0.21	-0.01 ± 0.16	0.02 ± 0.06	0.230				
Vertical quadrefoil -0.12 ± 0.24 -0.13 ± 0.25 -0.07 ± 0.11 -0.15 ± 0.33 0.876									

SimK simulated keratometry, ARK autorefractokeratometry, RMS root mean square, D diopter; Results were presented as mean \pm standard deviation.*Statistically significant by ANOVA

Table 4 topographic changes according to chalazion location

	Control	Nasal	Middle	Temporal	Whole	p- value
n	70	10	25	4	3	
Gender (M:F)	33:37	5:5	9:16	2:2	2:1	
Age (year)	42.86 14.18	±42.20 16.29	±38.12 13.66	±43.75 14.48	±45.67 ± 4	.51 0.679
CCT (µm)	547.46 37.69	±550.50 19.60	±542.16 50.65	±542.25 32.40	±552.33 14.05	±0.952
Average keratometry ARK (D)	by43.03 ± 1	.72 42.44 ± 1	.71 42.83 ± 2	2.35 44.79 ± 1.5	$51\ 42.51 \pm 0$.88 0.411

972

```
Astigmatism by ARK (D) -0.79 \pm 0.58 -0.93 \pm 1.01 -0.79
                                                                        \pm -2.58 \pm 3.19 -0.75 \pm 0.35 \, 0.046 *
                                                           58.50
Axis by ARK (°)
                              101.48
                                           \pm 108.89
                                                          \pm 115.00
                                                                        \pm 126.67
                                                                                       \pm 105.00
                                                                                                      \pm 0.851
                              65.26
                                             61.53
                                                           58.50
                                                                          70.77
                                                                                         49.50
SimK (D)
                              42.96 \pm 4.08 \ 41.96 \pm 1.91 \ 42.33 \pm 2.59 \ 43.47 \pm 1.62 \ 43.86 \pm 2.15 \ 0.823
Astigmatism by simK (D) 1.17 \pm 0.78 1.16 \pm 1.14 1.54 \pm 1.13 1.61 \pm 1.79 2.30 \pm 0.56 0.143
Axis by simK (°)
                              84.47
                                           \pm 80.00
                                                          \pm 82.92
                                                                        \pm 103.00
                                                                                       \pm 92.00
                                                                                                      \pm 0.843
                              39.20
                                             33.72
                                                           27.77
                                                                                         22.54
                                                                          29.06
                    posterior -6.29 \pm 0.28 - 6.19 \pm 0.20 - 6.24 \pm 0.24 - 6.40 \pm 0.34 - 6.42 \pm 0.370.543
surface (D)
Astigmatism of posterior -0.43 \pm 0.32 - 0.43 \pm 0.13 - 0.45 \pm 0.32 - 0.46 \pm 0.19 - 0.58 \pm 0.13 0.942
surface (D)
Total RMS (µm)
                              1.71 \pm 0.59 1.64 \pm 0.76 2.03 \pm 1.14 2.09 \pm 1.48 2.37 \pm 1.05 0.243
2nd order aberration (µm) 1.48 \pm 0.55 1.35 \pm 0.78 1.69 \pm 0.82 1.90 \pm 1.53 2.14 \pm 0.93 0.219
Oblique astigmatism (Z_{2}^{-2}; -0.05 \pm 0.45 \, 0.06 \pm 0.48 \, 0.17 \pm 0.49 \, 0.44 \pm 0.82 \, 0.58 \pm 0.47 \, 0.033*
μm)
Defocus (\mathbb{Z}^0_2; µm)
                              -0.87 \pm 0.49 - 0.75 \pm 0.34 - 0.94 \pm 0.15 - 1.09 \pm 0.06 \ 0.22 \pm 1.80 \ \ 0.003*
Vertical astigmatism (Z^2): -0.59 \pm 0.98 - 0.56 \pm 1.11 - 1.00 \pm 1.19 - 1.18 \pm 1.68 - 1.51 \pm 0.540.269
μm)
3rd order aberration (\mum) 0.64 \pm 0.34 0.65 \pm 0.36 0.75 \pm 0.66 0.67 \pm 0.19 0.71 \pm 0.30 0.877
Vertical trefoil (\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{-3}; µm) -0.14 \pm 0.37 - 0.27 \pm 0.40 - 0.31 \pm 0.47 - 0.01 \pm 0.24 \ 0.11 \pm 0.51 \ 0.212
Vertical Coma (\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{-1}; µm) 0.48 \pm 3.84 0.18 \pm 0.24 0.16 \pm 0.41 -0.12 \pm 0.39 0.10 \pm 0.46 0.986
Horizontal coma (Z_3^1; \mum) -0.04 \pm 0.33 - 0.07 \pm 0.26 - 0.05 \pm 0.28 0.15 \pm 0.47 + 0.00 \pm 0.24 + 0.820
Oblique trefoil (Z_3; \mum) -0.01 \pm 0.35 - 0.12 \pm 0.42 - 0.13 \pm 0.64 \, 0.29 \pm 0.19 \, 0.10 \pm 0.24 \, 0.349
4th order aberration (\mum) 0.40 \pm 0.30 0.43 \pm 0.20 0.38 \pm 0.34 0.36 \pm 0.07 0.56 \pm 0.50 0.885
Oblique quadrefoil (Z_4^{-4}; 0.00 \pm 0.07 \ 0.03 \pm 0.14 \ 0.03 \pm 0.10 \ 0.01 \pm 0.01 \ -0.05 \pm 0.060.380
μm)
                   secondary 0.01 \pm 0.11 -0.03 \pm 0.12 -0.02 \pm 0.12 0.15 \pm 0.12 -0.14 \pm 0.16 0.015*
Oblique
astigmatism (Z_4^{-2}; µm)
Primary spherical (Z_4^0; 0.16 \pm 0.30 -0.03 \pm 0.12 -0.02 \pm 0.12 0.20 \pm 0.14 -0.11 \pm 0.50 0.590)
μm)
                   secondary 0.08 \pm 0.18 0.17 \pm 0.26 0.20 \pm 0.34 -0.03 \pm 0.15 0.12 \pm 0.41 0.754
Vetical
astigmatism (Z_4^2; \mu m)
Vertical quadrefoil (Z_4^4; -0.12 \pm 0.240.03 \pm 0.25 \ 0.05 \pm 0.12 \ 0.01 \pm 0.20 \ -0.18 \pm 0.390.710
μm)
```

Table 5 Corneal topographic changes according to chalazion size

Large p-value Control. Small Medium N 70 14. 17 11 Gender (M:F) 33:37 5:9 6:11. 7:4 Age (year) 42.86 ± 14.18 43.64 ± 19.08 38.47 ± 10.72 38.36 ± 10.00 0.543CCT (µm) 547.46 ± 37.69 539.29 ± 27.84 555.29 ± 34.43 535.91 \pm 60.86 0.526 Average keratometry by ARK $43.03 \pm 1.72 + 43.66 \pm 1.01 + 42.71 \pm 2.11 + 42.09 \pm 2.96 + 0.224$ Astigmatism by ARK (D) -0.79 ± 0.58 -0.85 ± 0.88 -0.89 ± 1.67 -1.20 ± 1.82 0.688Axis by ARK (°) 101.48 ± 65.26 108.33 ± 50.24 113.75 ± 66.37 121.00 ± 55.42 0.714 SimK (D) 42.96 ± 4.08 43.07 ± 1.11 42.23 ± 2.30 42.05 ± 3.41 0.767Astigmatism by simK (D). 1.17 ± 0.78 1.05 ± 0.67 1.69 ± 1.43 1.82 ± 1.13 0.037* Axis by simK (°) 84.47 ± 39.20 89.93 ± 39.47 80.76 ± 26.52 84.45 ± 13.91 0.917Mean K of posterior surface (D) $-6.29 \pm 0.28 -6.23 \pm 0.19 -6.25 \pm 0.27 -6.30 \pm 0.29$ 0.858 Astigmatism of posterior surface -0.43 ± 0.32 -0.34 ± 0.12 -0.54 ± 0.36 -0.48 ± 0.14 0.322 Total RMS (µm) 1.71 ± 0.59 1.77 ± 0.96 1.90 ± 1.05 2.33 ± 1.24 0.113 2nd order aberration (µm) 1.48 ± 0.55 1.34 ± 0.37 1.68 ± 1.04 2.06 ± 1.00 0.036*Oblique astigmatism (Z-22; µm) -0.05 ± 0.45 0.09 ± 0.48 0.09 ± 0.50 0.49 ± 0.55 0.006* Defocus (Z02; μ m) -0.87 ± 0.49 -0.89 ± 0.31 -0.94 ± 0.15 -0.56 ± 0.96 0.222 974

Vertical astigmatism (Z22; μ 0.002*	m) -0.59	± 0.98 -0	$.20 \pm 0.87$	-1.15 ±	1.21	-1.60	± 1.01
3 rd order aberration (μm). 0.169	0.64 ±	0.34 0.6	63 ± 0.30	0.64 ± 0	0.44	0.94	± 0.84
Vertical trefoil (Z-33; μm)	-0.14 ± 0.37	$-0.24 \pm 0.$	34 -0.23	± 0.43	-0.26 ±	0.60	0.691
Vertical Coma (Z-31; μm)	0.48 ± 3.84	0.01 ± 0.3	7 0.18 ±	0.42	0.22 ± 0	0.30	0.947
Horizontal coma (Z13; μm)	-0.04 ± 0.33	$-0.11 \pm 0.$	32 0.03 ±	0.24	-0.01 ±	0.33	0.632
Oblique trefoil (Z33; µm).	-0.01 ± 0.35	$-0.04 \pm 0.$	28 -0.02	± 0.35	-0.19 ±	0.98	0.619
4 th order aberration (μm)	0.40 ± 0.30	0.29 ± 0.1	8 0.38 ±	0.21	0.58 ± 0	0.45	0.094
Oblique quadrefoil (Z–44; μι 0.296	m) 0.00 ±	0.07 0.0	04 ± 0.12	-0.00 ±	0.05	0.05	± 0.14
Oblique secondary astigmatis	sm 0.01 ± 0.11	0.01 ± 0.1	3 -0.02	± 0.11	-0.06 ±	0.17	0.264
Primary spherical (Z04; μm)	0.16 ± 0.30	0.10 ± 0.0	9 0.20 ±	0.26	0.22 ± 0	0.54	0.739
Vetical secondary astigmatis 0.840	m 0.08 ±	0.18 0.0	05 ± 0.16	0.03 ± 0	0.19	0.06	± 0.22
Vertical quadrefoil (Z44; μm	$)-0.12\pm0.24$	$-0.09 \pm 0.$	18 -0.09	± 0.21	-0.15 ±	0.31	0.903

SimK simulated keratometry, ARK autorefractokeratometry, RMS root mean square, D diopter; Results were presented as mean \pm standard deviation.; *Statistically

Methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted in saveetha medical college and hospitals, Thandalam, Department of Ophthalmology Outpatient department. A total of 44 eyes from 33 patients were included in the chalazion group and 70 eyes from 46 patients comprised the control group. Chalazia were classified according to location and size. An autokeratorefractometer and a dual-Scheimpflug analyzer were utilized to evaluate corneal changes.

Methodology

Decreased vision due to a chalazion of the upper eyelid has been documented in a patient following laser-assisted in situ keratomileusis (LASIK) [9]. Corneal aberration has been found to affect visual function [10, 11]. Chalazion size and location are to be documented as it is necessary for better outcome of ocular surgery. We have included changes in corneal astigmatism that varies according to chalazion size and location.

44 eyes from 33 patients having eyelid chalazion were assigned to chalazion group. Control group comprised 22 contralateral normal eyes of chalazion patients and 48 eyes from 24 patients without a chalazion were randomly selected and matched according to age and sex. Patient medical history including diabetes mellitus and hypertension were obtained and local examination of eyes and eyelids were performed. Control group patients had no prior history of ophthalmic surgery nad were not using topical or systemic medications on examination.

Chalazia were classified according to their site (upper, lower, or both eyelid groups) and location (nasal, middle, temporal, or whole area of eyelid), according to their size; small ($\leq 1/5$ of eyelid), medium (2/5-3/5), or large (>4/5).

An autokeratorefractometer was utilized to measure keratometric values (K) including mean K, flat and steep K, astigmatism, and axis. Central corneal thickness (CCT), corneal topographic data and wavefront aberration data were obtained using a dual-Scheimpflug analyzer (Ziemer Group; Port, Switzerland). Simulated K (simK) were obtained from the central 3-mm zone of the corneas including flat and steep K, mean K, astigmatism (difference between steep and flat Ks), and the axis of the steep meridian. Corneal wavefront aberrations were analyzed, including total root mean square (RMS, in microns) ,total high order aberration, second order aberration, oblique astigmatism (Z-2 2), defocus (Z0 2), vertical astigmatism (Z2 2), third order aberration, vertical trefoil (Z-3 3), vertical coma (Z-1 3), horizontal coma (Z1 3), oblique trefoil (Z3 3), fourth order aberration, oblique quadrefoil (Z-4 4), secondary oblique astigmatism (Z-2 4), primary spherical aberration (Z0 4), vertical secondary astigmatism (Z2 4), and vertical quadrefoil (Z4 4).

Data Analysis

A total 114 eyes from 64 patients were included:

44 eyes in the chalazion group and 70 eyes in the control group.

Mean patient age was 40.0 ± 13.9 years in the chalazion group and 43.4 ± 14.0 years in the control group.

The chalazion group was divided into the various subgroups:

According to site of the chalazion, the upper eyelid (n = 22), lower eyelid (n = 16), and both eyelids (n = 6, 2) according to the location of the chalazion, the nasal eyelid (n = 10), middle eyelid (n = 25), temporal eyelid (n = 4), and whole eyelid (n = 3), and 3) according to the size of

the chalazion, small (n = 14), medium (n = 17), and large (n = 11). Corneal topographic data for the chalazion and control groups are presented in Fig. 1 and Table 2.

CCT was not different between the two groups. Astigmatism measured by ARK was not different between the chalazion and control groups (p = 0.074; independent t-test). Oblique astigmatism (Z-2 2) was greater in the chalazion group compared with the control group (p = 0.013; independent t-test).

Other topographic data were similar between the chalazion and control groups. Astigmatism by simK, steep K by simK, total RMS, second order aberration, $Z-2\ 2$, and $Z2\ 2$ were significantly different between these subgroups (p = 0.001, 0.022, 0.002, <0.001, 0.009, and 0.001, respectively; ANOVA).

Astigmatism by simK was greater in the upper eyelid group compared with the control and lower eyelid groups (p = 0.001 and 0.004, respectively). Steep K by simK significantly differed between upper and lower lids (p = 0.011). Total RMS was greater in the upper eyelid group compared with the control and lower eyelid groups (p = 0.004 and 0.003, respectively). Second order aberration was greater in the upper eyelid group compared with the control, lower eyelid, and whole eyelid groups (p = 0.001, <0.001, and 0.019, respectively). The Z-2 2 was greater in the upper eyelid group compared with the control (p = 0.06). The Z2 2 was greater in the upper eyelid group compared with the control and lower eyelid group, and lower in the upper eyelid group compared with whole eyelid group (p = 0.002, 0.008 and, 0.028, respectively). Corneal topographic changes according to chalazion location are presented in Fig. 3 and Table 4. Astigmatism by ARK, Z-2 2, Z0 2, and Z-2 were significantly different between groups (p = 0.046, 0.033, 0.003, and 0.015, respectively; ANOVA). Astigmatism by ARK was significantly different between the control and temporal area groups or between middle and temporal area group (p = 0.019 and 0.025). The Z0 2 was greater in the whole area group compared with the control, nasal, middle, and temporal area groups (p = 0.002, 0.021, 0.001, and 0.004, respectively; Tukey post hoc test). There was a significant difference in Z-2 4 between temporal and whole area groups (p = 0.018; Tukey post hoc test). Corneal topographic changes according to chalazion size are presented in Fig. 4 and Table 5. Astigmatism by simK, second order aberration, Z-2 2, and Z2 2 were greater in the large-sized chalazion group (p = 0.037, 0.036, 0.006, and 0.002, respectively; ANOVA). Astigmatism by simK and second order aberration was greater in the large-sized chalazion group compared with the control (p = 0.049 for both; Tukey post hoc test). There was a significantly greater Z-2 2 in the large-sized chalazion group compared with the control (p = 0.003; Tukey post hoc test). Z2 2 was greater in the large-sized chalazion group compared with the control and small-sized chalazion groups (p = 0.015 and 0.004, respectively; Tukey post hoc test).

Results (Times New Roman, bold, 12)

Obliquei astigmatism was greater in the chalazion group compared with the control group (p < 0.05). Astigmatism by simulated keratometry (simK), steep K by simK, total root mean square, second order aberration, oblique astigmatism, and vertical astigmatism were significantly greater

in the upper eyelid group (p < 0.05). Astigmatism by simK, second order aberration, oblique astigmatism, and vertical astigmatism were significantly greater in the large-sized chalazion group (p < 0.05). Corneal wavefront aberration was the greatest in the upper eyelid chalazion group, whole area group, and large-sized chalazion group (p < 0.05).

Discussions (Times New Roman, bold, 12)

A chalazion is a very common eyelid disorder affecting individuals of all ages, which caused by pluggingof meibomian glands and chronic lipogranulomatous inflammation of the glands[12]. Chalazia have been reported to increase corneal astigmatism and HOAs [7, 8, 13, 14]. In this study, we have evaluated effects of chalazia on the cornea accordin to chalazia site, location, and size using corneal topography and wavefront analysis. This study revelas mechanical effects of chalazia on corneal astigmatism. Corneal topographical and wavefront assessments have been noted in patients having large sized chalazion have been noted. These changes might be due to following mechanisms. Compressive pressure of chalazia in excessive of these levels can induce the corneal astigmatism. Cornea under reduced strain by corneal refractive surgery (such as LASIK) may be more affected by lower pressure [9]. Lamellar orientation in human corneas has been related to mechanical properties [16, 17]. The mechanical effects increase in the meridian direction as they become closer to the center of the cornea [17]. The pressure-induced meridional strains were smallest at the corneal paracenter and periphery, with the largest recorded at the limbus [18].

The circumferential strains varied less between regions with the para-centre straining to the greatest extent. In the meridional direction, Young's modulus of elasticity was greatest at the central and para-central corneal regions, while the greatest circumferential elastic modulus was found at the limbus [17, 18]. The para-central region of the human cornea was found to be stiffer in the meridional direction compared with the circumferential direction, suggesting a meridionally-orientated reinforcement of the para-central parts of the human cornea [18]. The human corneal stroma exhibit a preferred collagen orientation in the inferior-superior and nasaltemporal directions. At the limbus, the preferred orientation is tangential to the cornea [19]. Therefore, it is difficult for the pressure on the sclera to have an effect on the cornea in the meridian direction. Chalazia in the middle eyelid can induce corneal astigmatism easily in the meridian direction because it is located superior to the cornea and close to the center of the cornea. Chalazia generally affected Z-2, an aberration of off-axis rays. HOAs influence sensitivity to contrast to varying degrees at different orientations [20]. These findings may have implications in pediatric patients at risk of amblyopia [13]. Transient chalazion-induced astigmatism can disturb the visual acuity, mislead intraocular lens calculation before cataract surgery, and result in serious error during refractive surgery. Therefore, in these cases, chalazia should be treated in the early phase. Chalazia on long term may induce the remodeling of corneal stroma through the secretion of inflammatory mediators including matrix metalloproteinases. Chalazia excision can decrease corneal astigmatism and irregularity which is more prominent in single, firm, and central upper eyelid lesions [14]. Treatment modality includes incision and

curettage, intralesional triamcinolone injection, and intralesional botulinum injection.

CONCLUSION

Large-sized chalazia in the upper eyelid should be treated in the early phase because they induce great changes in corneal topography. Before corneal topography is performed Chalazion should be treated preoperatively.

Limitations

This study has its own limitations. In Patients with preexisting astigmatism it is difficult to differentiate whether the chalazion has induced astigmatism or not and their treatment eventually differs.

References

- 1. Nemet AY, Vinker S, Kaiserman I. Associated morbidity of chalazia. Cornea. 2011;30:1376–81.
- 2. Ben Simon GJ, Huang L, Nakra T, Schwarcz RM, McCann JD, Goldberg RA.
- 3. Intralesional Triamcinolone Acetonide Injection for Primary and RecurrentChalazia: Is It Really Effective? Ophthalmology. 2005;112:913–7.
- 4. Goawalla A, Lee V. A prospective randomized treatment study comparing
- 5. Olsen T. Calculation of intraocular lens power: a review. Acta OphthalmolScand. 2007;85:472–85.
- 6. Holland S, Lin DT, Tan JC. Topography-guided laser refractive surgery. Curr Opin Ophthalmol. 2013;24:302–9.
- 7. Myrowitz EH, Chuck RS. A comparison of wavefront-optimized andWavefront-guided ablations. Curr Opin Ophthalmol. 2009;20:247–50.
- 8. Santa Cruz CS, Culotta T, Cohen EJ, Rapuano CJ. Chalazion-induced hyperopiaAs a cause of decreased vision. Ophthalmic Surg Lasers. 1997;28:683–4.
- 9. Sabermoghaddam AA, Zarei-Ghanavati S, Abrishami M. Effects of chalazionExcision on ocular aberrations. Cornea. 2013;32:757–60.
- 10. Cosar CB, Rapuano CJ, Cohen EJ, Laibson PR. Chalazion as a cause of Decreased vision after LASIK. Cornea. 2001;20:890–2.
- 11. Packer M, Fine IH, Hoffman RS. Wavefront technology in cataract surgery.Curr Opin Ophthalmol. 2004;15:56–60.
- 12. Tang CY, Charman WN. Effects of monochromatic and chromatic oblique Aberrations on visual performance during spectacle lens wear. OphthalmicPhysiol Opt. 1992;12:340–9.
- 13. Perry HD, Serniuk RA. Conservative treatment of chalazia. Ophthalmology. 1980;87:218–21.
- 14. Bagheri A, Hasani HR, Karimian F, Abrishami M, Yazdani S. Effect of chalazion Excision on refractive error and corneal topography. Eur J Ophthalmology. 2009;19:521–6.

- 15. Park YM, Lee JS. The effects of chalazion excision on corneal surface Aberrations. Cont Lens Anterior Eye. 2014;37:342–5.
- 16. Zeng Y, Yang J, Huang K, Lee Z, Lee X. A comparison of biomechanical Properties between human and porcine cornea. J Biomech. 2001;34:533–7.
- 17. Boote C, Dennis S, Huang Y, Quantock AJ, Meek KM. Lamellar orientation in Human cornea in relation to mechanical properties. J Struct Biol. 2005;149:1–6.
- 18. Shin TJ, Vito RP, Johnson LW, McCarey BE. The distribution of strain in the Human cornea. J Biomech. 1997;30:497–503.
- 19. Hjortdal JO. Regional elastic performance of the human cornea. J Biomech. 1996;29:931–42.
- 20. Meek, K.M., Newton, R.H. Organization of collagen fibrils in the corneal Stroma in relation to mechanical properties and surgical practice. J Refract Surg 1999;15:695-9.
- 21. Murray IJ, Elliott SL, Pallikaris A, Werner JS, Choi S, Tahir HJ. The Oblique Effect has an optical component: Orientation-specific contrast thresholds After correction of high-orderaberrations. J Vis. 2010;10:10